Case 1:18-cv-05391-SCJ Document 403-1 Filed 06/28/20 Page 1 of 230

# EXHIBIT 1 PART 1

# In The Matter Of:

Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger

Kevin Kennedy March 31, 2020

Regency-Brentano, Inc.
13 Corporate Square
Suite 140
Atlanta, Georgia 30329
404.321.3333



REGENCY-BRENTANO, INC. Certified Court Reporters

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

```
1
 1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
 2
                         ATLANTA DIVISION
 3
    FAIR FIGHT ACTION, INC.,
 4
    et al.,
 5
                 Plaintiffs,
                                     ) CIVIL ACTION FILE
                                     ) NO. 1:18-cv-05391-SCJ
 6
            vs.
 7
    BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, in his
    official Capacity as Secretary )
 8
    of State of Georgia; et al.,
 9
                 Defendants.
10
11
12
                Telephonic deposition of KEVIN J.
13
         KENNEDY, taken on behalf of the Defendants,
14
         pursuant to Notice and agreement of counsel,
15
          in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil
         Procedure, before Cynthia B. Gatewood,
16
17
         Certified Court Reporter, at 41 Rough Lee
         Court, Madison, Wisconsin, on the 31st day of
18
19
         March 2020, commencing at the hour of
20
         9:01 a.m. CST.
21
22
                      REGENCY-BRENTANO, INC.
23
                    Certified Court Reporters
                       13 Corporate Square
24
                            Suite 140
                      Atlanta, Georgia 30329
```

(404) 321-3333

1	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS							
2	EXAMINATION	PAGE						
3	Cross-Examina	4						
4								
5	5 INDEX TO EXHIBITS							
6	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT	PAGE						
7	D-1	DESCRIPTION  Expert Report of Kevin J. Kennedy						
8			-					
9	D-2	One Wisconsin Institute, Inc. v. Thomsen, 198 F.Supp.3d 896 (2016)	20					
10	D-3	2015 Wisconsin Act 118	29					
11	D-4	Wisconsin 5.05, Elections commission; powers and duties	37					
12		· -						
13	D-5	Georgia 21-2-50, Powers and duties of Secretary of State	59					
14	D-6	Georgia 21-2-70, Powers and duties of superintendents	66					
15	D-7	Georgia 21-2-99, Instruction of	67					
16		poll officers and poll workers in election procedures						
17	D-8	Wisconsin 7.15, Municipal clerks	76					
18	D-9	2018 Poll Worker Manual	108					
19								
20	D-10	Georgia 21-2-31, Duties of the board	115					
21	D-11	Georgia 21-2-100, Training of local election officials	116					
22	D 10		125					
23	D-12	Email dated 11/4/2019	135					
24	D-13	U.S. Census Quick Facts	152					
25								

```
1
    APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (via telephone):
 2
    On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
 3
           ELIZABETH V. TANIS
 4
           JOHN A. CHANDLER
           Attorneys at Law
 5
            957 Springdale Road NE
           Atlanta, Georgia 30306
                   (404) 771-2275
 6
           Phone:
           Email:
                   beth.tanis@gmail.com
 7
           Email: jachandler@gmail.com
 8
    On behalf of the Defendants:
 9
            JOSH B. BELINFANTE
10
           MELANIE JOHNSON
           Attorneys at Law
           Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
11
            500 14th Street NW
12
           Atlanta, Georgia 30318
           Phone: (678) 701-9381
13
           Fax:
                    (404) 856-3250
                    jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
           Email:
                    mjohnson@robbinsfirm.com
14
           Email:
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                (Whereupon, disclosure as required by the
23
         Georgia Board of Court Reporting was made by the
24
         court reporter, a written copy of which is
         attached hereto.)
25
```

# **Kevin Kennedy - March 31, 2020**

1 MR. BELINFANTE: This is the deposition of 2 Kevin J. Kennedy taken by the defendant, Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger, for the purposes of 3 discovery and all purposes allowed under the 4 5 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The deposition is proceeding by telephone given the current 6 7 public health situation. And by agreement of 8 counsel, the witness will be sworn and counsel 9 will treat that effective. Is that agreeable, 10 Beth? 11 MS. TANIS: Yes, that's agreeable. 12 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Will you go ahead 13 and swear the witness, then. KEVIN J. KENNEDY, 14 having been first duly sworn, was examined and 15 testified as follows: 16 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. BELINFANTE: 19 Great. Thank you. Again, Mr. Kennedy, my Q. 20 name is Josh Belinfante. I represent the defendants in 21 this case. I'm joined on the phone, not in the same 22 room, by Melanie Johnson. And I want to say for the record thank you to plaintiffs counsel and thank you to 23 24 you as well. This deposition was originally scheduled for, I believe, last Friday. And per my request, we 25

**Regency-Brentano, Inc.** 

# Kevin Kennedy - March 31, 2020

5

1 had moved it to today, so thank you again for that. You're welcome. 2 Α. MR. BELINFANTE: Beth, would you -- I 3 4 presume we're going to -- or you're going to 5 reserve all objections except those going to privilege and the form of the question and 6 7 responsiveness of the answer until trial or first 8 use of the deposition. Is that agreeable? 9 MS. TANIS: Yes. And I construe privilege there to include work product. 10 11 MR. BELINFANTE: Sure, absolutely. 12 BY MR. BELINFANTE: 13 Mr. Kennedy, have you been deposed before? Q. Yes, I have. 14 Α. 15 Okay. In what cases were you deposed, do Q. you recall? 16 I don't remember all of the cases. 17 Α. Wisconsin's chief election officer for over 30 years, I 18 19 was a defendant in any number of cases where I was 20 deposed. Probably the two most recent cases in which I had a deposition, my last case in my capacity I was 21 22 deposed in the One Wisconsin Now case, which you have a 23 copy of the order. And I was last almost -- well, 24 about nine months ago I was deposed in a case in North Carolina for a circuit court case in which I'm an 25

Regency-Brentano, Inc.

expert witness, which is the Bouvier case, which again you have at least the amended complaint for.

- Q. Okay. So you know then the general rules, and I think we're all -- at least me, given this format, we're all swimming a little bit in some new waters. But, as you know, we can't talk over one another just because that makes it hard for the court reporter. You'll need to answer questions yes or no as opposed to huh-uh or uh-huh because that too will make for a cleaner record. If you need to take a break at any time, just let me know. We'll take a break. The only question -- or the only request I have is that if there's a question on the floor, you can answer the question, and then we can take a break after that. Is that agreeable?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Great. And then last, I may ask questions today that sound confusing. I promise you I'm not trying to confuse you. So if a question is unclear to you, will you just let me know, and I'll try to rephrase it.
- A. Yes.

- Q. Great. All right. What did you do to prepare for today's deposition?
  - A. I reviewed my report a number of times. I

7

1 went back and looked at the depositions of Chris 2 Harvey. There were three different depositions that he provided in this case. Since my report, I've looked at 3 expert witness depositions that were taken after my 4 5 report, notably the depositions of Ken Mayer, Dan 6 Smith, Michael McDonald. There were two other 7 professors, one from I think Dartmouth. 8 Was that Dr. Herron? Q. 9 Yes, yes, Dr. Herron. Α. 10 Q. Okay. And looked at a large number of training 11 Α. 12 materials that were produced since my report and 13 complaints from the 2017 and 2018 elections. Obviously met with counsel just to go over my report and their 14 expectations of what they thought might be asked today. 15 16 Okay. And in looking at the Q. Sure. 17 additional training materials, do you know if you looked at the 2020 poll worker manual as it's called? 18 19 Α. In fact, I made a specific request No. 20 about that and was advised that it had not been 21 produced. 22 Q. Okay. Do you know about when you made that 23 request? 24 Α. Within the last two weeks. 25 0. Okay.

# **Kevin Kennedy - March 31, 2020**

8

1 MS. TANIS: Josh, has it been produced? 2 MR. BELINFANTE: My understanding is that it was produced on about the 13th or the 15th, one of 3 4 those. 5 MS. TANIS: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. Well, I'm 6 unaware of that, so --7 MR. BELINFANTE: Maybe the -- it's somewhere 8 before the 20th and after the 10th. How's that? 9 MS. TANIS: Okay. BY MR. BELINFANTE: 10 11 Q. Okay. Do you know, Mr. Kennedy, roughly how 12 much time you spent preparing your report? 13 I would be guessing. I put in quite a bit of effort looking at a lot of materials, so I don't 14 know the exact amount of hours that I've put in. 15 Probably more than I billed for, I'm sure. 16 17 Q. And do you know when you started working on 18 your report? 19 Again, I don't recall exactly. I was Α. 20 originally contacted in late September and had a number of conversations in October with different -- and began 21 looking at materials. And this is all in 2017. The 22 23 bulk of the work was done in November and early 24 December. Again, it --You just said 2017. Did you mean 2019? 25 Q.

Regency-Brentano, Inc.

- A. I did mean 2019.
- Q. Okay.

- A. It was definitely 2019.
- Q. All right. I was going to give plaintiffs' counsel a real strong kudos for some real foresight, but all right. We'll go with 2019. Okay. And who first contacted you about providing expert services in this litigation, do you recall?
- A. John Chandler.
  - Q. Okay. And what were you asked to provide an opinion on?
  - A. Well, I lay it out at the beginning and the end of my report, but basically to opine on what the basic policies, procedures, practices that should be in place for -- by the State Elections Board and the Secretary of State's office for the training of local election officials, including county officials, poll workers, and other participants in the process, to ensure that they are able -- that they are carrying out their responsibilities and to ensure that there is compliance with federal and state laws regarding the administration of elections in the state of Georgia.
  - Q. All right. Not asking what the data was, but can you tell me if you were provided with any data or documents from plaintiffs' counsel?

```
1
               Yes, I was provided with a large volume of
         Α.
 2
    materials from plaintiffs' counsel that was made
    available to them through discovery.
 3
               Were you asked by plaintiffs' counsel to
 4
         Q.
 5
    make any assumptions in terms of your analysis?
 6
         Α.
               I was not.
 7
               Let's go ahead and enter into as an exhibit
         0.
 8
    Exhibit 1, which is your report with the document
 9
    number 167 at the top. And, Mr. Kennedy, just let me
    know when you have that in front of you.
10
                (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-1
11
12
         was marked for identification.)
13
               Okay. I have a written copy of it in front
         Α.
    of me. I can also pull it up on my tablet, if
14
    necessary. And some of the documents that you proposed
15
    that I look at I will have to access that way.
16
17
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
18
         Q.
               Okay. And just let me know what I can do to
19
    make it easier as well. What I've tried to do is, when
20
    I refer to a page number, refer to the court's page
    number, which is about a page ahead sometimes of where
21
22
    the page number on the bottom is. But I'll, for the
    record, use both, and we'll go that way.
23
24
               Let me start with what is identified as
    Appendix A to your report. It's page 31 of 35 of
25
```

Document 167. Appendix A starts a new page number 1 at the bottom.

A. Yes.

- Q. Other than the information that you described at the beginning, including additional depositions of Chris Harvey and the expert reports of Drs. Mayer, Smith, McDonald, and Herron, additional training materials and complaints, does Appendix A accurately reflect the universe of documents you considered for the purposes of your report?
- A. Yes, it does.
  - Q. Okay. And I notice that one of the documents read was plaintiffs' amended complaint. Can you just tell me in your words what you believe this lawsuit is about?
  - A. I believe that the plaintiffs from the complaint have a number of voting rights claims, Section 1983 claims against the practices of administering elections in Georgia as they're carried out under the direction of the State Elections Board and the Secretary of State.
  - Q. In looking at page 3 of Appendix A, page 33 of Document 167, under other materials there are three interviews listed there. Do you see where I'm talking about?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Since this has been done, have you talked to anyone else about this lawsuit other than 3 plaintiffs' counsel and those persons identified on 4 5 page 3 of Appendix A? The only conversations that I've had about 6 7 the lawsuit other than this deposition today or 8 something to my wife --9 I won't ask about that. 0. Yeah, or other individuals was a 10 Α. recognition, I'm not sure, a couple of months ago that 11 12 one of the organizations on which I sit on the board is 13 also doing work for the Georgia Secretary of State. And I had a discussion with David Becker of the Center 14 for Election Innovation & Research to make it clear 15 that we would not -- and we set out the protocols to 16 17 make sure that there would be no discussion about the 18 case. 19 Okay. Q. 20 So that would be the extent of that. Α. 21 Q. Okay. 22 A. Talked to our counsel on that as well 23 obviously.

Sure. What did you talk about with Tammy

24

25

Q.

Patrick from Arizona?

- A. Basically Tammy Patrick is a long-time colleague who currently -- I'm trying to think what the organization she works for now is, but she was a member of the president's commission that was formed after the 2012 election, and she's very knowledgeable in general about the landscape. And I talked to her about resources that might be available assessing training across the United States just to get a sense of the places I could be looking for more information that gave a broader perspective on training in the states.

  Q. Do you recall what resources she identified?

  A. Well, she basically said that they had
- A. Well, she basically said that they had worked when she was with the Bipartisan Policy Center to put together sort of a document compiling it. But she didn't have a lot of confidence in the quality of it, so I never looked at it. She said that it just wasn't done in a very -- good job. So I really did not get any additional materials to draw on other than my experience that I've had over the past 40 years dealing with election administration in the country.
- Q. Okay. How about Matt Masterson, do you recall your conversation with him?
  - A. I do. Matt is a former --
- Q. And --

A. Go ahead.

Q. No, no, go ahead.

- A. I was going to say Matt is a former commissioner on the U.S. Election Assistance Commission. He now works for the Department of Homeland Security, also a long-time colleague. He's a former -- he was an employee of the U.S. Election Assistance Commission. He also worked for the Ohio Secretary of State's office before he became a commissioner. And basically my conversations with him were to get the contact information for Merle King because he wrote an article and I wanted to confirm the information I had was current.
- Q. And about how long did you talk to Merle King, do you recall?
  - A. Could have been about an hour.
- 16 Q. What were the topics, do you remember?
  - A. Basically I was just trying to make sure I understood the history of Kennesaw State's role in elections with the Secretary of State. I was quite familiar with it. I've known Merle and people he's worked with for quite a long time. He's -- he was usually the convenor of some of our election technology conferences that were held across the country. And, again, just wanted to make sure I had a good understanding of what the role was and how it had

1 transitioned into the Secretary of State's office. 2 Q. All right. Let's turn to your CV, which is part of Document 167 beginning on page 28. It is 3 separately paginated at the bottom. 4 5 Α. Okay. 6 You are an attorney; correct? Q. 7 Α. I am. 8 Q. Are you admitted in any states other than Wisconsin? 9 I am not admitted in any states other than 10 Α. Wisconsin but have several federal credentials. 11 Sure. I'm sorry. Admitted as a member of 12 Q. 13 the bar anywhere other than Wisconsin? 14 Α. No. 15 Okay. Have you ever issued an opinion on Q. 16 Georgia law before? 17 Α. I have not. When was the last -- and when you were in 18 Q. 19 private practice, or today, have you represented 20 clients in litigation? I have. 21 Α. When is the last time you represented a 22 23 client in an active litigation? And by active 24 litigation, I mean a lawsuit was actually filed. 25 Α. Well, I'm not sure exactly. I was the

general counsel for the Wisconsin Government

Accountability Board. I obviously had attorneys

working for me, so probably the last legal action that

I did in that respect was to file an amicus brief that

I signed and was actively involved in writing in front

of the Wisconsin state supreme court, which would have

been in 2015.

- Q. Okay. What case was that, do you recall?
- A. It was -- it involved a John Doe proceeding in which we were involved, so there's not much I can share about it. I know it starts out our main petitioner would be Schmitz I believe is the name of the case. A lot of the rest of it is sealed.
- Q. Understood. Okay. Did that involve elections, or was that part of the campaign finance side of GAB?
  - A. That was campaign finance.

- Q. Okay. Other than your time with the state for either the Government Accountability Board, which I'll refer to sometimes as GAB, or its predecessor organization, have you represented a client in private practice in active litigation, again, meaning there's an actual complaint that's been filed?
  - A. I have. I had a number of clients when I was in private practice in Madison. I was an assistant

district attorney before that where I represented the state in traffic, misdemeanor, and felony cases.

- Q. Okay. How about since leaving state, and by that I mean GAB, have you represented anyone in active litigation since then?
  - A. No, I have not.
- Q. All right. In your current -- you currently work with or are employed by, own, Kennedy Election Law Services; is that right?
  - A. That's right.
- Q. And does it have a website?
- 12 A. It does not.

- Q. How many -- I read the CV, but what type of entities are clients of Kennedy Election Services?
- A. Well, basically I've done -- I've provided expert witness testimony in this case and in the North Carolina case. I have worked for the District of Columbia on a campaign finance matter, along with a colleague of mine, former colleague of mine, basically independent contractors working for the District of Columbia to review their public funding program. That work ended last summer. I give a lot -- I give a lot of talks and presentations as, you know, a result of my continuing involvement in election administration. And that's basically it.

1 All right. You say your continuing Q. 2 involvement in election administration. Tell me what 3 you mean by that. Well, I sit on the board of two election 4 Α. 5 related organizations, the Center for Election 6 Innovation & Research, the U.S. Vote Foundation. I'm 7 also on the advisory board for MIT's Election Data Lab. 8 I participate in conferences for the Election Science 9 and Research, which has annual meetings, you know, where I will review papers and comment on them. And 10 I've continued to work for the City of Madison as a 11 12 poll worker. 13 Q. All right. For the organizations you identified, for any of those have you been asked to 14 advise or consult with state governments on election 15 16 administration? 17 Α. I have not. And I think you answered this, but just to 18 Q. 19 be clear, has Kennedy Election Services been retained 20 by any state government for advice or consultation on election administration? 21 22 Α. No. Let's talk about the case for a minute that 23 Q. 24 you identified. And I think I'm pronouncing it right, Bouvier versus Porter? 25

# **Kevin Kennedy - March 31, 2020**

A. Yes.

- Q. That's a North Carolina case in state court; correct?
  - A. That's right.
- Q. And tell me what that case is about in your words.
  - A. There are a number of individuals in North Carolina that were aggrieved by election complaints that were filed against them claiming that they had violated North Carolina and federal law by voting more than once in the 20 -- I believe it was the 2016 gubernatorial election. And they brought a defamation case, and I was asked to look at the matching process that the defendants used to identify these individuals as multiple voters and to comment on the efficacy of that matching process.
    - Q. Okay. What is the status of that case now?

      Is it still pending?
    - A. It is still pending. I know that they were in a mediation or negotiation -- a mandated period where they were supposed to be trying to resolve the case. My understanding is it hasn't been resolved and trial may occur this summer.
- Q. Do you know -- and I don't know North
  Carolina civil procedure, but has your expert

Regency-Brentano, Inc.

1 designation been challenged by the defendant in that 2 case? It has not, to my knowledge. 3 Α. All right. Okay. And the next one is the 4 Q. 5 One Wisconsin Institute versus Thomsen decision. Let's 6 go ahead and mark that one Exhibit 2. And for the 7 record, I'm referring to the opinion which is at 198 F. 8 Supp. 3d 896 from the Western District of Wisconsin in 9 2016. (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-2 10 was marked for identification.) 11 12 BY MR. BELINFANTE: 13 Q. In your words, what was that -- or go ahead, 14 sir. 15 I was just going to advise you I haven't pulled it up yet. And I know that when I printed it 16 17 out, it was very difficult to read and review. I have another copy that's a PDF version of that that I can 18 look at. 19 20 Q. Okay. If that's all right with you, I will -- I 21 Α. 22 will pull that one up because it's the one that I got after the decision was released. It doesn't have the 23 24 West case headnotes and things like that in it. 25 Q. Sure.

- A. If you're comfortable with that, that's the one I will pull up.
  - Q. Absolutely.
- A. And then just give me a second to get that in front of me.
  - Q. Sure. Just let me know when you have it.
- 7 A. I did not want to print 119 pages.
- 8 Q. Understood.

3

6

- 9 A. All right. I have that in front of me.
- Q. Okay. In your words, can you just tell me what that case was about?
- 12 Α. This was an action brought by a -- by two 13 different voting rights groups and a number of individual plaintiffs against -- originally against the 14 Government Accountability Board and two of its 15 officers, including myself and my elections division 16 17 administrator. So I was one of the named defendants in the original action, and it was brought challenging a 18 19 series of laws that were enacted by the legislature in 20 the 2011-2012 and 2013-2014 session that made a number of changes to the administration of laws -- election 21 laws in the state of Wisconsin. 22
  - Q. And when were those laws passed?
- A. There was probably eight or nine separate acts that were passed over a four-year period. The

```
1
    first --
 2
         Q.
               Okay.
               The first one was May 25th of 2011, which is
 3
         Α.
    the state's new voter ID law. And that included some
 4
 5
    additional changes, and that proceeded well into the
 6
    fall of 2013. There may have been some things in the
 7
    spring of 2014. The legislative session usually ends
 8
    around March of an even-numbered year.
 9
               All right. The court describes your
         Q.
    testimony starting at the end of page 924 as I have it.
10
    It's in the section under (c), other challenged
11
12
    provisions.
13
         Α.
               Give me a second to find it.
               Sure. It's at a paragraph that begins, "The
14
         Q.
    acknowledged impetus for this law was the sight of long
15
    lines of Milwaukee citizens voting after hours."
16
17
         Α.
               Sorry. I'm just scrolling through looking
    for headings.
18
19
                       There's a block quote with your --
         Q.
20
               Yeah, I remember the quote. I remember the
    quote, but I want to have it in front of me before we
21
    talk about it.
22
23
               Oh, absolutely. Yes, yes.
         Q.
24
               You say it's under other challenged
         Α.
```

25

provisions?

```
1
               Yes, little (c). I guess it's big C,
         Q.
 2
    intentional discrimination, then little (c), other
    challenged provisions.
 3
                Yes, okay. "The acknowledged impetus for
 4
         Α.
 5
    this law was the sight of long lines," et cetera?
 6
         Q.
               Yes.
 7
         Α.
               And then there's a block quote, which is --
 8
               Yes.
         Q.
 9
               -- attributed to -- yes.
         Α.
                All right. So my question is with that last
10
         Q.
11
    sentence that introduces the block quote, it says, "At
12
    trial, Kevin Kennedy, director of the GAB, confirmed
13
    that the purpose of reducing the hours for in-person
    absentee voting was to restrain voting in Milwaukee."
14
    Do you see that?
15
                I do.
16
         Α.
17
         Q.
               Do you agree with that characterization of
    your testimony?
18
                I think that's a fair characterization of
19
         Α.
20
    the testimony.
                All right. And the block quote that's there
21
         Q.
22
    appears to quote from the transcript of the trial.
23
    don't expect you to recall if that is an accurate
24
    statement word for word, but generally is that block
25
    quote consistent with your testimony?
```

```
1
         Α.
               Yes.
 2
         Q.
               Okay. And you were a witness in that case.
    You were a fact witness and not an expert witness;
 3
    correct?
 4
 5
               That -- I guess that's a fair
         Α.
 6
    characterization. I was a defendant. The plaintiffs
 7
    called me adversely as the first witness in their
 8
    trial.
 9
               I see. Okay. So you were not -- you were
         Q.
    not testifying in any case as an expert in election
10
    administration.
11
               Well --
12
         Α.
13
         Q.
               Let me ask it this way. Were you qualified
    as an expert witness in the One Wisconsin Institute
14
15
    case?
16
               No, I was not qualified as an expert.
17
         Q.
               Okay.
               MS. TANIS: Josh, and what you mean by
18
19
         qualified as an expert was actually like getting
20
         the court saying, yes, he's an expert witness and
         he's qualified to be an expert witness?
21
22
               MR. BELINFANTE: Yes.
23
               THE WITNESS: And that was my understanding
24
         of your question as well.
25
       / /
```

BY MR. BELINFANTE:

- Q. Okay. Great. So we're on the same page.

  Have you been qualified as an expert, as Ms. Tanis just defined it, in any litigation involving election administration?
- A. I have not. I've testified primarily as a fact witness because of my expertise, but obviously that does not mean that we went through the process of qualifying me as an expert. I believe there were cases where the court recognized that expertise. They tended to be trial cases in Wisconsin.
- Q. And did they involve -- and forgive me if what I'm about to ask is a painfully obvious question, but you understand the process we're in. Those cases in Wisconsin, did they involve questions of Wisconsin election administration?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. If you can turn in your report,
  again, Document 167, the substantive report itself,
  page 2 of the court filing beginning on page 1 of the
  report, there's a heading synopsis that goes over to
  page 2 or page 3 of the court document.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Does that portion synopsis reflect the opinions that you are offering in this report?

A. Yes, it does.

- Q. Okay. So let me ask a series of questions about things to see -- to make sure that effectively what you're not opining on. Are you giving an opinion on what is referred to by the plaintiffs as the use it or lose it statute?
- A. I certainly looked at the allegations concerning that in the various information in there, and that informed my opinions about training and procedures related to administration of law. But it was not something, the practice itself, that I provided an opinion on.
- Q. All right. And you're not providing an opinion on the policy of what plaintiffs refer to as exact match; is that correct?
- A. Again, I certainly looked at the allegations concerning that, the materials related to that in looking at training and enforcement and complaints that were filed, but I did not prepare an opinion on the exact match policy.
- Q. And I know throughout your report you cite several provisions in Georgia law. Did you happen to look at legislation passed in 2019 known as House Bill 316 to see what changes were made, or did you just go statute by statute as identified in your report?

A. I looked at the statutes that were available, which would have been after the law was passed. In my preparation there were a lot of questions in the depositions about changes that House Bill 316 made. I did not look at the legislation itself. But, you know, there were materials that were presented that summarized House Bill 316 that were part of the materials presented to the county election official conferences, so in that sense I had somewhat of a familiarity with the changes.

- Q. All right. Are you offering an opinion on whether any state officials have acted with intentional discrimination towards voters of color?
- A. Again, I looked at allegations concerning that, materials related to that, but I'm not offering an opinion specifically on that, no.
- Q. All right. Okay. Let's talk briefly about your time with the Government Accountability Board in Wisconsin and its predecessor organization. Who appointed you as the director of the GAB?
- A. The Government Accountability Board itself appointed me. They are six former judges who were appointed by the governor from a list that was recommended to the governor by state court of appeals judges based on applications they reviewed, and then

1 they were confirmed. The initial board was -- half of 2 it was confirmed by the assembly and half by the senate. Following that initial appointment, members 3 were confirmed by the senate only. 4 All right. Are judicial positions in 5 Q. 6 Wisconsin partisan? 7 Α. They are not. 8 Q. All right. On Document 167 again, your 9 report, page 3 for the court and page 2, you write under the professional background, second paragraph, 10 last sentence, you held the position -- that position, 11 12 meaning director and general counsel for the GAB, until 13 the dissolution of the GAB on June 29th, 2016. Do you 14 see that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 The GAB was dissolved by an act of the Q. 17 legislature; is that correct? 18 Α. That's right. 19 Q. And was that Wisconsin Act 118 of the 2015 20 assembly? 21 Α. Yes, it was. 22 Q. Okay. Do you have a copy of that with you? 23 I can pull up a copy by pulling up the link Α. 24 that you provided. Okay. Let's go ahead and mark that as 25 Q.

```
1
    Exhibit 3.
 2
                (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-3
         was marked for identification.)
 3
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
 4
               I may not have any real questions from it,
 5
 6
    so we'll just go ahead and mark it while we're there.
 7
    Why, in your opinion, did the legislature dissolve --
 8
    well, let me ask this first. How were the functions of
 9
    the GAB -- let me start over. Act 118, as I understand
    it, broke out the campaign finance functions of the GAB
10
    from the election functions, and there are now two
11
12
    different boards, one governing elections and one
13
    governing campaign finance. Is that accurate?
               Not entirely, no.
14
         Α.
15
         Q.
               Okay. But -- go ahead.
               The fact is the GAB was responsible not only
16
         Α.
17
    for campaign finance and elections but also ethics and
    lobbying regulation. And ethics and lobbying
18
19
    regulation originally had been under a state ethics
20
    board, and elections and campaign finance had been
    under a state elections board before the creation of
21
22
    the GAB. And I was in charge of the State Elections
23
    Board, which had elections and campaign finance.
24
               When the GAB was created, two divisions were
    created, an elections division and an ethics and
25
```

accountability division, which had ethics, campaign finance, and lobbying as its responsibilities. When the GAB was dissolved and two new commissions were created, one was an elections commission, and the other was an ethics commission which has responsibilities for campaign finance, lobbying, and ethics.

- Q. Got it. Okay. Why, in your opinion, did the legislature make that decision?
- A. The legis -- well, if you look at the structure of the agencies, it's reflected in the fact that the legislature chafed under the existence of an independent executive branch agency that was not part of the governor's cabinet. It was an independent agency created by the legislature again in 2007, but it was a sense, from my opinion, that the legislature wanted more control of this executive branch agency which regulated election administration, campaign finance, ethics, and lobbying, all actions that touch very clearly on the operations of the legislature.

And if you look at the structure of the two new commissions, even though it's technically an executive branch agency, four of the members are direct appointees of the legislature, and the other two commissioners that are appointed by the governor come from lists submitted by the legislature, one Democratic

1 list of former clerks or a Republican list of former 2 clerks that the governor has to choose from for the elections commission and a separate list of I believe 3 former judges for the ethics commission. 4 Okay. And does the elections commission 5 have an executive director today? 6 7 They call it an administrator is the title 8 for the position. 9 That's right. And who is the administrator Q. today? 10 11 Α. Today it is Meagan Wolfe. 12 Q. Did she work with you at the GAB? 13 Α. She did. Okay. And who appoints the administrator? 14 Q. The commission appoints the administrator 15 Α. 16 subject to confirmation by the senate. 17 Q. All right. Okay. Looking at again your report, Document 167, at pages 28 -- that's not right. 18 19 Hang on one second. I'll tell you what. I'll come 20 back to that. Actually, I'll just ask this. While you were the executive director of the GAB, one of the 21 22 things you were responsible for was directing the 23 implementation of federal mandates under the Help 24 America Vote Act of 2002, or HAVA; is that correct? 25 That's correct, although let me clarify that Α.

```
1
    my title in the statute was legal counsel for the
 2
            The working title that the board adopted when I
    was hired was director and general counsel because they
 3
    saw me as the chief of staff for the organization but
 4
 5
    recognized I was also the lead counsel for the agency.
 6
         Q.
                I see. Okay.
 7
         Α.
                So --
 8
               And -- go ahead.
         Q.
 9
                I was going to say so, for example, when
         Α.
    you're looking at Wisconsin Act 118, you know, the
10
11
    statutory record of my position would be legal counsel.
12
    That position was --
13
               I gotcha. Okay.
         Q.
                -- dropped and replaced by administrator.
14
         Α.
                All right. Oh, I found where I was looking.
15
         Q.
    It's on your CV. So it's correct that it's
16
17
    Document 167, page 28 and 29 of the court document.
    It's at the bottom of page 1 and 2 for your CV.
18
19
         Α.
                Yes.
20
               When you say there at the bottom that you
         Q.
    were responsible for directing and implementation of
21
22
    the HAVA mandates, what does that mean? What did that
23
    entail?
24
         Α.
               Well, first of all, it recognizes the fact
    that HAVA requires that there be a chief state election
25
```

official who's ultimately responsible for the implementation and administration of the Help America Vote Act in that state.

Q. Yep.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And since that was passed in 2002, it meant Α. making sure that the state complied with the mandatory requirements of Title III, which basically dealt with accessible voting equipment, voting equipment standards, establishing a statewide voter registration system, establishing a system of provisional ballots, establishing an independent complaint process. Those were the basic requirements of the Help America Vote Act that the chief election officer and, in my case, I would have been responsible for implementing for the state of Wisconsin and continuing to administer and would be accountable for its responsibilities. That's carried over obviously to this day. It would now be Meagan Wolfe's responsibility since that's the role she plays on that.

It also meant responsible for the proper -acceptance and proper use of the federal funds that
came with the Help America Vote Act, and there's been
continuing funds distributed even as recently as 2019
to the states under the provisions of the Help America
Vote Act, mostly for election security, but there's now

1 something that was passed with the stimulus package 2 that provided \$400 million to the states under the auspices of the Help America Vote Act to assist dealing 3 with the breakout. 4 Okay. And in your role also at the GAB, 5 were you responsible for implementing any federal 6 7 mandates arising out of The Uniformed and Overseas 8 Citizens Absentee Voting Act or UOCAVA? 9 Yes, I was. Α. And do you deem your efforts in implementing 10 Q. UOCAVA to have been successful in Wisconsin? 11 12 Α. They have been generally successful. 13 think they -- you know, it's an area that requires constant vigilance. But, yes, they were successful. 14 We spent quite a bit of time in litigation with the 15 U.S. Department of Justice. 16 17 Q. And those are lawsuits that the Department of Justice brought against Wisconsin? 18

- A. That's right.
- Q. All right. And there was a consent decree entered in at least one of those cases; right?
  - A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And is it true that the department alleged that there was not uniformity across Wisconsin's cities, towns, and villages in the implementation of

## UOCAVA?

- A. That might be how they characterized individual clerks' failures to comply with it.
- Q. How would you characterize what the DOJ was -- had sued the state over?
- A. Well, there was some disagreement about the interpretation of the law and the application of the law that got resolved in that, but there was also a recognition that there were a handful of the 1850 -- at that time probably 1854 municipal clerks who did not meet the state or federal requirements for the timing of getting ballots to the clerks. And we had to ensure that there was extraordinary action taken to cover those handful of cases, a little small number of cases.
- Q. To your knowledge, has that consent decree been lifted?
- 17 A. I do not know.
- Q. Let me ask this. What is the difference in Wisconsin between a city, a town, and a village?
  - A. Basically they are three individual governmental units. Cities and villages are incorporated and have certain degrees of power. Towns are an individual unit of government. Most of you would think of them as rural county areas, I think. They are part of the 6 by 6 square mile grid within

1 each county, which obviously that basic territory can 2 be reduced by natural landmarks such as rivers, lakes, and annexations by cities. There may be a village 3 incorporated within the boundaries of the town on that. 4 5 But basically when Wisconsin was 6 established, there was a grid -- all of the towns got 7 divided into roughly 6 by 6 squares, and they were 8 given autonomy as the town -- electing a town board, a 9 treasurer, a clerk. They could elect a constable. But it is a unit of government with its own taxation, its 10 11 own administration requirements. And the town clerk 12 was responsible for running elections just like a 13 village clerk or a city clerk would be. Okay. So each one of those units, village, 14 15 town, city, run elections within their jurisdiction; is that correct? 16 17 Α. That's right. Okay. And if there's a statewide election, 18 0. 19 those three entities, village, town, and cities, will 20 still be implementing or administering the elections in those jurisdictions; is that right? 21 That's correct. 22 Α. Okay. If you could go ahead and pull 23 Q. 24 Wisconsin Statute Section 5 dash -- or, excuse me, 5.05, the elections commission statute. And we'll go

```
1
    ahead and mark -- sure. We'll mark that Exhibit 4.
 2
                (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-4
         was marked for identification.)
 3
               So I have the PDF for Chapter 5 available
 4
         Α.
 5
    that then breaks it down into sections.
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
 6
 7
         Q.
               Okay. That's fine. I'm looking at 5.05.
 8
         Α.
               Okay.
 9
               And specifically -- I don't know if you'd
         Q.
    call it subsection 7 which has got the heading
10
    "Administrative meetings and conferences."
11
12
         Α.
               Yes.
               Okay. Would you agree with me that Section
13
         Q.
    7 is the only time that training appears in Code
14
    Section 5.05?
15
               I'm not entirely sure because there are --
16
         Α.
17
    there's a voter education provision below that in
    Section 12. There's a section in 14 that requires the
18
19
    commission to gather information from county and
20
    municipal clerks, all of which -- there's a policies
    and procedures section in sub 16 and the sub 15 under
21
    the registration. Most all are going to implicate
22
23
    training. But as far as a specific charge goes, that
    is the directive for the commission.
24
               I see. All right. And Section 5.05,
25
         Q.
```

1 subparagraph (7), that's where the commission at least 2 has its authority to conduct regular information and training meetings on elections; is that correct? 3 Α. 4 That's right. All right. The Section 5.05(1)(d) -- let me 5 Q. 6 know when you get there. It's the one that begins "sue 7 for injunctive relief." 8 Α. Oh, okay. Yes. 9 Okay. That one says effectively that the --Q. now the election commission can "sue for injunctive 10 11 relief, a writ of mandamus or prohibition, or other 12 such legal or equitable relief as may be appropriate to 13 enforce any law regulating the conduct of elections or election campaigns, other than laws relating to [sic] 14 campaign financing, or to ensure its proper 15 administration." Do you see that? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Okay. As I went through Act 118, which Q. 19 amended Section 5.05 in 2015, the only change that I 20 saw to that paragraph was the insertion of the language 21 "other than laws regulating campaign financing." Would 22 you agree with that? 23 Yes, I would. Α. 24 Okay. So while you were with the GAB, it Q.

could bring these lawsuits to enforce the conduct of

elections or election campaigns. Maybe other stuff too, but that's what I'm focused on. Is that right?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. How frequently did the GAB bring a lawsuit to enforce the conduct of elections or election campaigns during your tenure?
- A. Well, I'm thinking that the -- I can only think of one specific occasion that we did that, and that was actually done under the auspices of the Wisconsin State Elections Board where we brought a lawsuit to ensure because the town board did not have enough people to appoint a clerk and we needed to make sure that there were individuals available to run an election in that municipality. So we brought a lawsuit for the purposes of having a court appoint someone to run elections because there was no active town board that could carry that out. That's the one case I can remember.

It certainly was something that we were aware of and kept under consideration for things such as our authority if we needed it in addition to other requirements to ensure that local election officials were complying with the law. There were other provisions that we had that were probably more effective than bringing a lawsuit.

Q. Like what?

- A. I'm sorry. Did you ask a question?
- Q. Yeah. I'm sorry. What would you deem to be more effective than filing a lawsuit, other tools you had, other powers?
- A. Section 5.06 of the statute basically provided that any complaint about the administration again was -- it was primarily limited to elections but allowed the fact you could not -- an outside party could not bring an action against a local election official without coming to us first under 5.06. And that gave us the power to conduct the investigation and to issue orders to conform their conduct to law. That tended to be much more effective.
  - Q. How frequently did that happen?
- A. We had cases every year, mostly dealt with overseeing ballot access decisions that local officials made that didn't comply with the law.
- Q. Roughly how many? And I realize -- and let me -- I'll try to narrow it for you. For the time that the GAB was in place, so from 2007 to 2016, do you recall on average how many a year of cases like that you would see that were pursuant to Wisconsin Statute 5.06?
  - A. There would be more in odd-numbered years

because there would be more local elections, offices for local -- offices up for consideration in that time period, so odd-numbered years would often generate more, although presidential years in Milwaukee offices were apt to generate some. But eight to ten cases would be usually under our purview.

- Q. All right. Do you know where I could find information that identified those cases?
- A. You would probably have to contact the current public information officer with the Wisconsin Elections Commission and make an open records request for opinions and orders that were issued under 5.06 for a particular period of time.

One of the things that the Wisconsin

Elections Commission did was take down for all

practical purposes the historical government

accountability voter website. Obviously they converted

a lot of information and documents to their own use,

but a lot of the historical actions, which are required

to be preserved under Wisconsin's open records law,

would have to be accessed probably through -- she would

probably be able to help you find those on something

you're going to find available anymore.

Q. Okay. All right. Let me ask you to take a look in that same Code Section 5.05(2)(m), which is

enforcement.

- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And 2(m), paragraph (a), says that "The commission shall investigate violations of the law administered by the commission and may prosecute alleged civil violations of those laws," and then it goes on to talk about how. How often during your tenure with the GAB -- so, again, 2007 to 2016 -- did the commission engage in investigating violations?
- A. Well, this statute was used primarily for campaign finance and lobbying law at the time.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. If you look at Act 118, you can see that Section 2(m) was essentially lifted and copied over in Chapter 19 under the responsibilities of the ethics commission. I can recall specifically one case that was brought investigating elections. Most of what we did under this section, again, was campaign finance, ethics and lobbying. And, you know, when the GAB was created, this section was created then to really direct the broad investigative authority -- the powers that the agency had.

There really aren't any civil penalties in Wisconsin for violating election laws or local election officials like Georgia has where they can be what we

would call a forfeiture. I think you call them a fine, but it's not a criminal monetary penalty. We don't specifically have that. I've talked to local DAs who think there's some general catchall provisions where they could do that. But, again, most of our compliance probably would have gone under 5.06 for election issues. But we did use this section in 2011 to investigate the actions of a county clerk that generated a statewide recount.

- Q. Tell me about that. What -- well, let me just ask it this way. What was the underlying issue that caused there to be a recount?
- A. Well, on election night it looked like the incumbent supreme court justice, who had been appointed by the governor, was losing by a couple of hundred votes out of 1-1/2 million votes cast. And this was sort of a referendum on the new actions for the governor who had put in place some -- this led to a very politically turbulent time in Wisconsin between 2011 and 2015 where the governor and the legislature essentially stripped collective bargaining rights of public employees and --
  - Q. Yep. Okay.

A. That was really one of the issues in this supreme court race, not directly, but it was viewed as

that. And it looked like the incumbent justice who was facing his first election after being appointed was going to lose. And then when the county canvass in Waukesha County was conducted, the county clerk gave me a call right before holding a press conference to say they had, quote, found 7,500 votes for the incumbent.

And that switched the results of the election obviously and actually to a point where people who had been flying in from around the country to conduct this recount packed up and went home. We still conducted the recount, and Waukesha County got a lot of scrutiny. The losing candidate filed a complaint with our office claiming that the clerk had violated the law. We conducted a pretty thorough investigation on that.

- Q. What was the conclusion of the investigation?
- A. The conclusion was that the clerk did not carry out her responsibilities effectively. I mean, the bottom line was rather than following established procedures for canvassing election results, the clerk had basically not noticed that a whole municipality had not reported their results when it posted the unofficial results after the election. And so for one of the cities in Waukesha County, there would have

been, had she paid attention when she was posting the results, 24 zeros from the 24 reporting units in the municipality.

But because it was a very large turnout, the vote totals on election night seemed to make sense to her. But, again, she did not have someone assisting her in entering the reported results, and so that whole municipality was missed. We basically documented it was not an intentional error, but there was significant negligence on behalf of the clerk on that. And we, of course, pointed out both the errors, including criticizing the fact that she knew about these things for two days before disclosing them.

- Q. Was there any fine issued against the clerk or the county?
- A. There was no fine issued because, again, there was no identification of a criminal violation. And what would have happened under 5.05(2)(m) would have been a referral to the district attorney for prosecution had there been probable cause to believe that she'd actually committed a crime as opposed to, you know, basic negligence. You know, it was clearly not intentional on her part in terms of the mistake that was made. But the failure to disclose was technically not, you know, something that was perceived

by the board as a criminal coverup or anything like that.

- Q. Was the clerk ordered to have any kind of extra training?
- A. Yes, we did some significant followup for training. That was also a lesson learned that we could share with our other election officials, most of whom were shaking their heads that it even happened.
- Q. Okay. Was there a statewide training that occurred as a result of this or just in that county with that clerk? I guess I should say that city, right, because it was a city administered position or --
  - A. The mistake was made by the county clerk.
- Q. I get it. Okay.

A. The city reported its results to the county, and it's the county's job to take the results and at the County Board of Canvassers certify to us the results within the county for state and federal campaigns, state and federal elections. But the error was clearly on the county.

And so I believe there was an order issued by the board directing changes. Obviously the county was pretty proactive in this as well in terms of asserting the more control. The county is an -- the county clerk is an elected official, so there could be some tension between the county -- Waukesha County has a county executive, and so there could be some tension between two countywide elected officials as a result.

It was a politically sensitive issue within Waukesha County. And it was, you know, an example of where we, you know, identified a problem with the vote on that in our training throughout the state. And we did -- what we did do was make sure that there was what we called a training module developed from the County Board of Canvassers, the people who certify the results in the county, state, and federal elections. It's the step that occurs after the election when all of the results are reported in going over all the documentation that they have to review and put together.

You know, it gave us a good opportunity to ensure that there were -- another module that local election officials could have to say these are the expectations that we have for county clerks and their staff and the County Board of Canvassers.

- Q. Okay. During your time with the GAB, it was required to file annual reports. I believe it was with the legislature; is that correct?
  - A. No. That was a change that came. Again,

1 you know, 118 put an awful lot more legislative control 2 over these two independent agencies, from the appointment of the commissioners to some very specific 3 reporting requirements directly to the legislature. 4 5 Prior to that, all state agencies, including Government Accountability Board and before that the State 6 7 Elections Board were required to file what are known as 8 biannual reports that are a summary of their reporting 9 activity, their operational activity over that two-year period. 10 And we were on a different schedule because 11 12 of the election cycle, so we actually did a calendar 13 year report as opposed to a fiscal year report. Most agencies' biannual report would be -- correspond to the 14 biannual budget from July 1st of an odd-numbered year 15 through July 30th of an even-numbered year, whereas we 16 17 would be January 1st of an odd-numbered year through 18 December 31st of an even-numbered year for our report. 19 That was one of the few exceptions, but it had more to 20 do with the recognition of the operational cycle for The campaign finance agency would be 21 elections. 22 different than your standard state agency that

Q. All right. Section 5.05, paragraph 5(s),

operates -- derives most of its authority based on the

23

24

25

biannual budget.

1 access to records. 2 Α. I'm sorry. When you say paragraph 5 --Yes, 5(s) as in Sam. So 5.05(5)(s). 3 Q. Oh, 5(s). Okay. I missed the 5. 4 Α. 5 Yeah, which is the access to records piece. Q. That appears to be largely similar to when you were at 6 7 GAB; is that correct? 8 Α. That's right. 9 Okay. I will tell you, and I may have done Q. it -- well, let me ask this. Do you know if I can go 10 on to the current Wisconsin election board's campaign 11 12 site and download training materials? 13 Α. I don't think you can. Yeah, I couldn't, so I didn't know if I was 14 Q. 15 looking at it the wrong way. 16 Well, I haven't been -- there's a difference Α. 17 from when I was in charge of the agency that might --18 Q. Okay. 19 -- be part of an expanded portfolio. 20 not followed up with my former colleagues to see about that. I know under Wisconsin public records law you 21 would be entitled to see that information, and people 22 23 regularly accessed our training materials. But we did 24 not -- I mean, we were developing protected access

during my time there similar to what is there now and

what I understand is in place for Firefly in Georgia.

Q. So when you say you were developing protective access, what do you mean by that?

A. Well, we were expanding our scope of our training, and we were trying to -- so one of the things that we were looking at and we had started working on was -- what's pretty much in place now was a portfolio of training materials that election officials could use for training that involved different platforms, interactive videos, guided reviews, things like that. And since most of the training was conducted either by our staff or the county officials or municipal officials, it also allowed people to have direct access to that.

And, for example, now as a poll worker, I don't have an access code, but before each election I'm required to have training. And I can go to an in-person training session, which all have been canceled for next week's election, or I can access it online. But also as a chief inspector, there's usually an in-person training, which would be -- which will be accessible online.

Q. And so I guess while you were at GAB, somebody could access the training documents, putting aside things about technology and ways to hook up the

```
1
    machines and all that kind of stuff.
 2
         Α.
               That's right.
               But any person could access, for example,
 3
         Q.
    training on provisional ballots; is that correct?
 4
 5
               That's right. We would have had -- at least
         Α.
    most of the basic information would be directly
 6
 7
    available off the website and be easily downloaded and
 8
    often was.
 9
               I think we lost him for a minute.
         Q.
               I just said --
10
         Α.
11
               Mr. Kennedy?
         Q.
12
         Α.
               -- often was. I can hear you. Can you hear
13
    me?
               Oh, yeah. I thought you said option one,
14
    and then I didn't hear anything.
15
16
               No. What I said was anyone could access the
         Α.
17
    information, you know, training manuals for various
    materials and even videos, and they often did do that.
18
19
    And I know they often did that because part of our
20
    meetings with the Government Accountability Board and
    before that the State Elections Board, people would
21
22
    come in to ask questions about that or make
23
    recommendations about the training material.
24
         Q.
               Okay. I'm going to ask you about Section
25
    5.05(5)(t), which is called "Guidance following binding
```

```
1
    court decisions."
 2
         Α.
               Yes.
               It looks like that provision remained
 3
         Q.
    unchanged as a result of Act 118.
 4
 5
               It was fairly recent. I'm wondering if it
         Α.
 6
    actually came into place as a result of the campaign
 7
    finance law changes.
               No, no, no. Yeah, I'm wrong. I was reading
 8
         Q.
 9
    the bill incorrectly. (5)(t) was created as part of
    Act 118.
10
11
         Α.
               Okay. And that --
12
         Q.
               So let me ask this -- go ahead.
               And that makes sense from my understanding
13
         Α.
    of the political dynamics around that time.
14
               Okay. How would -- while you were at the
15
    GAB, if there was a binding court decision that came
16
17
    down impacting elections across the state, how would
    the GAB communicate that to election officials?
18
19
         Α.
               There would be a number of different ways
20
    that we would do that. I mean, we had the email
    contacts for all of our election officials. We have a
21
    corner on our website that was actually a publicly
22
    available corner called the Clerk's Corner. We would
23
24
    issue a press release so that things got covered on
25
    this, but we would communicate directly to the local
```

1 election officials via email. We would post the 2 information in the Clerk's Corner. Depending on the nature of that court decision, you know, there would be 3 training materials that would be revised. 4 In the case some of the UOCAVA litigation, 5 6 we were in direct communication by telephone with clerks. Usually, again, we were dealing with people 7 8 who hadn't conformed their conduct to law more than 9 anything else. And that limitation was not just municipal clerks. We would communicate to county 10 clerks on this as well, even though their 11 12 responsibility on elections is different than municipal 13 clerks. But they often want to take a leadership role in organizing their county, making sure that they're 14 uniform, so we certainly encourage that. 15 16 Q. Okay. 17 Α. Obviously this -- (inaudible) requirement, 18 so --19 I didn't understand that, sir. I'm sorry. Q. 20 I just said, you know, that language was a new requirement that really didn't impact any of --21 anything that I did, so what I gave you was the 22 description of how we handled the various court cases 23 24 as we experienced them. 25 Right. Yeah, and that's -- yeah, that's Q.

perfect. That's what I asked. I'm now looking for what is currently 5.05(6)(a), which is the advisory opinion piece.

> Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And I can't tell right now if that was an Q. addition from Act 118. Do you know offhand?
- 7 No. 6(a) was created with the 2007 8 Wisconsin Act 1, the creation of the Government Accountability Board. There was -- there was a formal opinion process that was (6) prior to that that was a 10 11 little less detailed about opinions. And (6)(a), I 12 think, to a certain extent reflected some of the 13 requirements that applied to the ethics board with respect to their ethics opinions, which had a different 14 impact than ours did under elections prior to the 15 creation of the GAB. 16
  - Q. Okay. How frequently would the GAB issue advisory opinions for elections during your tenure?
  - You know, not that often. It depended. Α. Most of the opinions tended to be campaign finance related rather than election related. There were -- I mean, we had -- the opinions we issued in a given year were -- you know, would vary, but most of them tended to be campaign finance opinions that continued under the Government Accountability Board. So if we did 20

opinions, then we had two or three, if any, that were related to election administration.

- Q. Okay. And do you think I could get those from the PIO at the campaign commission -- or, excuse me, the election commission?
- A. I think that's your best bet. That's where
  I would be going.
  - Q. All right.

- A. I mean, they used to be published. You know, the state law library, they historically stored some of those documents as well. The biannual reports that you referred to contained a summary of all of those documents.
- Q. Oh, okay. All right. I've got one more question on this statute. Then it's probably a good idea to take a short break, if that's okay with everybody. And specifically it's with one that we talked about before, which is 5.05(7), which is the administrative meetings and conferences provision.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. My question is how often would those training meetings take place?
- A. More often in odd-numbered years than in even-numbered years. The way Wisconsin's election schedule is set up, we have four elections in an

even-numbered year and just the spring elections in the odd-numbered years, which are primarily local offices and judicial offices and school boards. And so a lot of the training went on between May and October of odd-numbered years.

It took a number of different forms. We played around with the format over the years, but as a general rule we would hold regional meetings, and there could be anywhere from 20 to 40 meetings across the state. During that time period, we at one point tried to hold two very large meetings where we expected like a thousand people to be present, one in Madison, one in Milwaukee. We learned very quickly we needed to be in the northern part of the state, that that wasn't going to be good enough for a day-long presentation. Most of our meetings tended to be a half-day meeting when we did these meetings, and they were primarily related to election administration.

And we also -- we didn't count this as this, but we had the Wisconsin County clerks meet three times a year, and we always had anywhere from one to three hours of their agenda at all of those meetings. The Wisconsin Municipal Clerks Association met -- had regional meetings that we would often send representatives to. And that occurred much more often

1 after 2000 than it did prior to that time, although it 2 did occur prior to that time. The Wisconsin Towns Association had an annual conference that we always had 3 at least a half-day if not two half-day presentations. 4 5 And there's some overlap in the sense that some members 6 of the Town Association would be members of the 7 Municipal Clerks, but the Towns conference was for all 8 town officers, and it was a fairly large meeting. 9 mean, there are 1200 towns in the state, so we generally would have a fairly large meeting. 10 11 And the League of Wisconsin Municipalities 12 also had an annual institute for their clerks and 13 treasurers that we would participate in those conferences. Those were in addition to those annual --14 those other meeting provisions. I mean, that was just 15 part of the relationships that we established with the 16 17 professional organizations that represented various 18 levels of government in the state. 19 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Well, folks, I think 20 we've been going now for about an hour and a half. If y'all want to take just a five- to ten-minute 21 22 break, does that agree with everybody? 23 THE WITNESS: So the plan would be to at 24 11:45 your time, because I'm going to disconnect 25 my phone during this period of time and call back

```
1
         in?
 2
               MR. BELINFANTE: Sure. That's fine.
 3
               THE WITNESS: Okay. So I'll plan on dialing
         back in at 11:45 Eastern.
 4
 5
               MS. TANIS: Okay.
 6
               MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. I'm just going to
 7
         mute mine and keep the line open. Thanks
 8
         everybody.
 9
               MS. TANIS:
                           Thanks.
                (Thereupon, a recess was taken.)
10
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
11
12
         Q.
               As part of your report, you reviewed a
13
    significant number of Georgia statutes under our
    election code; correct?
14
15
         Α.
               Yes.
16
               And you opine on what those statutes mean;
    is that fair?
17
18
         Α.
               Yes.
19
               All right. And did you read any Georgia
         Q.
20
    cases? And by Georgia cases, I mean cases decided by
21
    Georgia courts.
               I did not. I read a number of federal court
22
         Α.
23
    decisions that came out of, I think, actions that
24
    prompted House Bill 316.
25
         Q.
               Okay. And those cases are the ones
```

```
1
    identified on Appendix A in your expert report;
 2
    correct?
         Α.
 3
                Yes.
               Okay. If you could, let's turn to Georgia
 4
         Q.
 5
    Code Section 2 dash -- or, excuse me, 21-2-50.
 6
         Α.
                Okay.
 7
         Q.
               And just let me know when you get there.
 8
         Α.
                21-2-50. Okay.
 9
         Q.
               Yes.
                And I'm looking at a printed version of
10
         Α.
    this, just so you're aware.
11
12
         Q.
               Okay. From the Westlaw?
13
         Α.
                It's West's Code of Georgia Annotated,
14
    Westlaw --
15
                That's it. Okay. Let's go and -- go ahead.
         Q.
16
                Sorry. I had point 2. Let me just -- let
         Α.
    me back up a minute. Okay. Dash 50.
17
                All right. Let's go ahead and mark Georgia
18
         Q.
    Code 21-2-50 as Exhibit 5.
19
20
                (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-5
         was marked for identification.)
21
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
22
23
               All right. Subsection (a) there reads that
         Q.
24
    "The secretary shall exercise all powers granted to the
    Secretary of State by this chapter and shall perform
25
```

1 all duties imposed by this chapter, which include the 2 following." So you would agree with me then that this code section is discussing the powers of the Secretary 3 of State; right? 4 5 That's right. Α. Okay. And paragraph (a)(11) addresses 6 Q. 7 training. Do you see (a)(11) there? 8 Α. Just a second. Two pages. 9 Q. Sure. 10 Α. Yes, I see that. Okay. And would you agree with me that 11 Q. 12 (a)(11) empowers the secretary to conduct training 13 sessions for the training of registrars and superintendents of elections? 14 15 Α. Yes. And the word poll watchers or the phrase 16 Q. poll watchers is not present in that statute. 17 that right? 18 19 It is not, but I would assume that if you're Α. 20 training the clerks and the superintendents that you'd be training them about poll watchers as that's part of 21 what they have to manage and it falls within the role 22 23 of the election officer. 24 Q. Well, let's break that down. Is it your 25 opinion that anything in that statute authorizes or

mandates the Secretary of State to train poll watchers directly?

A. Poll watchers? No.

- Q. Okay. And so you said that the -- is it your opinion that the Secretary of State is legally obligated to directly train poll watchers?
- A. I think that -- I mean, you used the term poll watchers. I'm trying to understand. Is a poll watcher different from a person who runs the voting operations? To me a poll watcher is someone who is observing the polls, and I don't think the term poll watcher in terms of statutes refers to poll managers or the various people that do the work in carrying out elections.
- Q. I'm sorry. Yeah, no, you made a good point.

  I mean poll workers, so let me ask the question again.

  Is it your opinion that the Georgia Secretary of State is legally obligated to directly train poll workers?
- A. I think the Georgia Secretary of State is legally responsible for the actions of poll workers, which means that in the Secretary of State's training the Secretary of State is going to have to ensure that poll workers are adequately trained because their responsibility is for their actions.
  - Q. And what is the basis of your opinion that

the Secretary of State is legally responsible for the acts of poll workers?

A. It says a number of things. One, other portions of Georgia statute designate that individual as the chief election officer. The State Elections Board, which the Secretary of State is the chair, has to establish rules and procedures to ensure that elections are conducted uniformly, which means they're reaching down to the election level, not just the county level. If they're directly training county people, they have to give them the tools and information to train the people on the ground as opposed to in the office.

There are a number of federal provisions that specifically put the chief election officer -- which the Secretary of State is designated in the statutes under various provisions to be the chief election officer responsible for federal law, notably the National Voter Registration Act, the Help America Vote Act, and I believe there are now provisions under UOCAVA that direct that.

I know from my experience and the experience working with other people administering elections in other states that it is the state election officer that's going to get sued under failure to comply with

UOCAVA, Help America Vote Act, the NVRA, or even the
Voting Rights Act. You're going to go through that.

So the responsibility is going to put on a duty to
ensure that the people who are doing the work have the
information, the tools, and the resources to do it to
conform to the law.

- Q. Is it therefore your opinion that the NVRA expressly imposes a legal obligation on the secretary -- or on the chief election officer of states to train poll workers?
- A. The NVRA holds that chief election officer responsible. You can't be responsible for the actions of the locals if you haven't trained them. You know, there's not an express command that I recall, but there certainly is a tie between the responsibility that the chief election officer has, which in this case is the Secretary of State, and the performance of those duties which are actually going to be carried out by polling place level workers, county and state level workers, advanced voting location based workers.
- Q. And is that the same analysis for the HAVA, the Help America Vote Act?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And same analysis for UOCAVA?
- A. Yes.

Q. All right. You also said, I believe, that there are other portions of the Georgia code that render the Secretary of State legally responsible for the acts of poll workers, and can you tell me what those are, in your opinion?

1

2

3

4

5

20

21

22

23

24

- Well, the fact that you have requirements 6 to -- and these primarily come through the -- excuse 7 8 They come through the state elections boards' 9 requirements for uniformity, fairness, and effectiveness with the statutes that deal with setting 10 out those guidelines. And the fact that the Secretary 11 12 of State is the one that's then conducting the training 13 down the road on this, the fact that the statutes say he -- say that office is the chief election officer 14 including, you know, the general restriction that the 15 Secretary of State can't be involved in political 16 17 campaigns because of that person's role as the chief 18 election officer, you know, certainly creates that --19 you know, that responsibility.
  - Q. And would you agree with me that the responsibility you're describing -- or let me ask this. Is it your opinion that the responsibility you're describing is implicit in the statute and not explicit in Georgia statutes?
    - A. Well, there's explicit requirements for the

training. And while the training may not specifically talk about every actor, the responsibilities that are going to generate that training, you know, are certainly implicit in the definition of the secretary as the chief election officer and the assignment of that under various federal laws.

- Q. Were you able to identify a single Georgia statute that expressly authorized and/or required the Georgia Secretary of State to train poll watchers -- or, excuse me, poll workers?
- A. The Georgia Secretary of State has to receive proof that they've been trained, although it doesn't name them. It just requires a number. So there are links tying the Secretary of State to the training of poll workers in that the counties have to certify that on such and such a date I trained this many people.
- Q. Right. And you would agree with me, though, that's different in terms of receiving evidence that someone has been trained from actually providing the training, wouldn't you?
  - A. That's right.

Q. Okay. So, given that, would you -- were you able to identify any Georgia statute that imposed an obligation on the Secretary of State, an express

1 obligation, to directly train poll workers? 2 Α. I think the obligation is implicit within the responsibilities of the Secretary of State. It's 3 not an -- you're correct, it's not an express one 4 5 because it runs down through the counties in terms of 6 the training. 7 0. All right. So with that in mind, let's look 8 at Code Section 21-2-70, we'll mark as Exhibit 6. 9 (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-6 was marked for identification.) 10 11 Okay. Α. 12 BY MR. BELINFANTE: 13 Q. And isn't it true that this code section addresses the powers of superintendents, election 14 superintendents in cities and counties? 15 The powers and duties, yes. 16 Α. 17 Q. All right. And one of those duties in paragraph 8 is to instruct poll officers and others in 18 19 their duties. Do you see that? 20 Yes, I do. Α. Okay. So is it your opinion that Georgia 21 Q. 22 law imposes a duty on city and county election 23 superintendents to train poll officers? 24 Α. Yes. And indeed one of the obligations imposed on 25 Q.

1 those city and county superintendents in that same 2 paragraph (a)(8) is to conduct that training so that elections are, quote, uniformly conducted, uniformly 3 conducted being the last two words of that statutory 4 5 provision. That's right. 6 Α. 7 0. Okay. If you could turn to Code Section 8 21-2-99, and we'll go ahead and mark that Exhibit 7. 9 (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-7 was marked for identification.) 10 BY MR. BELINFANTE: 11 12 Q. Just let me know when you get there. 13 Α. I'm there. Okay. Great. Would you agree with me that 14 Q. this code section imposes the duty on election 15 superintendents to, quote, provide adequate training to 16 17 all poll officers and poll workers? Yes, it does. 18 Α. 19 And the only time the Secretary of State is Q. 20 mentioned in this code section is where the superintendent has to notify the secretary of training, 21 who attended and who completed. 22 23 Α. That's right. 24 Q. Is it your opinion that Code Section 21-2-99

is the most specific statute with regards to the

1 training of poll officers and poll workers in the 2 Georgia election code? It's more specific than the last statute. 3 Α. It doesn't tell them the content -- you know, the 4 specific content. It just tells them what their duty 5 is, so the superintendent still has to know what they 6 7 have to provide. In terms of the content of the 8 training, it just says it's their responsibility to get 9 it done. And then the --Were you -- were you able to identify a more 10 Q. specific statute that speaks to the training of poll 11 12 officers and poll workers in the Georgia election code? 13 I did not find one. Α. Okay. Let's turn back to your report, which 14 15 is Exhibit 1, and specifically on page 2. Sorry.

Q. Okay. Let's turn back to your report, which is Exhibit 1, and specifically on page 2. Sorry. I'm looking for my phone notes. Okay. Sorry. The first paragraph on page 2 of Document 167, page 1 on the individually numbered one, the first paragraph that starts "I've been asked to opine."

A. Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Can you read through the first -- or to the first semicolon just for the court reporter on that one.
- A. "I have been asked to opine on the basic policies, procedures, and practices that the Georgia

Secretary of State and the State Election Board must have in place in order to train county election superintendents, registrars, and poll workers to carry out their duties."

- Q. Is that phrase, are those your words? Is that something that you put together?
- A. I put that together. It was my understanding of what I was asked to do, but I don't recall that I got a specific written directive on this.
- Q. Okay. When you say that the Georgia
  Secretary of State must have in place, what do you mean
  by must?
- A. I mean that they have a responsibility in order to carry out their duties under various state and federal laws to have policies, procedures, and practices in place to ensure that election officials at all levels of government are trained so that they can carry out their responsibilities.
- Q. And is a responsibility in what you just described the same as a legal obligation?
- A. Well, it's a legal obligation in that you can be held accountable for failure to carry out that responsibility or the consequences of the failure to carry out that responsibility. It may not flow from a direct statutory command. It may flow from the

responsibilities that you hold as the chief of -- or the person holds as the chief election officer.

- Q. You've used that phrase a lot, chief election officer. And I know it's in the Georgia Code, and we'll get there, but would you agree with me that the election code, the Georgia election code, does not define the term chief election officer?
- A. It simply assigns that responsibility to a particular office.
- Q. And that word or that phrase, chief election officer, comes from at least HAVA where HAVA requires states to designate for purposes of HAVA a chief election officer; isn't that right?
- A. It's part of federal law. It's -- I think the first provision that I saw it in was the National Voter Registration Act of 1993. I believe it wasn't in the original Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Voting Act, but that has been amended a number of times, including in the MOVE Act provisions after that in which the concept of a chief election officer has been incorporated.

I think, while I don't know if the term has been used in any of these court cases enforcing the Voting Rights Act, but that binds the holding states accountable for compliance with the Voting Rights Act,

and those generally went through the person in charge of administering the state's elections. That wasn't necessarily something that you saw the poll workers being called into court for. So I think the term and the concept certainly predates HAVA on this, but I think a lot of states -- there was a big change in election administration after 2000 in terms of holding states accountable through their states' chief election officer.

I mean, it changed the relationship, I think, between in most cases counties and the states, some places like Wisconsin and Michigan and the New England states municipalities and counties and the states to make it clear that in order to achieve the goals of federal legislation, which really grew out of a sense of a need for uniformity and accountability, that it would be the states that would be standing in their shoes for the responsibility to make sure those laws got carried out.

Q. All right. But somebody -- it's conceivable, at least, that a state could designate one person to be the chief election officer for various federal statutes and still have a different person at the state level who is responsible for all training.

Is that -- is that fair?

Regency-Brentano, Inc.

A. I think they can make those designations. I think there's a lot of different structures even within the secretary of states' offices. You have 37 states, I believe, where the person in charge of elections is the Secretary of State, but that person isn't always an elected official. Sometimes they're appointed by the legislature, sometimes by the governor. Sometimes they work with the board, and there are various divisions of duties that occur.

You have a handful of states where it's the lieutenant governor that is the chief election officer and a handful of states where an agent of the board, such as my role I played. In other states, such as Illinois and Maryland and East Washington, D.C., where there was a board without a secretary of state responsible for that and a key person was designated for that, and that was the individual who would be held accountable for failure to comply with those provisions.

Q. Also on page 2, which is page 1 of your report, Exhibit 1, you write that you have drawn on your experience and expertise as an administrator, consultant, and attorney actively involved in election administration at the state, local, and national level. Your experience as an administrator of elections is

limited to Wisconsin; isn't that right?

A. I wouldn't say that that is true because as an administrator I also was involved with my colleagues across the state, worked very closely. So I had experience not administering their elections but certainly working with the administrators, understanding the challenges that they faced, the problems they had, the innovations.

So I would not limit my experience in administering elections just to the day-to-day activities that I did in my role in Wisconsin. It was certainly informed and enhanced by the interactions with my colleagues, my role with professional organizations that brought those together.

Then there was the National Association of State Election Directors in which I served as president in 2006. There was the Elections Center where I co-chaired national committees consisting of states and local election directors. So my experience is informed by a lot more than just my day-to-day duties carrying out Wisconsin's elections.

Q. Sure. And I guess my question was a little more simple than that. You've never held any positions of authority to administer an election in a state other than Wisconsin; is that right?

```
1
                That's right.
         Α.
 2
         Q.
               Okay. And as a consultant, have you
    directly consulted any states regarding the
 3
    administration of elections?
 4
                I have not been compensated for that at this
 5
 6
    point, no.
 7
         Q.
                If you could turn to page 4, Document 167,
 8
    Exhibit 1. I've got a question. It's on the last
 9
    paragraph of that page. It's page 3 of the report.
                So this is my report you're referring to.
10
         Α.
11
         Q.
               Yes, yes.
12
         Α.
               Okay.
13
                That last paragraph -- oh, go ahead.
         Q.
14
    sorry.
               I just wanted to be clear to you I have not
15
         Α.
    written the exhibit numbers on my report.
16
17
         Q.
               Okay. Yeah, the entirety of your report,
    including the CV, is Exhibit 1, just for the record.
18
19
         Α.
               Okay. And which page again now that
20
    we're --
21
         Q.
                Sure. It's page -- on the report itself,
22
    it's page 3. For the court filing, it's Document 167,
23
    page 4.
24
         Α.
               Okay.
25
               The last paragraph on that page, the first
         Q.
```

sentence reads, "Wisconsin law requires the state elections agency, initially the State Elections Board and then the Government Accountability Board and now the Wisconsin Elections Commission, to conduct regular information and training meetings at various locations in the state for county and municipal clerks and other election officials." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. I believe that quotes back generally to Wisconsin Code Section 5.05, paragraph 7. And my question is what is -- or who is included in other election officials?
- A. I think it covers a large number of people. It would definitely include poll workers such as -- you know, who in Wisconsin we now call inspectors, that's the person in charge of the polling station, and the chief inspector. It includes special registration deputies, people who have been deputized by municipal clerks. At one time the Government Accountability Board could appoint those individuals.

It includes other -- basically anyone who has a role in a governmental capacity. I mean, they could be private citizens who have been appointed.

Obviously poll workers, many of them are employees, but they're appointed by the local governing bodies. The

```
1
    clerk could appoint various people with different
 2
    election day responsibilities, voting machine
    technicians, for example.
 3
               Uh-huh (affirmative).
 4
         Q.
 5
               So the idea I believe -- I mean, this
         Α.
 6
    language has been there, as far as I know, since at
 7
    least the time that elections were removed from the
 8
    office of the Secretary of State, which is 1974. And
 9
    the idea was to give the agency in charge of elections
    a broad range of authority in terms that it would make
10
    sure that it was doing some level of training.
11
12
         Q.
               Okay. And the selection of poll workers, is
13
    that done at the city, town, and village level?
               Yes, it is.
14
         Α.
               Okay. And let me ask you, if you can, to
15
    look at Wisconsin Statute 7.15, which we'll mark as
16
    Exhibit 8.
17
                (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-8
18
19
         was marked for identification.)
20
               I have 7.15.
         Α.
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
21
               Okay. Here, the first paragraph, paragraph
22
         Q.
23
    1, says, "Each municipal clerk has charge and
24
    supervision of elections and registration in the
    municipality." It may be that there's a code section
25
```

specifically on towns and villages, or is it that this municipal clerk would be defined as including the clerks in towns and villages?

- A. I think if you were to go to the definitions in 5.01, it would define a municipal clerk as a town, city, or village clerk. And it would include for election purposes the director of the Milwaukee City Board of Election Commissioners, but there's a separate parallel statute to 7.15 related to Milwaukee.
- Q. Okay. Got it. And so paragraph -- in this Code Section 7.15, paragraph 1(e) as in Edward, identifies one of the duties of these municipal clerks as training election officials in their duties. Do you agree with that?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. So in Wisconsin you have expressed statutory language both in 5.05(7) and 7.15 that empowers and/or mandates both the state and the municipal governments, and I mean that as defined in Wisconsin, to train poll workers. Is that an accurate statement?
- A. Well, I would not say that it's explicit that Wisconsin has to train poll workers. I think it's implicit with the other election officials, but it's clearly a responsibility that encompasses poll workers.
  - Q. I see. Okay. I'll pull it up at some

```
1
    point, but you may know off the top of your head. Does
 2
    the Wisconsin Statute 5.01 define the term other --
    hang on, sorry -- other election officials or election
 3
    officials?
 4
               I think there might be a definition for
 5
         Α.
    election officials.
 6
 7
         Q.
               Okay.
 8
         Α.
               But I don't -- again, I'd have to pull it
 9
    back up.
                      Okay. But during your time at the
10
         Q.
               Yeah.
    GAB, at least you interpreted other election officials
11
12
    to include poll workers?
13
         Α.
               I did.
               Okay. On page -- going back to your report,
14
15
    page 5 of the court document, page 4 of your report --
    I'm looking for it, but let me just ask the question
16
17
    while I'm still looking because you probably don't even
    need to refer to it. At one point in your report you
18
19
    talk about an article or paper that you helped write
20
    with the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering,
    and Medicine titled "Securing the Vote." Your
21
22
    involvement with that paper or article, there were
23
    several other individuals who assisted with that;
24
    correct?
```

That's right. There were -- the National

25

Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Academies of Sciences had brought in a number of academics, and there were three election officials on that, including myself. Q. Okay. Was there a part of that paper or that report that you focused on? Well, I focused on all of it. Q. Okay. At one point as we were preparing the final Α. report, we had broken it down into various areas. And I vetted two different sections that I and Professor Juan Gilbert from Florida were responsible for putting together. I authored the initial drafts of those. That was commented on by Professor Gilbert, and then I took those comments, incorporated them. And he 14 contributed to some of the examples that went into the two sections of the report. The full Committee on the Future of Voting it was called, you know, vetted that entire report. we had, you know, political scientists at Stanford and MIT and computer scientists looking at that as well, the whole committee. And this was -- this report was

gathered information from election officials and national security officials and individuals. I mean, we spent about a year and a half gathering the

put together after about five days of meetings of

```
1
    information and then putting this together. So a
 2
    lot --
               Which sections -- which sections of the
 3
         Q.
    report did you write? What were the topics?
 4
               Election training was one, and I think
 5
 6
    ballot design was the other. I know for sure -- yeah,
 7
    those were the two.
 8
               MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Let me do this, if I
 9
         could. I'll go off the record for half a second.
                (Thereupon, an off-the-record discussion was
10
11
         held between the parties.)
12
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
13
               Mr. Kennedy, I'm looking at page 5 of your
    report, page 5 being the court's designation, page 4
14
    being the one at the bottom of the page. And -- no, I
15
    lied. Page 5 is the one at the bottom of the page and
16
17
    page 6 is the report designation in your report. And
18
    my question is based on the second to last paragraph.
19
    The second sentence reads, "However, state and federal
20
    law, along with the evolution of election
    administration since the 2000 presidential election,
21
    suggest that there is a threshold foundation for
22
23
    training and holding election officials accountable
24
    that must be in place under the direction of the chief
    state election official to ensure elections are
25
```

administered in a fair, uniform, and transparent manner." Do you see that?

A. I see that.

- Q. Okay. You say that "state and federal law, along with the election" -- excuse me -- "evolution of election administration since 2000's presidential election suggests there's a threshold foundation."

  What there did you mean by suggest?
- A. I mean that experience has taught us and the laws that have been put into place and modified during this time have raised, I think, the awareness of necessity for people like the election officer to have a more rigorous accountable training program in place for all the election officials within that state to ensure that you are protecting the voting rights of the citizens, which is usually the whole reason why you have elections is to permit the citizens to participate in the selection of the individuals who are going to be running their government.

And I mentioned this before, but there was a sea change in what happened in 2000. Part of it was a reaction to the failure of the states to effectively respond to the 1993 NVRA. The 2000 election was really a watershed in showing the problems that occurred with local election officials not carrying out their

responsibilities, the lack of uniformity in a number of states, which we saw this in I think the general awakening of perception across the country that they don't do things the same in Florida as they do in Michigan.

And it was something that Congress responded to the put in election officials there was a resemblish

And it was something that Congress responded to, but in election officials there was a recognition that you have to really face the responsibility. There were a whole range of commissions that were put together. There was the Carter-Baker Commission, for example, that put together a whole series of recommendations on how to improve elections.

As I indicated, I served as the co-chair of two organizations -- or two different committees in 2001 and 2005 that put together a report on what this meant as we saw the changes coming by the development of the Help America Vote Act, which imposed responsibilities. And one of the big things it did is it changed, you know, in a formalized manner the relationship between state government and local election officials to ensure that they were going to be accountable for this. And I've made reference to this throughout the day.

And this is, you know, part of my experience working on these past boards, working with members of

Congress and their staff with the Help America Vote Act
that we put together to recognize that we're going to
look at elections differently. It's still been my
experience in Wisconsin -- I mean, prior to 2000 my
focus was campaign finance enforcement. We ran
elections, but it did not get the same level of
attention that it gets now.

- Q. But would you agree with me that there's nothing in the express language of the Help America

  Vote Act that imposes an obligation on chief election officers to train poll workers?
- 12 A. No, I would not.

- Q. Okay. Where is that?
- A. HAVA Section 101 specifically provides funding for that purpose, to train officials. I can't give you the exact cite because I'm so used to speaking about it in terms of the HAVA section. It is referenced, though, in my report where I --
  - Q. Yeah.
- A. And the footnote will give you the exact U.S. code cite to that. But it provides that -- in order to implement the Help America Vote Act, it provided enough money so that you can train, and it's the whole range of election officials, including poll workers.

- Q. Right. But it doesn't -- I mean, you also describe in that same section we're looking at that there's a threshold in foundation. Help me -- how does one identify what that threshold --
- A. How does one identify what that threshold is? You look at the requirements in the state code of what needs to be done to carry out an election. You look at the federal framework that surrounds that, the National Voter Registration Act, the Voting Rights Act, the Help America Vote Act, the UOCAVA, the Accessibility Act for the Elderly and Handicapped. That gives you the foundation of what needs to get done and establishes your threshold of what the responsibilities are going to be. And --
  - Q. But -- go ahead.

- A. Well, I think I've made my point.
- Q. Is there a single document or gold standard promulgated by an organization that sets forth, you know, what -- if I'm looking to get the gold standard in training and I'm looking to follow -- define what that threshold in foundation is, is there a singular document I can go to or a standard, or is there not?
- A. I don't think that there is -- I mean, I have not -- I mean, this is why I was talking with various people I know who are involved in this to see

if someone had synthesized these things differently from my experience on this. You know, as chief election officials, we see our vulnerabilities in the lawsuits. We see our responsibilities in the duties that we have to carry out, and that's, you know, what informs us. You know, it's a very diverse group, and it's also state specific. So --

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. You're cutting out on me.

A. I'm sorry. I said it's a very diverse group of election officials from across the country, and it's also going to be very state specific as far as how they carry that out. But what I'm doing as an expert witness here is gathering my experience over 40 years recognizing what the responsibilities that we all share are as chief election officer based on my experience, based on what I've seen in other states, based upon what I've learned from my colleagues, based on what I've gotten feedback from, whether it's elected representatives at the state level or the congressional level.

And my opinion is there is a threshold basis, and it consists of establishing a very rigorous program that touches every election official under the -- you know, within that structure, from the chief

election officer, the board members, down to the people who carry out the actual duties on election day, because it's the chief election officer that's going to get sued.

It was not unusual for me when I'd get pushback from the locals to say, "If I'm in court, you're going to be sitting next to me," because the people who would be holding my feet to the fire legally were usually not doing it for -- they may be doing it for institutional provisions I didn't have in place, but they would be doing it for specific failures that may occur right down to the polling place or advanced voting location.

And it's that accumulation of what's occurred since 2000, I think, that establishes the threshold in foundation when you're looking for what should be in place. And I think this is something that's embraced in practice across the country. I don't know if everybody gets it done right or they get it mostly right, but they certainly are trying to make sure that they are taking the lead. When you're the chief election officer, you're not just a figurehead. You are a leader, and you need to set the tone for how elections are going to be conducted within that space.

Q. I think you just said that the training has

to be rigorous. Are there metrics to determine that?

I mean, it's one thing to say it needs to be rigorous.

It's another thing to figure out how to measure it, and

I guess my question is on that second point.

A. Well, one of the best ways to measure it is to look at the complaints that you receive and what you do with those complaints when you receive them. And we talked about the problem in Waukesha County at the county level and how we responded with a more detailed explanation other than just the statutory language about what the duties were for the county clerk and the County Board of Canvassers in certifying election results after an election.

So, I mean, I don't think we have IEEE standards like you might have for a number of these across the country, but you certainly -- I mean, that's part of the reason why the Election Center exists was to broaden election officials' exposures to what's essential in conducting training.

THE REPORTER: Can you repeat that last sentence?

A. I said part of the reason why the Election
Center exists is to broaden election officials'
exposure to the essential elements of a training
program so that that can be implemented within their

jurisdiction.

1

2

3

4

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## BY MR. BELINFANTE:

- Is it your opinion that Georgia has a Q. rigorous training program in place?
- They have a -- they have a fairly large 5 portfolio, but it seems to be pretty descriptive. My 6 7 observation of what Georgia does is it says this is 8 what you're supposed to do, this is how you do it. doesn't -- I don't think it gets very detailed except on the technical aspects of it. You know, I only say 10 that based on screenshots. I think -- but even that's 11 12 not necessarily very detailed other than here's an 13 example.

I don't think, and I said this in the report, that the training really provides a context for that training, in other words, why are we doing what we're doing and who are we doing this for. It's missing the what in the how to do it. There is a lot of that description, but what are the consequences if we don't do it, why is this important, and who are the beneficiaries of this, who -- what's our goal to accomplish here is not part of it. It's more get it done.

In that same sentence that we talked about, Q. you talk about that election -- chief election --

excuse me -- chief state election officials need to ensure that elections are administered in a fair, uniform, and transparent manner. My question is on uniform. Is it -- do you believe that there's always going to be some level of variance in a statewide election?

A. It depends on what we're talking about in terms of variance. I mean, a person who comes to a polling place in the most rural area or who comes to a polling place in the most urban area with the same problem ought to be getting treated in the same manner. If they show up at the wrong location or whatever it is, there should be clear instructions about what's to happen, or if the forms that they've had to complete, whether it's for an absentee ballot, a provisional ballot, or the sign-in procedures or the type of identification need to be handled uniformly. How you access the polling place may vary in terms of the design of the location.

So the variance can't go to the assessment of someone's eligibility or the treatment of their documents. It probably has to go to more practical aspects. I mean, one example is observers at a polling place might be treated differently because of the structure of the polling place.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, one of the legal changes that was contested and was talked about in that One Wisconsin lawsuit was how far should an election observer be from the poll worker where the poll worker is interacting with a voter to observe what's going on. And there was a dispute about what was put together by the State Elections Board and then the Government Accountability Board based on the consultations of observer groups from political parties and local election officials on what the legislature deemed to be appropriate, and that came up in the lawsuit. And, you know, there was a recognition that there's a difference on how you treat observers in different polling places based on where you put them or --(Thereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held between the parties.) BY MR. BELINFANTE: Q. Were you done with your answer? Α. I believe so. Okay. All right. In your experience in Q. Wisconsin when you were legal counsel and administrator to the GAB, other than what we've talked about with UOCAVA, were there other incidents where counties would have some variance in a substantive issue, I mean, even whether it's how a provisional ballot was treated or

how something else was done differently in one county or one city versus another?

- A. There were some practices that were different. When you ask that question, I'm trying to find out are you talking about for people who didn't comply with what the legal requirements were or for people who did something slightly different because it made more sense to them?
- Q. Well, let's start with the second, they did something slightly different because it made more sense to them. Did that happen?
- A. That happened, and it was actually something that we recognized in our training. Probably the best example of that would be the check-in process for voters. We encouraged it. It wasn't required. The statute did not authorize it, but we had many municipalities that would have one of their poll workers serve as a greeter. In other words, when some -- as people came in or lines formed, this person's sole responsibility was to try and get the voters organized as they come in, if they needed to register at the polls -- because Wisconsin has election day registration -- get them identified, make sure that they have the information, make sure they're at the right polling place. That wasn't required anywhere,

but it was -- it varied among municipalities, and people liked it.

Checking in itself, in Wisconsin you have to come in in front of two poll workers and identify yourself and show the identification. And depending on the size of the polling place, they may break the poll books down, so A to L and M to Z or A to H and I to R and S to Z. They were allowed to do that. Sometimes there was a second person who checked the ID sitting between the two people who are checking the voters in. But these were process issues, not -- they were not differences to the, you know, is this a valid ID to show at the polling place.

- Q. Did you ever have in your experience there differences in substance, not just process? I'll give you as a hypothetical somebody would require a provisional at one polling place where the other they did not for some reason. I mean, that's just an example, but you get the idea, something more substantive?
- A. Yes. And that's why I asked you to make the distinction originally because there were times before we had photo ID requirement, we had people asking for photo ID when they shouldn't have asked for photo ID.
  - Q. And in your -- go ahead.

A. It was forbidden under the law at the time, and so that was something that we had to address. If we got a complaint on that, we followed up on that immediately. There were probably bigger issues that would, you know, come out of an election where we would focus -- we would take that information and try and address it.

Hopefully we could adapt it statewide if necessary, such as the example I gave you with the County Board of Canvassers, but it's an evolving

necessary, such as the example I gave you with the
County Board of Canvassers, but it's an evolving
process with election administration to identify the
problems. Yes, poll workers made mistakes. County
election officials made mistake. Our office made
mistakes.

And once those were identified, the idea was to make sure that they were corrected and that they were acted on and that that was something that involved the whole range of the election community, and we wanted to make sure that the public knew that those issues had been corrected. Following the recall elections in 2012 -- I'm sorry. I'm talking like you would know what a turbulent time we had in Wisconsin in 2011 and 2014.

- Q. Yeah, I remember from afar.
- A. Yeah. Well, I mean, our capitol was

surrounded by a hundred thousand people protesting some of the political issues there, and we were in the middle of that. That drove that supreme court election we were talking about, and it drove a series of recall elections later that year and then the recall of other officials, including the governor the following year. But my testimony that you've cited came out of the recall election.

But in the conduct of some of those elections we found problems in Racine County, for example, that got a lot of attention. And we were back in Racine County immediately after that election to do specific training for those poll workers to work with the officials on ensuring that they had a better training program in place and observing future elections, and we made sure that the public knew that we had acted on that.

- Q. And is it your opinion that those variances or errors or decisions rendered those elections unconstitutional?
- A. Well, in some cases there was a deprivation of someone's right to vote, which gave them rise to a lawsuit on that. I mean, probably a better example of that was when I first started before we had HAVA and the NVRA and we had poll workers who were asking for

1 proof of citizenship because someone didn't look 2 American. And the person did the next best American thing when they couldn't cast their ballot. They hired 3 a lawyer, and they sued the poll workers and the town 4 5 of Neenah and the State Elections Board on that. And 6 that became an opportunity to train on how do you 7 handle concerns about a voter's qualifications, whether 8 it's citizenship, age, residence. So the answer is yes. It may not void the 9 election, because that power is going to rest with the 10 11 courts generally. But it certainly, you know, puts our 12 office in liability for what they did. Now, we 13 happened to have training material that told them how to handle that process, and the court found no 14 responsibility on our end. But it didn't change what 15 we did with that information, which was to ensure that 16 17 this didn't happen again. 18 Q. All right. Is it fair that your report 19 basically compares how things were done in Georgia to 20 how things are done or were done in Wisconsin under 21 your tenure at the GAB? 22 Α. No, I would not characterize it as a 23 comparison between the two states. I would say that --24 and I made a lot of references to Wisconsin law because

that informed my opinion as an expert as to why things

need to be done or how they could be done better. The fact that there's slight variances in the law, I mean, the two training texts that we compared were not that different between Georgia and Wisconsin in terms of telling the chief election officer to call officials together at various locations within their discretion. But, I mean, what I learned in that experience, along with others, was how you get to what's the basic foundation for a comprehensive training program and what are the thresholds you have to get to to ensure that as a chief election officer you're doing your job, not just your state statutes.

Obviously, I mean, I thought I did a fairly good review of Georgia's requirements, and I've lived with Wisconsin's requirements as they've changed over the years. And it basically informs my opinion as to where Georgia measures up and where it falls short, and that's what my opinion comes from. It's not a comparison between Georgia and Wisconsin. It's drawing on my experience from Wisconsin.

And, as I've pointed out, there's a lot of other areas of experience involving training to say that Georgia does not have in place a training program that ultimately is going to protect voters. And that's manifested in the complaints I've looked at, you know,

and manifested in some of the expert reports that I looked at.

Both Ken Mayer and Dan Smith, you know, specifically faulted the Georgia Secretary of State training protocols with their county officials with respect to the quality of the statewide voter registration system. And the complaints I looked at, a large number of them had to do with am I registered to vote or how do I cancel my registration or where do I vote, which is going to flow through to the counties or to the polling places.

- Q. Well, I guess I'm looking at the cite of authorities, and I'm seeing Wisconsin statutes, federal statutes, Georgia statutes, and then some websites. So what other states did you take into comparison when looking to determine whether Georgia's training is sufficient?
- A. I did not specifically look at the training programs in other states to compare them to Wisconsin or to compare them to Georgia. I did draw on what I learned at the Election Center going through the certified election registration and the administrator certification process. I looked at a wide range of training information that we were exposed to with the National Academy of Sciences. I looked at my

1 interaction with other states and their training 2 programs. In the National Association of State 3 Election Directors, one of the big topics we had after 4 5 2000 is what are we doing to implement training. I mean, I was very familiar with how Georgia involved 6 7 Kennesaw State in 2002 with getting a -- establishing a 8 uniform voting system, which by necessity requires a 9 very detailed training from poll workers all the way up to the Secretary of State's office on how that system 10 11 is going to work and various accountability mechanisms 12 that need to be put into place. A lot of --13 Where was the -- go ahead. I'm sorry. Q. I was going to say --14 Α. 15 No, no, go ahead. Q. -- I looked at Georgia as a starting point 16 Α. 17 at that point, whether that would be something that would help them with their training. I mean, I know we 18 19 looked at whether or not even incorporating our 20 technical colleges, particularly in terms of voting equipment support for local election officials. 21 22 All right. Let me ask this, and I'll try to

ask it in a relatively clear way. Is it your opinion

that the training provided in Georgia by the state

itself violates the United States Constitution?

23

24

A. I think the consequences of the shortcomings of the program lead to voters losing their opportunity to participate in the elections. And that comes through in the complaints that people have, and I think it certainly flows from the fact that not enough information and not enough focus is given to how do we treat provisional ballots and absentee ballots and how do I properly manage my responsibilities -- by my, I mean the county official -- with respect to the Georgia voter registration system.

The outcomes that surprised me are any complaints I got about I can't find that information, about I just registered at the Department of Driver Services, or whatever the DDS acronym is for, and now the information --

Q. Hu?

- A. -- doesn't show up on the website or I've been registered, now I'm told I'm not there anymore, what happened. There's an awful lot of those complaints consistently throughout the process. And I look at the expert reports that point out the failings in that area, and that directly impacts people's constitutionally protected right to vote.
- Q. Anything other than voter registration errors that you believe training has led to an

unconstitutional result?

- A. I talked about the treatment of absentee voting, provisional ballots. I mean, those seem to be issues that came up -- excuse me -- in the complaints.
- Q. And when you say the complaints, I probably should have clarified this earlier. You're referring to complaints coming in to the State Election Board?
- A. That's right. Chris Harvey said that all complaints went through him. There were a large number of complaints. I'm sure I didn't see all of them by any means, but I had a pretty good flavor after looking at hundreds of them and that, you know, this person was complaining about this too. I did look at a number of the things also that -- you know, the minutes and agendas that were in front of the State Elections Board with respect to some investigations that were being conducted and the reports that were being made.
- Q. Would you agree with me that even if a state implemented the most perfect -- or I shouldn't say that. Would you agree with me that even if a state implemented unquestionably gold standard training that there could still be errors in an election system?
- A. Well, the election system is run by a human.

  And I've said this often in my training that, you know,

  you expect that there are going to be errors, which is

why you do the best you can with the training. You try to make it as thorough and rigorous and redundant, if necessary, as possible. And it's how you respond to those that is going to be -- to the failure that's going to be a key. You build on that to make sure that it doesn't happen again.

People are going to make mistakes. I think no election is going to be perfect. But, you know, our role as the chief election officer is to lead in that area and make that the goal and give the election officials working in your state the tools and the resources and the confidence to take care of that. I think I've acknowledged a number of shortcomings that I experienced in Wisconsin at the local and county level.

- Q. We covered that. On page 7 at the top of the page, page 6 at the bottom of the page of your report -- and I think you've largely answered this, but in the second to last paragraph, I guess the last full paragraph, the last sentence reads, "A comprehensive training program for election officials and poll workers is essential for ensuring voting rights are protected." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.

Q. All right. And, again, is there a specific statute that says that, or is that a conclusion you

were drawing from the statutes that you identify?

A. Well, it comes from the statutes that I identified. It comes from my experience on this. It comes from -- we've talked about the complaints, or I've talked about the complaints that have filtered up to the Georgia Secretary of State's office, the complaints I experienced in my office, and even the fact that the Help America Vote Act put an emphasis on training following the 2000 election debacle where we saw big failures.

And it wasn't just the voting equipment in Florida. One of the biggest issues that came out of the 2000 election was the failure to properly register voters under the National Voter Registration Act and to account for people who claimed they were registered and showed up at the polling place. That was a big issue that, you know, in the 2000 election didn't get quite the national news media that people looking at punch card voting got in Florida.

Q. Yeah, I guess my question is a little more specific than that, and it's when you say that it's essential to ensuring voting rights are protected and those rights are arising out of the statutes you've identified, do those statutes, do those acts, Voting Rights Act, the National Voter Registration Act,

UOCAVA, HAVA, the Voting Accessibility for the Elderly and Handicapped Act, do any of those statutes expressly say that there must be training done or that without such training there would be a violation of those statutes?

- A. They don't expressly say that. But without the training, you know there will be a violation because people won't know what they're supposed to do or they'll do it incorrectly. So without the training, you're going to have a violation. I mean --
- Q. In your work with Congress on the Help
  America Vote Act, did you try to get an express
  training requirement into the statute?
  - A. No, I did not. I didn't.
- Q. Page 8 of the report using the court's designation, page 7 for yours, the last paragraph says, "Poll workers do their work a few times a year. They need to be thoroughly trained in their election day responsibility and brought up to date on changes since the last time they worked." Do you see that?
  - A. I do.

Q. My question is on the word thoroughly and how specifically does a state determine if the training they're providing is sufficiently thorough to satisfy what you're articulating here.

A. Well, a state looks at what are the duties that the poll worker has. It looks at what's the training that's been in place. It looks at where have the errors been with respect to those processes and what has changed in that process. That's how you determine -- those are all elements of determining the thoroughness of the training.

- Q. What are the metrics the state can use to know if its done a good job?
- A. There's a number of metrics that they can use. They're probably qualitative than more quantitative. One quantitative one is the measure of complaints that come out of a particular activity, whether the complaint's related to the quality of voter data in the county, what are the complaints about, how elections were conducted in a particular polling place or a particular county, that's definitely going to be one of the biggest quantitative measures that you can look at.

You can look at the quality of the paperwork, is it properly completed and well documented. You look at observations that you can make either directly or through other observers as to how things perform. So there's a wide range of ways that you can assess that. You can look at the accuracy of

the data. We see that -- you know, in Wisconsin one of the things we did, we had three different measures of the number of voters that came out of a polling place which gave us an indication of how well the poll workers were doing their jobs.

- Q. And is there a -- I would normally say industry wide, but I realize we're not talking about an industry per se. But is there a standard or is there scholarship that you turn to or regulations to come up with these different metrics, or is this just something that -- not just, but is this something that you've done on your own based on your experience?
- A. Well, it's based on my experience, but it's also based on what I've learned in, you know, the classes that I've taken through the Election Center that are actually taught by Auburn professors. It's drawn on what I've learned from other election officials at the National Association of State Election Directors.

I have read -- and I can't point to a specific paper on training, but I've read a lot of research papers working with the Election Science and Research conferences that I've participated in in Madison and Philadelphia, and there's one in Florida coming up this summer maybe. The original one was in

1 Portland in 2017 looking at my work with the Pew Center 2 on the States. And we developed not only the Electronic Registration Information Center protocols 3 but the performance index of elections that's now run 4 5 by MIT that was developed working on committees there. All of those provide insight to me and which I don't 6 7 think I would be the only person who's been in this 8 business for a while who would come up with these kind 9 of strategies or analysis. Can you cite me to some others that would 10 Q. and have published on it? 11 12 I think you could look at the Election Law 13

Journal to see if there's anything involved. I can't point specifically to any articles there. But, I mean, there's a raft of publication on election administration that has come out. I did not go looking for that for these purposes. I really focused on what was in front of me, the Georgia statutes, the Georgia practices, the materials that were available to me, and drew on my 40 years of experience.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I tell you what. I am at a decent stopping point. I don't know if you want to -- it's 1:15. I think that puts it at about where you said you wanted to break for lunch, but I could be wrong, so I'll just raise it.

1 We are right there, right where I suggested. Α. 2 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Sure. Do y'all want to call back in at let's say 2:05 Eastern Time? 3 THE WITNESS: That's fine. 4 5 MS. TANIS: Yeah, that works for me. MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. All right. We'll do 6 7 that then. That way we don't get the star message 8 until a little while later, but thanks everybody. 9 (Thereupon, a recess was taken.) BY MR. BELINFANTE: 10 11 Q. Let's go to page, your report, Exhibit 12 Number 1, Document 167, page 9, the court page 8 at the 13 bottom of the page. Third paragraph, the last sentence reads, "The information in user manuals will have to be 14 distilled into checklists and step-by-step instructions 15 to ensure election officials and poll workers can 16 17 easily do their work and resolve problems." Do you see that? 18 19 I do. Α. 20 Okay. What was the basis of that opinion? Q. Basis of that opinion is based on my 21 Α. 22 experience working with training materials for local election officials where you have to convey to them, 23 24 whether it's the use of voting equipment or handling of 25 processes such as absentee voting, that you can't

```
1
    simply give them the manual. You can't just give them
 2
    the statutory language. If you want them to understand
    it, you have to really break it down into something
 3
    that they can use quickly that is going to be
 4
 5
    reasonably sufficient.
               Checklists are a very good idea. An example
 6
 7
    of that is I opened my absentee ballot on the break and
 8
    inside, in addition to the requirements of state law
 9
    for the uniform instructions, was a checklist that
    mirrored instructions that the voter can use, in this
10
    case me, to make sure that I follow all the steps to
11
12
    make sure my ballot will be completed properly so that
13
    it will get counted on election day.
               All right. Do you have the 2018 Georgia
14
    poll worker manual with you?
15
               I do.
16
         Α.
17
         Q.
               Okay. Let's go ahead and mark that as
    Exhibit 9; is that right?
18
19
                (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-9
20
         was marked for identification.)
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
21
22
               If you'd turn, Mr. Kennedy, to page looks
    like 13 of the Georgia 2018 poll worker manual.
23
24
    that an example of a checklist or step-by-step
    instruction?
25
```

- A. 13 and 14 look to be part of a flow chart.
- Q. Right.

8

9

10

11

12

- A. And with various points in time on that, and then there's -- there are steps on that. I had mentioned flow charts as an example. This would be one. I don't know how practical it is when it spans two pages.
  - Q. But you would agree with me that this portion at least of the manual has step-by-step instructions. Even on the flow chart itself looking specifically at the oath provision, for example, has step-by-step instructions.
- A. Yes. And I think I included that in my description of what was in the manual.
- Q. All right. And I'm going down further. It looks like page 15 has step-by-step instructions for the voting unit.
- 18 A. Old voting unit.
- 19 Q. Would you agree?
- 20 A. It does.
- Q. And going down to page 61 to 62, that has a series of times that a provisional ballot could be used; right?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. And it's broken down into easy -- there's no

statutory language there. It's easy to digest. Would you agree with that?

A. Yes, I would.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. You also talk about in your report on page 9 at the top the court's designation, your designation page 8, that "there also have to be accountability mechanisms included as part of the training program if the program is to be effective."

  What do you mean by accountability mechanisms?
- Well, they range -- there's a number of Α. items that you can use for accountability mechanisms which could also double as assessment methods. A test that's administered as part of the training or separate from the training is probably the most common one, required feedback during the training to ensure that voters -- or that election officials, whether they're poll workers or others, understand what you're giving, the information that -- understand the information you're transmitting, reviewing performance after the training on the actual matters to see how they actually conduct the tasks as part of an accountability mechanism and even evaluating how someone does -- you know, performs a task as part of the training that might be physical, such as watching them set up the voting equipment to make sure that they do it right and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

providing feedback would be examples that can be incorporated in training to get that accountability that what you're doing is proper, also record keeping as to who's taking the training, when they've taken the training, and what the results of your assessment were. All right. Do you know how many states roughly do a test of their election officials' training? I don't know how many do. I know that a lot Α. of the online training sort of gives you a -- that's been designed in different states because I've seen examples of it, and I believe that's included in Wisconsin to give you a chance to answer questions to evaluate your performance in terms of that. So it's 14 built into the online platform. Do you know how many states require feedback Q. during a training session?

- I don't know how many implement a feedback Α. mechanism in the training, no.
- Okay. How about how many states do hands-on Q. training as part of the training process?
- Α. Again, I don't have a number on that. know from, you know, experience that people will talk about it and tell me that that's what they've done. know that we have done that in our training sessions.

```
1
               And you have not attended a meeting of the
         Q.
 2
    Voter Registrars Association of Georgia, have you?
               I have not.
 3
         Α.
               All right. And have you attended any
 4
 5
    conferences where Georgia election officials, and I use
 6
    that term as broadly as possible, are receiving
 7
    training?
 8
         Α.
               Yes.
 9
               What meetings have those been?
         Q.
               The National Association of State Election
10
         Α.
    Directors.
11
               Uh-huh (affirmative).
12
         Q.
13
         Α.
               At the National Association of State
    Election Directors training was provided, although I
14
    guess that's a broad description of what happens at
15
    those meetings. At NASED -- not NASED -- at Election
16
17
    Center conferences there have been Georgia election
    officials in attendance, and in the classes that I've
18
19
    taken there have been Georgia election officials in the
20
    same classes that I've been in.
               All right. Let's see. We talked about
21
         Q.
    training protocols. Oh, did you know that the -- at
22
23
    least the 2018 poll worker manual was available online?
24
         Α.
               I was not able to find it online.
25
         0.
               Okay.
```

```
1
               MS. TANIS: Josh, I don't think it's
 2
         accessible to anybody who doesn't have the sign-in
         credentials.
 3
               MR. BELINFANTE: I googled Georgia poll
 4
 5
         worker training and got a link to it.
 6
               MS. TANIS: When? Because, if so, it's very
 7
         recent.
 8
               MR. BELINFANTE: I've done it before today.
 9
         I did it again today, but I've done it -- I mean,
         I can't remember the first time I've done it, but
10
         -- and I think y'all had it in the motion to
11
12
         dismiss, a link to it. But okay.
13
               MS. TANIS: Is 2020 available there too and
         2019?
14
15
               MR. BELINFANTE: I've not found 2020, no.
               MS. TANIS: Okay.
16
17
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
18
         Q.
               All right. Let's see. If you could look at
19
    the bottom of page 8 in your report. Page 9 is the
20
    court's designation of it. And there it says, "The
    State Election Board is required to promulgate rules
21
22
    and regulations to ensure uniformity in the practices
23
    and proceedings of superintendents, registrars, deputy
24
    registrars, poll officers, and other election
25
    officials." Do you see that?
```

## **Kevin Kennedy - March 31, 2020**

114

1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. And when you say they're required to, that is -- you mean a requirement in law; right? 3 There's a Georgia citation right after, the 4 Α. 5 21-2-31(1). Uh-huh, yeah. Okay. But so, I mean, you 6 Q. 7 are making a legal conclusion though; right? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Okay. You indicate in Exhibit -- excuse me Q. -- Appendix A that you read Dr. Brown-Dean's 10 deposition. Do you recall her deposition? 11 12 Α. No. I read her report, not her deposition. I'm sorry. That's what I meant, her report. 13 Q. Did you reach any of your conclusions on Georgia law 14 based on that report? 15 Well, I looked at -- I mean, she had a -- as 16 Α. 17 I recall her report, it was a pretty -- it was basically a descriptive set of things. It certainly 18 19 informed me on that, but it was not my sole reference. 20 It's sort of an introduction to me about Georgia law by reading that, and it also had 50 pages of tables and 21 citations --22 23 Q. Okay. 24 -- in it as well. And it looks like it had Α. 25 been updated to reflect the changes in 316.

Regency-Brentano, Inc.

```
1
               All right. But did you make independent
         Q.
 2
    conclusions on the law in your report?
               Yes, I did. I mean, I looked at -- in fact,
 3
         Α.
    all the statutes that I cite, I've already read them
 4
 5
    for this case. I can't remember if there's a rule
 6
    specifically cited on that. I've looked at those. I
    mean, I have a printout of the -- you call it Chapter
 7
 8
    21 and have gone through that.
 9
               All right. Let's turn to, if you have it,
         Q.
    Code Section 21-2-31, Georgia Code Section. We'll mark
10
    that as Exhibit 10.
11
12
                (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-10
13
         was marked for identification.)
14
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
15
         Q.
               And just let me know when you're there.
               21-2-31?
16
         Α.
17
         Q.
               21-2-31, yes.
18
         Α.
               Okay.
19
               This is one of the statutes you read;
         Q.
20
    correct?
21
         Α.
               Yes.
               All right. And would you agree with me that
22
         Q.
23
    nothing in this statute expressly requires the State
    Election Board to train election officials?
24
25
         Α.
               It doesn't expressly require it. But if you
```

```
specify a duty and you have to -- and later on the
 1
 2
    board is going to have authority to apply penalties or
    issue directives, letters of instruction I guess
 3
    they're called, it's implied there needs to be a
 4
 5
    mechanism to communicate that information. And I do
    know that the statutes require the board to deliver
 6
 7
    their rules to the Secretary of State and to the
 8
    county.
 9
               Okay. Next let's look at Code Section
         Q.
               I think we already have. Hang on a second.
10
    21-2-100.
11
    No, we did 70. Yeah, if you could turn to 21-2-100,
12
    I'm sorry, and we'll mark that as Exhibit 11.
13
         Α.
               All right.
                (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-11
14
         was marked for identification.)
15
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
16
17
         Q.
               This is one you read as well; isn't that
18
    right?
19
               That's right.
         Α.
20
               Okay. And here it references the State
         Q.
21
    Election Board in subparagraph (e). Do you see that?
22
         Α.
               Yes.
23
               And there the reference is that the State
         Q.
24
    Election Board can fine a superintendent or registrar
25
    if they do not attend the training required in the code
```

section. Do you see that?

- A. I see that.
- Q. All right. Did you find any cases from the State Election Board where a superintendent or registrar was fined for failing to attend the training required?
  - A. I don't recall any.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that a superintendent or registrar, in fact, did fail to attend a training as required by this code section, or by Code Section 21-2-100?
- A. I wouldn't be surprised if that happens as
  we talked about human nature or the human driven
  activity, but I don't have any reason other than these
  things do happen.
  - Q. Okay. Similarly here, it's the duty of the Secretary of State to select the training. And I'm looking at subparagraph (a). Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
  - Q. All right. And then, you know, the Secretary is empowered to waive the training requirement. That's subparagraph (c). But even here this is talking about training for election superintendents and not poll workers. Do you agree?
    - A. Superintendents and registrars, right.

```
1
               Okay. I realize -- because I think it was
         Q.
 2
    done after you submitted your report. Did you happen
    to read the deposition of Governor Kemp?
 3
               I did.
         Α.
 4
 5
               Okay. Did that deposition change in any way
         Q.
 6
    the opinions that you formed in your report?
 7
               Did not.
 8
               All right. Did any of the depositions that
         Q.
 9
    you read after the filing of your report change the
    opinions in your report?
10
               In some cases they reaffirmed my positions.
11
         Α.
    I think I've mentioned Professor Smith and Professor
12
13
    Mayer. Their comments seemed to underscore what I
14
    concluded.
15
         Q.
               All right. But did anything cause you to --
16
               Nothing caused me to change my report.
         Α.
17
         Q.
               Okay. How frequently while you were in
    Wisconsin did the GAB meet on an annual basis?
18
19
         Α.
               Eight to ten times a year.
20
               How many of those meetings were dedicated to
         Q.
    elections? Or were they --
21
               All of them --
22
         Α.
23
               Go ahead.
         Q.
24
               Excuse me. I'm sorry. All of them included
         Α.
25
    elections. The elections part of the meetings were
```

public, other than status reports that we provided by division in discussion. The ethics, campaign finance, and lobbying were conducted in closed session if they dealt with issuing opinions, investigations. I mean, there might have been an election in closed session, but almost all of the open session was devoted to election administration.

- Q. And typically how long in terms of hours would the meetings of the GAB go those eight to ten times a year?
- A. They generally started at 9:30 and ended around 5:00. The closed sessions might start around 1:00 or 2:00 in the afternoon, and it varied based on the agenda and the contents.
- Q. Sure. And so is it fair to say that -- I presume you would take a break for lunch in those meetings?
- A. We did, although we did not go out for lunch. We had lunch brought in so that we were not gone for long periods of time. That's something I learned very quickly. When board members go out for lunch, they go out for an hour and a half to two hours.
  - Q. Right, right. So is it fair to say that the portion of those meetings that would cover elections would typically be two and a half, three hours?

```
A. At least, yeah.
```

- Q. What would the average be that was dedicated to elections, do you know?
- A. I'd be speculating again, and it would definitely vary depending on the agenda for the meeting.
- Q. All right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. And if you're able to, all of those meetings are videotaped. They were broadcast on Wisconsin Eye, which is a separate entity that you might be able to access some of those meetings through the Wisconsin Eye website. I'd just --
- 13 Q. Okay.
  - A. -- do that rather than give you the site --
- 15 Q. Sure.
- A. -- since I don't know it. But it would probably be in their archives.
- 18 Q. Okay. And I'm sorry for the long pauses. 19 I'm going through stuff that we've already covered, so 20 I'm actually moving faster than anticipated. On page 11 of your report, that's the top page, the court's 21 22 designated page, page 10 down below, it says in the 23 fourth paragraph from the bottom, the last sentence 24 reads, "The State Election Board and the Secretary of State cannot be confident these laws are administered 25

```
1
    in a consistent and uniform manner unless county
 2
    election officials and poll workers are effectively
    trained in the requirements of these critical federal
 3
    laws." Do you see that?
 4
               Now, you said it's on page 11 as numbered in
 5
 6
    my report?
 7
         Q.
               No, 10 in your report. I'm sorry.
 8
         Α.
               Okay.
 9
               And then it's the fourth paragraph from the
         Q.
10
    bottom.
11
               I guess my paperwork got shuffled a little
         Α.
12
    bit. Bear with me. The appendix has got mixed in.
13
    Okay. I have page 10.
               The last --
14
         Q.
15
         Α.
               That's right.
               Do you see that sentence?
16
         Q.
17
         Α.
               Right. Right above the bold statement?
               Yes, exactly, exactly. In terms of
18
         Q.
19
    measuring the efficacy of the training that you
20
    describe here, I think we've already covered the
    ground, but I just want to be clear that when we're
21
    looking at training for compliance with the statute you
22
23
    identified, would it be any different from training
24
    with compliance for state laws? In other words, are
25
    the methods going to be the same if the training is on
```

1 state law versus if the training is on federal law? 2 Α. It's going to be the same. You're going to be looking at the same types of metrics or indicators 3 on whether or not you're having issues. 4 All right. And you've identified those 5 Q. metrics and indicators for me previously as -- this is 6 7 when we talked about the number of complaints, the 8 quality of paperwork, observations from observers, and 9 accuracy of data; is that correct? Yes, it is. 10 Α. 11 Q. All right. Okay. Page 12 at the top, page 12 11 of your report, I've got a series of questions on 13 the first full paragraph. The last sentence says, "There are no statewide meetings designed to reach poll 14 workers, and the Secretary of State does not do 15 anything to ensure information from these conferences 16 17 reach those frontline election officials." Do you see that? 18 I do. 19 Α. 20 Okay. Have you attended -- I asked a Q. similar question earlier. I don't think I asked this 21 22 one. Have you ever attended a Georgia Elections 23 Official Association meeting? 24 Α. I have not.

All right. And other than Mr. King, have

25

Q.

you spoken to anyone in Georgia about elections administration in Georgia?

- A. I had a number of conversations with the election director, Kathy Rogers. She was vice president of NASED while I was president. And she would have been -- you know, she would have left that role in 2006, at the end of 2006, and I continued to talk with her. She worked for I believe Elections Systems & Software, which was a voting equipment vendor. Her staff counsel was Cliff Tatum, who stayed on at the Secretary of State's office after that, so I've had conversations with both of them about election administration in Georgia over the years.
- Q. Okay. How about Chris Harvey, have you ever had a conversation with Chris Harvey?
- A. I don't recall that I've ever had a conversation with Mr. Harvey. I do note that our tenure as election members of NASED overlapped for about a year. He came out sometime in 2015 is my understanding, and I was there. You know, it's possible we would have been at the 2015 and 2016 meetings together.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever had a conversation, to your recollection, with Kevin Rayburn?
  - A. I have not.

```
1
         Q.
               Okay. Or Ryan Germany?
 2
         Α.
               No.
               How about Governor Kemp?
 3
         Q.
 4
         Α.
               No.
 5
               And Secretary Raffensperger?
         Q.
 6
         Α.
               No.
               All right. Did you consider any information
 7
         Q.
 8
    regarding how any of Georgia's 159 counties train their
 9
    poll workers?
               I noted one example that came out of the
10
         Α.
    depositions of Chris Harvey that there were errors in
11
12
    separately designed poll worker manuals in Gwinnett
13
    County and that they hadn't been rectified over the
    course of two election cycles and they repeated
14
    themselves according to that deposition. And maybe it
15
    was Mr. Worley, the board member, who was involved in
16
17
    that. It may have shown up in his deposition as well.
               I did see examples. I think Lowndes County
18
19
    had a PowerPoint that was presented at one of the
20
    conferences of their training for poll workers. And
    there may have been some other poll training in the
21
    PowerPoints that I looked at from the various
22
23
    conferences, but not -- the only one that really stood
24
    out was the one from Lowndes County.
```

Q.

All right. And forgive me if this question

1 is duplicative, but in preparing your report did you 2 speak to anyone -- or I'm sorry. I know who you talked to in preparation of the report. Prior to the 3 preparation of your report, in your experience do you 4 5 recall discussing election administration with any county election superintendents from Georgia? 6 7 I don't recall that. As a member of the 8 Election Center, I certainly recognize some of the 9 names of people who got their CERA, C-E-R-A, certifications along with me. But I would not recall 10 11 the specific conversations, but it was not unusual in 12 the classes or in the three types of meeting events 13 that they had that conversations would occur about administrative issues or practices. But I can't 14 specifically recall because that's happened over, you 15 know, a period of several years, and the last was in 16 17 2016. Going to the Lowndes County presentation, do 18 Q. 19 you have any specific criticism of Lowndes County's 20 training for poll workers? What I saw was a good example of things that 21 Α. 22 could be done. I think this is, you know, some of the 23 things that I think are essential in training. Lowndes 24 County, it seemed that it was very participatory

feedback at the PowerPoint that I recall. And it

showed how you can incorporate that with information that was available to the Secretary of State and available to other county officials.

- Q. All right. And just so I'm clear, you did not actually see Gwinnett County's training materials. You saw where it was referred to in Mr. Harvey and Mr. Worley's depositions; is that accurate?
  - A. Talking about the poll worker manual?
  - Q. Yes.

- A. I just saw the references to the errors, yes.
  - Q. Okay. So, I mean, is it fair to say that your report then does not have an opinion on the efficacy of county training materials for poll workers?
  - A. I'm just trying to think how to answer that question because what I learned from looking at the materials is there were some good examples, such as Lowndes County. There were concerns that were raised that came out of -- if you look at the complaints, I have to question how good the training was if people are constantly concerned about whether or not they had provisional ballots or absentee ballots counted or the confusion that they encountered at a polling place. So looking at the overall training -- I mean, my opinion was directed toward the overall training, primarily

focused on the Secretary of State. But, you know, it's clear that what was being conveyed down the line wasn't effective given the large number of complaints that were coming out of the election.

- Q. But I guess my question is a little bit more specific than that, which is do you have an opinion on -- or does your report have an opinion on county training manuals for poll workers?
- 9 A. It does not have a specific opinion on that, 10 no.
  - Q. Okay. And your criticisms that you just spoke of regarding the complaint, you're presuming the facts in the complaint are true. Is that fair?
    - A. That's fair.
  - Q. All right.

- A. I think there's a reasonable basis for that given the number of the same types of issues recurring in these complaints.
- Q. Well, let's talk about that for a minute.

  How much -- do you have an opinion or does your report

  have an opinion on how many errors of a similar kind

  need to happen in order for something to be systemic?

  I didn't see your report address that, and that's my

  question is whether your report does.
  - A. My report doesn't quantify it. It talks

about that as a measure for, you know, like the canary in the coal mine. It tells you there's an issue and spurs you to respond to that issue.

- Q. Sure. But you had extensive experience with poll workers, certainly at least -- and, in fact, you are one in Wisconsin; right?
  - A. That's right.

- Q. And in your experience are poll workers trying to do the right thing by voters?
- A. In my experience, they generally are.

  There's occasionally a rogue poll worker that you sort of wonder given their personalities what's driving them. My dad was a poll worker, and the guy who was the chief inspector used to drive me nuts when I'd stop by to visit that polling place.
  - Q. But those folks -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- A. No. I was just giving an example that, yes, they are trying to do that. But we know that mistakes are made, and you want to minimize those and certainly mitigate the impact of those mistakes.
- Q. Sure. And do you have any reason to believe that at least as it relates to that standard, and by that I mean trying to assist the voter and coming at it from a position of goodwill, that the majority of poll workers in Georgia are different from those in

## Wisconsin?

- A. I have no reason to think that they would be. I guess one of the things I didn't see was, you know, sort of a leadership direction that focused on the reason for your job is to facilitate the participation of voters to ensure that they're able to do what they're legally entitled to.
- Q. Would you -- you've presented at numerous conferences. You've attended numerous conferences. Would you agree with me that there's a significant difference in what someone takes away from training materials if they're present at the conference versus just reading the PowerPoint?
- A. Well, obviously you might miss the context. There's going to be discussion around that, so the PowerPoint gives you a sense of what was covered on that. It doesn't -- most people looking at a videotape of a presentation, you're not going to get a -- you're really not going to see what was said, how it was said, other than that's just the direction. So those are the points that they were trying to get across.
- Q. And wouldn't it also be true that to the extent that there are audio learners in the audience, and by that I mean people who learn better by hearing rather than reading, being present at the conference

1 would be more effective for them than just reading 2 materials cold? Yeah. I talked about the fact that you need 3 Α. to -- any training has to have several different 4 5 channels because you're going to have a diverse 6 audience, and the different channels reaffirm points 7 that you've made. I mean, that's the idea of a 8 PowerPoint is to give you a visual illustration. 9 think we've all been at conferences where someone just reads a PowerPoint. 10 Yeah. Those are the ones where the coffee 11 Q. 12 sells out quickly. I've been to plenty. Page 13 of 13 the court's document, number 167, page 12 of yours, there's a discussion a little bit about Firefly. At 14 the time that you completed your report, were you given 15 any documents that showed the files that are available 16 17 on Firefly? I don't recall any documents. There was 18 19 some descriptions in the depositions. I don't recall 20 seeing a document that listed everything that was 21 there, but --22 Q. Okay. Have you since seen a document like 23 that? 24 A. No.

All right. Are you aware that other states

25

0.

```
1
    and groups have come to Georgia to study Firefly
 2
    because they find it to be effective?
               I'm not aware of that.
 3
         Α.
               All right. Also on page 13 of the court's
 4
         Q.
 5
    number, page 12 of your report, there's a discussion of
 6
    the Election Center, which you've talked about some
    thus far. Is the Election Center -- poll workers
 7
 8
    typically don't go take classes at the Election Center;
 9
    is that correct?
               Very few do, right.
10
         Α.
               Okay. It's more for supervisors and other
11
         Q.
12
    trainers; is that fair?
13
               Yeah, generally -- I mean, you'll have a
    mixture of state and local officials, more local
14
    officials than state.
15
               Uh-huh (affirmative).
16
         Q.
17
         Α.
               And by local I mean the administrators, not
    the election day officials.
18
19
               Okay. Let me ask you on that page 12 of
         Q.
20
    your report, page 13 of the court's page numbers,
21
    there's a reference to Appendix B in your report, and I
22
    did not -- I didn't see where I got a copy of Appendix
23
    B. Mine ends with Appendix A, page 3.
```

was -- if maybe I printed the wrong copy or if it

MR. BELINFANTE: Beth, do you know if that

24

```
1
         was not included?
 2
               MS. TANIS: I don't know of anything in
 3
         Kevin's report that is not in what you have. I'm
         trying to find this reference because you're
 4
 5
         pointing out something that I didn't catch. Where
 6
         is it on page -- you said page 13 of the original
 7
         report?
 8
               MR. BELINFANTE: Yeah, page 13 of the
 9
         original report. That's the court's number, page
         13. And it's the third paragraph from the bottom.
10
               MS. TANIS: Oh, page 12.
11
12
               MR. BELINFANTE: Yeah, page 12 of the
13
         report.
14
               MS. TANIS: Oh, yeah. Okay. The third
         paragraph from the bottom. All right.
15
16
               MR. BELINFANTE: I mean, I think I was able
17
         to at least get a good sense of it online. But if
         there is an Appendix B, could somebody just email
18
19
         that to us at some point?
20
               MS. TANIS: Yes.
                                 I'm not aware of there
21
         being an Appendix B. If Kevin has an Appendix B,
22
         we will get it to you.
23
               MR. BELINFANTE: Okay.
24
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
               All right. In the second to last paragraph,
25
         Q.
```

the first sentence says, "According to the Election Center website, only six current Georgia election officials have completed the CERA certification program." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. All right. I have gone to the website that is cited there at Footnote 30. And that page opens with "Professional Education Program," but I could not see where you were able to get the number that six Georgia election officials have done the training, or any other state for that matter. I mean, I see there's a section on -- go ahead.
- A. My recollection is there's a -- on the right-hand corner there's -- it says graduates or CERA members, and you click on that, and it drops down. But when I copied the link, that would be the link that would have pulled it. And, you know, there's several hundred, and it tells you what state they're from, whether or not they're still active, and that's how I did my count.
- Q. All right. I'll have to -- because I'm not seeing that. Maybe if you could -- and forgive me for being dense, I guess, but -- because I see where it's CERA Graduates in the News, and there's a member area that has Resources and Check PPP, but you have to

log in to get that.

- A. And I did not have to log in to access that information.
  - Q. All right.
- 5 A. So I'll make a specific point to pass it on 6 to --
  - Q. Yeah, that would be great. That would be great. And then I received an email that is cited in your report as Exhibit 31, which is to Tim Mattice at the Election Center.
- 11 A. It's pronounced Mattice, but --
  - Q. Oh, Mattice. Okay. He says that there are -- as your report reflects, there are 34 members of the Election Center in Georgia and that 11 members of CERA are in the Secretary of State's office. Did you in any other emails ask him for a comparative kind of number? I'm just curious where Georgia falls as compared to other states.
    - A. I did not ask him for that.
  - Q. Do you have a general sense of where -- or how many local officials in Wisconsin have completed the CERA certification program?
  - A. It's less than Georgia. They either tended to be people in my office or county clerks. I'm not sure if there were any municipal clerks that completed

```
1
    the CERA certification. They have some other
 2
    certification programs, national and state, that our
    local people avail themselves of. In fact, our staff
 3
    is taught at the University of Wisconsin Green Bay
 4
    through the municipal clerk professional certification
 5
 6
    program.
 7
         Q.
               Okay.
 8
         Α.
               I don't remember the number from Wisconsin.
 9
               All right. Do you know -- do you recall
         Q.
    roughly how many persons in your office at the GAB had
10
    CERA certification?
11
12
         Α.
               I'm guessing over the time I was there up to
13
    a dozen.
               MR. BELINFANTE: All right. Let's go ahead
14
         and just enter in the email as Exhibit 12. And I
15
16
         think the exhibit is technically, Beth, your
17
         forwarding email to me.
18
               MS. TANIS: Okay.
19
                (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-12
20
         was marked for identification.)
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
21
22
         Q.
               Is there anything in -- well, never mind.
23
    think we've covered that territory. All right. Let's
24
    look at page 14, that's the court's number, your report
25
    number page 13.
```

A. Okay.

- Q. You talk about that Wisconsin has an even larger number of local election officials than Georgia. Roughly do you know how many poll workers are at polling locations in a Wisconsin general election?
- A. The statute requires seven poll workers at an election. There are processes for reducing that to a minimum of three.
- Q. Is that per -- go ahead. I interrupted. Sorry.
- A. I know. I was going to say our rural municipalities might be able to run a polling place with three poll workers. We also permit our poll workers to work in shifts, so it's not unusual that you'll have a morning shift and an afternoon shift of poll workers or a mixture of people who work part of the day and people who work the full day.
- Q. Okay. Do you know how many polling locations there are in Wisconsin in a general election?
- A. I always say it's under 3,000, but it's probably between 2750 and 2850 depending. It will be a lot less next week I'm sure.
- Q. Sure. All right. The last I guess sentence of the fourth full paragraph, including the bold one, reads -- again, this is on page 13 of your report --

1 "From my 30 plus years of experience as Wisconsin's 2 chief election official, I can describe the challenges presented in establishing and maintaining an effective 3 training program for a large diverse group of local 4 5 election officials." Do you see that? I do. 6 Α. 7 0. When you say diverse group of local election 8 officials, what did you mean by diverse group in that 9 sentence? In Wisconsin it would cover ranges in 10 Α. educational attainment, socioeconomic status, racial 11 12 distinction, age. 13 Q. Okay. Gender, I suppose. 14 Α. Sure. And then it says in the next 15 Q. paragraph, the second sentence is "Establishing a 16 17 communication protocol is the foundation for effective training." Do you see that? 18 I do. 19 Α. 20 What did you mean by a communication Q. protocol? 21 22 Α. I mean that it's important to have a means 23 to ensure that the information you develop is available 24 for the election officials and the different audiences

that you're reaching out to. And that can be, you

know, email communications, it can be a website portal, or even the website.

- Q. Okay. Do you know -- I mean, we've talked about what Wisconsin had. Do you know how other states have had communication pro -- let me ask it this way. Do you know what communication protocols in states other than Georgia and Wisconsin have been?
- A. I have seen demonstrations at state election director meetings, and there's a course devoted to communications with the CERA program, which covers a wide range of communications. There's been descriptions of similar setups where they've talked about building communication networks.

And obviously it's evolved over the years from the Listserv and bulletin boards, which were obviously the first step, because when I started, you know, we had local official mailings. There wasn't email to all of them. But then there was the development of electronic bulletin boards and Listserv, so it's evolved. And I'm sure it's continuing to evolve. I had to explain to my board members who, you know, widely are on Twitter, sort of Twitter and Facebook, so that we had -- continued getting our message out.

Q. Okay. Do you know -- I mean, is there a

state that you would look to and say they do it best?

- A. I can't cite to one. I mean, I know that Colorado does a very good job in general with administering elections. And I couldn't tell you specifically about their communication protocols, if it's an example of how well elections are run in Colorado.
- Q. All right. Also on page 13, the last full paragraph, the last sentence reads, "There must be a requirement that county election officials report back to the Secretary of State that they received the OEBs, reviewed the content of the OEBs, and taken steps to implement court ordered requirements spelled out in the OEBs, including directing poll workers and other frontline election workers to carry out their duties consistent with the court directives." Do you see that?
  - A. I do.

- Q. Okay. And, sir, I'm truly not trying to be semantic here, but you used the word must again. By must, do you mean that there is a legal obligation to do so?
- A. By must, I mean that you can't be assured that people have gotten the message and carried out their responsibilities unless you have that feedback

loop.

- Q. All right. And what did Wisconsin do when there was a court case that came out and specifically to make sure that the city municipal clerks all knew about the court case?
- A. Well, it generally came out in our UOCAVA litigation that they're -- it required them to report back on tracking that. We actually kept track of the status of how they were dealing with the court orders. One of them had to deal with making sure that the -- most of the procedures were in place through our statewide voter registration system.

But then we could track -- have them specifically track and explain any shortcomings. I mean, if you missed the 47 day deadline, we needed to know why you missed it. So, you know, when we had that kind of a court order, we were hands on with 1850 -- it was 1854 when I was there. It's now at least another 50 municipal clerks.

- Q. And the example you gave with UOCAVA, was the feedback loop limited to UOCAVA?
- A. Oh, in that case it was. I can't recall that we've actually had a court order that affected the election administration from that point. I wasn't there when the One Wisconsin Now order came out, which

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Sure.

would have impacted that. I can tell you as a poll worker I got information on that. The poll worker training manual that I have for Wisconsin that I got for my training makes reference to that court order. Okay. And do you have a sense -- or not a Q. sense. Do you have specific knowledge on what other states do when a court order comes down and how they communicate that out to election officials? I can't say that I have a specific sense. Α. Ι think the implications are pretty clear. When a court issues an order, you've got to do something to make sure it gets implemented. I mean, even Chris Harvey talked about the same thing with their UOCAVA, that there had to be followup on tracking compliance. I don't know what it generated, but there was a court order that generated the UOCAVA compliance, and he made a reference to it in one of his depositions. Okay. Are you familiar with an organization Q. known as the Association of County Commissioners of Georgia? Α. No. Q. How about the Georgia Municipal Association? I mean, I can tell you I'm not Α. No. surprised that they exist. We have similar --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it?

```
-- organizations in Wisconsin, and they're a
     Α.
national -- probably part of a national component.
           At some point -- I'm just looking for the
     Q.
place on the report, but at some point in the report
you talk about the need to track names and contact
information for local election officials. How is that
done in Wisconsin?
           It's built into our statewide voter
     Α.
registration system. And there's a method for updating
that information, and we will -- I don't know if it's a
statutory requirement for county clerks, but counties
always issue a directory of local election officials.
And so we will look at the directories and compare them
to what we have in the statewide voter registration
system.
           And we have established -- I don't want to
say it's a specific protocol but certainly a practice
of communication among our county and municipal clerks.
```

And we have established -- I don't want to say it's a specific protocol but certainly a practice of communication among our county and municipal clerks. So, for example, it's not unusual for us to get notice that a municipal clerk may have passed away, may have quit their job, or had some -- or left for various reasons, which spurs us to then follow up to make sure that that's current.

Q. All right. Do you know how other states do

- A. My sense is they would be doing it the same, very similar way. I don't know if they would build it into their statewide voter registration system, but for us we looked at our voter registration system as a complete election administration tool for our election officials.
- Q. Okay. What information do you have on how Georgia maintains a current list of elected -- or election officials at the local level?
  - A. I don't have any information.
- Q. If you can turn to page 14 of your report, court document page 15 on Document 167. The first full paragraph reads, "In developing and implementing training programs, the Secretary of State needs to focus on teaching challenges due to age, background, and learning styles of the local election officials."

  Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Is it your opinion that that is not being done in Georgia right now?
- A. That's my sense. Most of the materials and information I see emanating from the Secretary of State's office seems to be focused primarily on written material. I know there are training videos in Firefly. But, again, they also tend to be here's what you have

to do and here's how you do it. I didn't see much that indicated there was any hands-on experiences.

- Q. Are there metrics out there to determine whether training programs are being created in a way to address different learning styles?
- A. I'm not sure if there are metrics. I mean, there are metrics in the sense that you can measure the reading comprehension of reading material. That's not an unusual situation. And, in fact, that was obviously one of the concerns about ballot design, making sure that the instructions were not written at a college level for voters.

And there was quite a bit of involvement for election officials through the Election Center's training programs and NASED, which is the state election directors association, where they brought in individuals to talk about the learning style, including some of these groups that -- and I can't remember the names of them. I've actually got a series of pamphlets from them that are written and geared to comprehend language.

Q. Well, maybe I -- I probably asked the wrong question. Is there a particular methodology that you employed to have your conclusion that the state is not -- the state of Georgia is not doing enough to

address different learning styles?

- A. Well, my methodology looked at what I saw that was available either described in depositions or other materials and the materials themselves.
- Q. Right. And so that explains the facts that you observed. And then when you were trying to apply those facts to a particular -- or apply a methodology to those facts to determine, make a conclusion, I'm just trying to figure out what methodology or method you were doing other than -- well, I'll just leave it at that. Was there a particular method you were looking at to reach those conclusions?
- A. Well, as you said, I was trying to identify the factual basis of what was there in evaluating whether the materials reached across multiple channels of communication, you know, visual, aural -- as in a-u-r-a-l, something someone could hear -- physical. Whether there were feedback mechanisms in that is part of the challenge of communication.
- Q. All right.
- 21 A. So I --
- Q. Go ahead.
- A. I think that's the description of what I was doing.
  - Q. Okay. The next paragraph says that "The

1 Secretary of State has to evaluate what information is 2 the most essential to focus on at a given point in time." Do you see that? 3 4 Α. Yes. Would you agree with me that making that 5 Q. decision is pretty much a judgment call for the 6 7 secretary to make? 8 Α. That's true. 9 The next paragraph begins with "Georgia's Q. history of restricting access to the ballot for 10 11 citizens of color also presents significant challenges 12 to an effective training program." Do you see that? 13 Α. I do. Can you explain that to me, what Georgia's 14 Q. 15 history -- how it impacts effective training? Well, Georgia was one of, what, 13 states 16 Α. 17 that were subject to preclearance provisions based on findings when the Voting Rights Act was enacted in 1965 18 19 about its history of discrimination against individuals 20 of color. And that has an impact on training because it subjects, I think, Georgia and other states to much 21 22 more scrutiny with respect to ensuring that you're 23 protecting those rights where there's been a historical 24 practice of discrimination.

I mean, you oftentimes have to break down

institutional approaches. Just saying treat everybody equal doesn't necessarily work unless you've given them the framework of why that's important and where it comes from. And also to pair election officials together, that's going to be one way to ensure the system is going to be evaluated is are you taking action to have an audit system of more disparate impacts on individuals of color.

- Q. Well, you could have a disparate impact on voters of color in any state; right?
- 11 A. That's right.

- Q. And so I guess what I'm trying to figure out is why is Georgia's history, how does that get into training on say the use of provisional ballots? Give me a specific example of how Georgia's history impacts training on provisional ballots.
- A. Well, provisional ballots are generally a fail-safe mechanism for individuals who, for whatever reason, aren't able to cast their ballot at a particular location for a number of different scenarios. And, you know, the history of provisional ballots, it was designed to protect people who might have been subject to voter registration errors and other reasons why they might be disenfranchised. If you've got a past history of that kind of practice, it

underscores the need to make sure that you're being even more careful than you would be. To me it just tells me to focus on making sure that there's no possibility that people can say we are treating people differently than we're affording any voter the opportunity to fully participate in the election.

- Q. Is it your opinion that Georgia poll workers don't know the importance of the value of voting?
  - A. No, that's not my opinion.

- Q. Is it your opinion that Georgia poll workers don't recognize the importance of allowing eligible voters to vote?
- A. No. I think my concern was making sure that that's consistently reinforced.
  - Q. Well, I mean, if that's true in Georgia, shouldn't it be consistently reinforced everywhere?
  - A. I would hope that it's reinforced everywhere, yes.
- Q. Okay. And so I guess where I'm getting to is I don't see any linkage between Georgia's history and current training on voting in elections that's unique to Georgia or any other state that was covered under Section 5. And so I guess my question is your paragraph concludes with "leaving the history of discrimination unspoken when presenting information

about election officials' duties creates the impression treating all voters equally and fairly is not a paramount responsibility for doing their job." How is -- if you don't believe that poll workers believe that -- let me put it this way. If you believe, which I think you just said, that poll workers believe that treating people fairly and equally is an important duty in their job, why does training materials need to bring up Georgia's history dating back decades?

MS. TANIS: Object to the form.

A. Well, what I'm saying in my opinion is that that is not really being addressed in the training. You know, what you get is here is what you have to do, here's how you have to do it. It doesn't tell you we're doing this for the voter. It doesn't tell you what the background is from that.

It's sort of -- you know, my evaluation of these materials are that, from the perspective of the Secretary of State, there are no issues, despite the complaints and the lawsuits that are out there, and that when they're being -- that when the state election operations are being challenged on that basis that it's important to incorporate into the training materials, look, a lot of attention is being focused on us for this, here's why we do this, here's how we address it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I did not see those components in the training material, and I think that's important that you not only come from that background, that it's not singling out people in the office for when the poll workers were behaving a particular way. There's a lot of attention being directed to you from the -- because we're Georgia and it comes from this, and this underscores the need to make sure that we are doing what the law requires, both the Georgia statutes and then the federal law. Q. Are you familiar with Stacey Abrams? Α. Yes. I know she was a candidate for governor. And -- go ahead. Q. Α. Yes, against the current governor. Brian Kemp. Q. Α. Brian Kemp. Q. Yeah. And I believe she -- I wasn't sure what her Α. role in the House was. They kept making reference to she was a leader. I didn't know if she was actually like a majority leader or a speaker when she was in the -- I believe it's the House of Representatives in Georgia. She was the house minority. 0.

```
1
                And I know that she's done a lot of national
         Α.
 2
    discussion about possibilities.
                Including vice presidential possibilities?
 3
         Q.
         Α.
 4
               Yes.
 5
                And you were aware of that when you wrote
         Q.
 6
    the report?
 7
         Α.
                I was aware of it. It wasn't a factor in my
 8
    report.
 9
               Were you aware when you wrote your report
         Q.
    that organizations that Ms. Abrams has been affiliated
10
11
    with conducted poll testing on the phrase voter
    disenfranchisement?
12
13
         Α.
               No.
                Were you aware that polling conducted showed
14
         Q.
    that using the phrase voter disenfranchisement
15
16
    motivated voters very strongly?
17
         Α.
               No.
                If you knew that, would it change your
18
         Q.
19
    opinion on some of the allegations in the complaint?
20
                I wasn't taking opinions on the allegations
         Α.
    in the complaint. I mean, I'm -- my focus was on the
21
22
    training materials and the performance of duties and
23
    enforcement aspects of this. The complaints informs me
24
    about what the concerns were by the parties that hired
25
    me.
```

```
Okay. Wisconsin's -- are you aware that
 1
         Q.
 2
    Wisconsin's African American population is
    significantly smaller than Georgia's?
 3
         Α.
 4
               Yes.
 5
               I think I've seen some census data that has
    Wisconsin's African American population at about
 6
    6.7 percent projected for 2019. Does that sound right
 7
 8
    to you?
 9
               Well, that's the material that you provided
         Α.
    through Beth Tanis to me, and I looked at that. And
10
11
    that -- I mean, without looking at that, I would have
12
    said it was in the 6 percent range, so --
13
         Q.
               Okay. Honestly, I couldn't recall if I
    included that or not, so let's go ahead and mark that
14
    census data sheet as Exhibit 13.
15
                (Whereupon, Defendants' Exhibit Number D-13
16
17
         was marked for identification.)
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
18
19
               Any reason to doubt the U.S. Census numbers
         Q.
20
    on this?
               I don't have any reason to doubt it.
21
         Α.
22
         Q.
               Okay. On your opinion that leaving out
23
    information regarding Georgia's history of
24
    discrimination, are there studies that you relied on to
    form that opinion? Are there -- I'll leave it at that.
25
```

Are there studies that you relied on?

- A. What I relied on to say that I thought it was important to make sure that was included?
  - Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 5 I think that would be based more on my Α. No. 6 experience over a broad range of training protocols. 7 mean, we certainly talked a lot about the impact of 8 discrimination nationally in the CERA certification 9 program. It's certainly something that we are sensitive to in Wisconsin since our African American 10 11 population and, you know, the Hispanic population tend 12 to be concentrated in a few urban areas and becomes a 13 focus in the redistricting lawsuits that have occurred every year -- or every ten years. It's always kind of 14 focused on that, so --15
  - Q. Okay. One of the criticisms you have of the State Election Board is that its members did not have training before coming onto the board or upon being appointed. Do you recall that?
    - A. I do.
  - Q. Okay. Does it matter to you that the members of the State Election Board, at least over the last decade, have been lawyers with legal training?
- A. No. I mean, based on my experience, lawyers
  with legal training don't all know -- understand

elections. And my board were former judges, and they were some of the best judges that served in the state, both the trial and appellate level.

And they were coming into a very complex regimen, not only of election administration, but campaign finance, ethics and lobbying. And they'd had some experience with that because they all ran for office. They all had to file campaign finance reports. They all were subject not only to the state ethics code but the judicial ethics code. May or may not have any experience with lobbying.

But for them to be effective board members, it was important to give them an introduction into responsibilities of the board, the duties of the board, the mechanisms by which the board operates, to give them a comfort level with the website. It also actually helped us because, based on the questions they got, we're like, Well, why do we do this? Well, why don't we -- we don't have any information on that? So to me that was essential when you're dealing with, like I said, very knowledgeable, intelligent, experienced individuals with legal backgrounds.

Q. Sure. But would you agree with me that a general counsel for a state political party has significant election law experience?

A. They would have election law experience. I don't know how that makes them entirely familiar with the full operations of the duties they might be taking on, but certainly they're starting at a different point.

- Q. Sure. And that would be true too for someone who served as the general counsel to the office of the governor as well; right?
- A. They'd have a much broader range of experience. In my dealings with gubernatorial counsel, they weren't necessarily election law experts, but -- in fact, we spent quite a bit of time working with the governor's counsel over the years. Learned from experience to be proactive particularly when they were calling special elections to make sure they understood what the requirements are.
- Q. Do you know how many other states have a board that's like the State Election Board?
- A. I don't know. It's not unusual in the sense of having a State Elections Board and a Secretary of State. I know Indiana has that, for example. Maryland and the District of Columbia and Illinois just have an elections board. I don't -- you know, these are ones that I know from my own experience. I can't remember if Ohio also has a board in addition to the Secretary

of State. Rhode Island I believe does. And I know in terms of running elections, I think there's 10 or 11 where the board is responsible for the running of elections, but there are some where it stays with the Secretary of State, but there's also a board there.

And the division of duties are -- you know, you have to look at them, you know. I mean, I had to learn about what the role of the Georgia Board of Elections was, you know, for the state by looking at the statutes and the meetings and the minutes and things.

- Q. Do you know if there are standard training materials for persons who go on those kinds of boards?
- A. I don't know. I can -- I only know what I thought was important to make sure that our board members knew and tried to refine that, and we would usually get a new board member every year. And I will say I did not do that when it was the State Elections Board. It's a practice that I learned was beneficial. And, as I stated, members of the State Elections Board often came from similar backgrounds to what you described for your board. In other words, they weren't former judges, although one was married to a judge.
- Q. One of ours was a judge, but I get the point. All right. Let's talk about the part of your

report -- I've got it on page, starting on using your report, number 16 to 17 which talks about the focus on the voter approach.

A. Yes.

- Q. Is the focus on the voter approach, is that something that kind of is -- you've coined that phrase, or is that something that I would find in national literature on election training?
- A. I would expect that you would see that in literature on election training. I would expect you would see that in some of the Election Center training programs. But, you know, whether they use the same phrase or not, I think anyone who's worked in elections, it's not an unknown quantity. The bottom line is you're doing this for the voter as well as for the institution of the process, and you're trying to make this system work.

I mean, it's one of the incredible privileges that a handful of state and local election officials get to have that direct responsibility as opposed to just processing a license application, not to diminish the importance of that. But it can be very inspirational to recognize you, in the words of what were in some of the Georgia materials, are holding a very important responsibility in your hands for someone

else that you want to facilitate and protect.

- Q. Can you identify for me states that have effectively adopted the focus on the voter approach?
- A. I have not looked at, you know, a wealth of training materials particularly in other states. As I said, from my 40 years of experience, the takeaway that I come from is if you're going to be successful in training your election officials at all levels that an important element is that you get to the bottom line, which is the voter and protecting the rights of that voter to cast a ballot that they're entitled to cast.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree with me, though, if a state is complying with election laws, that voters' rights are being protected?
- A. Assuming that the state's laws are consistent with that. I mean, I think nowadays they are, but they weren't always. Our laws are constantly challenged on that basis. I mean, the One Wisconsin Now case was a challenge to changes in Wisconsin law arguing that they were not protecting voter rights in certain situations.
- Q. Fair. Let me ask it this way. If somebody is complying with federal election law, then at least the rights articulated in those statutes are being protected.

A. What, you know, my opinion is is that you don't just say follow the law. You give equal -- you provide some leadership. You give them a reason why that's the case, because people don't always follow the law. And there are consequences when you don't follow the law, and understanding what those consequences are is important. That's, you know, what I was identifying -- what I identify in my opinion was that that's an important element to ensure that you are protecting those rights by providing that. You're doing this for the voter, and here's why we're doing it.

- Q. Right. But at the end of the day, for whatever reason they're doing it -- they could be doing it just because they like law and want to enforce law. As long as the law is being complied with, then there's not a diminution of that voter's rights articulated in that law; is that correct?
- A. That's correct. The idea -- the idea of the training is simply to reinforce that it's done.
- Q. And I think I've asked this before, but you would agree with me that at least kind of coming into the polling place most poll workers want to comply with the law.
  - A. Yes. But they have to know what it is and

```
1
    understand it and know what the --
 2
         Q.
               Sure.
               -- consequences are.
 3
         Α.
 4
         Q.
               All right. Let's see. I'm on page 16 of
 5
    your report, page 17 of Document 167.
 6
               MR. BELINFANTE: I notice we've been going
 7
         for about an hour and 40 minutes. Does anybody
         want to take a brief break?
 8
 9
               MS. TANIS: I'll leave that up to Kevin and
10
         the court reporter.
               THE WITNESS: I'm fine at the moment. I
11
12
         don't know when you're going to come to another
13
         breaking point, but I'm fine for now.
                (Thereupon, an off-the-record discussion was
14
         held between the parties.)
15
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
16
17
         Q.
               Under the "Focus on the Voter," it looks
    like the third paragraph says that "This must be
18
19
    reflected in an emphasis on making voting easy,
20
    accessible, and transparent. This requires not
    only" -- excuse me. "This requires a focus not only on
21
22
    accessibility for persons with disabilities, but
23
    selecting locations and setting hours that enable
24
    voters to easily get to the voting location." Do you
    see that?
25
```

A. Yes.

- Q. Selecting locations and setting hours, is that an issue of training, or is that something else?
- A. Well, that's training for your county officials. My understanding is they have some flexibility in the locations of their polling places, the hours that advanced voting is available, and these are considerations that need to be reinforced. It was a subject in the One Wisconsin Now lawsuit, and it's certainly something that election officials have to take into consideration.
- Q. What are the voting hours in Wisconsin, or do they truly vary by jurisdiction?
- A. Well, they used to vary by jurisdiction.

  They are now standard. On election day they are from 7:00 in the morning until 8:00 at night. In terms of what we call early in-person absentee voting, which you would refer to as advanced voting, that was a subject in the lawsuit that we were talking about, One Wisconsin Now. But municipalities have a lot of flexibility. It used to be restricted to one location, but they had almost no restriction on hours. The legislature scaled that back considerably. And --
- Q. Is it -- go ahead. I'm sorry. I thought you were done.

A. I was just going to say and the lawsuit addressed some of that scaling back.

- Q. All right. Does your report -- I didn't see it, so I'll just ask. Does your report provide an opinion on whether the selection of polling locations and setting of hours in Georgia is sufficient?
- A. My report -- I mean, what I read in the materials about both closing polling places and expanding polling places and accessibility to polling places informed my opinion about training but not about whether or not -- what's going on with advanced voting locations and polling places. So no specific opinion. It just reinforced or gave me factors to consider in talking about the training.
- Q. Okay. You have not read the depositions of the plaintiffs' experts that you -- right? You've just read their reports?
  - A. Just the reports, that's right.
- Q. Okay. You say in the next paragraph that "A voter-centric focus will also mitigate the impact of discrimination in the voting process directed towards persons of color." Do you see that?
  - A. I see that.
- Q. Does that sentence presume that there is discrimination in the voting process directed towards

persons of color?

- A. That sentence presumes that there can be and that by focusing specifically on the voter and the voter rights, you act in a colorblind or color neutral manner.
- Q. All right. And your report does not opine that there is discrimination against persons of color in voting in Georgia, does it?
- A. My report is informed by the past history of voting practices in Georgia, the concerns that have been raised in media reports over the years, and the concerns raised in the lawsuit, but it does not specifically have an opinion as to whether or not that is the case. It's really directed at that by making sure that the training programs are voter-centric, transparent, that you're going to avoid and you're going to protect against having those kind of discriminatory impacts.
- Q. In the next paragraph after the one we just talked about, you discuss absentee voting and provisional ballots. Is it your contention that -- well, strike that. I think we've covered that area. What would you say based on what you've seen is the foundation for conducting elections in Georgia?
  - A. I'm sorry. What do you mean the foundation

for conducting elections?

- Q. I'm looking on page 17 of your report, page 18 of the court's document. The second paragraph ends with "This training approach would send a message to everyone involved in the electoral process, from poll workers to county election officials to voters to candidates, that enabling full participation by all voters is the foundation for conducting Georgia elections." So my question is what do you believe is the foundation for conducting Georgia elections?
  - A. You mean as opposed to serving the voter?
- Q. No. I mean, I couldn't tell from that paragraph if you were implying that Georgia elections had a different foundation.
- A. No, no, I was not -- I was saying that that should be the foundation of any electoral system is facilitating participation by the voters, protecting their rights. And I wasn't suggesting that that wouldn't be the foundation, but to ensure that people who participate in the process understand that. That's what my recommendations are going toward.
- Q. I gotcha. Okay. All right. Let's look at the same page, the second full paragraph under the underlined "Mandatory Uniform Training Protocol for Poll Workers." The last sentence of that paragraph

says, "A mandatory state-directed uniform training program for poll workers is the best way to ensure the citizen's right to vote is protected and eligible citizens are able to cast a vote and have that vote counted." Do you see that?

A. I do.

- Q. So if the state were to adopt your opinion here, it would prohibit counties from creating their own training programs, wouldn't it?
- A. Not necessarily. I think you set a bottom line with your mandatory program. And I think there's a recognition that training is not a static thing, that new information is important, new techniques are important. But it should be the Secretary of State's office or the chief election officer's office that is leading that to make sure that the essentials are being taken care of on that and that leaving it just to local officials to make up on their own is not going to ensure that things are done in a uniform manner, that all voters have the same opportunities because their poll workers have gotten the same basic information.

But I don't think it restricts counties from adapting that program. Certainly how our materials are presented in Wisconsin and I would think in other places is not going to be exactly the same, but you

want to make sure that the fundamentals are there. I mean, we adopted a rule that for training poll workers that laid out the kind of things that had to be covered, but we didn't say in order to cover it you need to get these elements done or that you had to do it in a particular way.

So I don't think that this hamstrings the counties or puts them at risk if they are enhancing the program. I think it's if they're ignoring the program or if they don't have that direction that the whole -- all of the -- you know, the chief election officer and the voters are the ones that become at risk.

- Q. That's ultimately a policy decision, isn't it, in terms of who provides the training, counties or state?
- A. It's a policy decision as to who does it, but the impact of the failures are going to rest, you know, on the chief election officer if those failures impact voters' rights, as well as they're going to fall on the voters and the people who failed to comply. But that's why the responsibility I think ends up resting with the chief election officer.
- Q. Is it your opinion, though, that counties cannot effectively train poll workers and their own officials in a way that avoids depriving persons of

their rights to vote?

- A. I think they're capable of doing that, yes. I think they probably do it in many cases. But if you want to ensure that it's being done, I think you need to have some mandatory elements to the program that ensure uniformity.
- Q. So really what we're -- I'm sorry. I thought you were done. Go ahead.
  - A. I was just going to say, you know, the quotes come from the statute. You know, the citizen's right to vote is protected, citizens are able to cast a vote and have that vote counted, those are standards that are set in Georgia law.
  - Q. Agreed. But it's also in Georgia law that counties train the poll workers, and so my question is do you think that Georgia law creates an impossibility where counties are responsible for training poll workers and that there's still to be uniformity across the state?
    - A. No, I don't think that --
- 21 MS. TANIS: Object to the form.
- A. All right. As I said, I don't think those are incompatible.
- 24 BY MR. BELINFANTE:
  - Q. And when you came to the conclusion that a

state mandated -- or, excuse me, a mandatory state-directed uniform training program is the best way, are there case studies you relied on for that?

- A. No, there were not case studies. It's, again, based on my experience that if there is not leadership at the state level, you're not going to get uniformity, you're not going to get compliance. You're going to have instances of people being deprived of their right to participate in the process.
- Q. Looking further down the page, you say in the last full paragraph, "Although the manual contains helpful information, it is far from comprehensive and contains large chunks of statutory language that are difficult to understand. It also takes an inappropriately passive approach to issuing provisional ballots, which appears from my review of complaints in the 2018 general election to be a significant source of inconsistent practices." What did you mean by passive approach to issuing provisional ballots?
- A. Further on in my report there are examples of the language that's in that manual that suggests that you may do something, you might do this, if the voter asks you may do it. That to me when I read that, I'm like wait a minute. Provisional ballots, you need to be much more specific about when and how you do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

focus.

this, not, oh -- that was my conclusion from looking at those materials. There's an awful lot of permissive language in there, and it's cited later on in the report. Are there states that you would have Georgia Q. look to that does a better job of training in a more -or, excuse me, in a less passive approach? Well, that's a language choice in this case. Α. I mean, I think from my experience with the provisional ballot training we do, we are very clear what the conditions are that you can do it, what the conditions are that you can't do it. Provisional voting varies across states. There are different rules to that, and it can be very confusing. 14 I mean, I mention in my report a couple of times that from my experience provisional voting and absentee voting seem to be the biggest challenges for local election officials, whether they're at the administrative level, municipal or county, or at the

It appears here -- I'm looking at what was the state election training for poll workers, and one of its failings to me was that the language choices are very passive about the issuance of provisional ballots.

polling place level. And that's why they require

The whole manual has challenges because it's not very comprehensive. It doesn't flow easily. It's interruptive of the statutory language. It has a number of good elements, but it's not a very well organized or complete training document.

- Q. Okay. In your experience, are most poll workers pretty reliable voters in the sense that they vote frequently?
- A. I honestly don't know. It makes sense to me that poll workers probably do vote frequently. I know that in Wisconsin we've had to address concerns -- this was before absentee voting became more prevalent -- that poll workers who were assigned to a location other than where they could cast their ballots had the opportunity to vote by absentee. So I think my sense is if you're working at a polling place, you're probably going to be a fairly active voter, at least on the days that you're voting -- that you're working.
- Q. Okay. You write on page 20 at the top, top of the page number 19 of your report, that "It is important for poll workers to be presented with a voter's perspective." And so my question is what exactly would that look like even in training materials?
  - A. Well, in a TTX training scenario, what we

call a tabletop exercise, poll workers would be presented with a situation such as a voter comes in to a polling place, and you describe their confusion or you describe what their issue is. Then you ask the poll worker how would you handle this or what should you do in this situation. But by putting it into a scenario where you're describing the voter situation, you've given them that perspective on that, and that's what they're going to actually encounter on election day.

- Q. And is it your opinion that by failing -presuming that that's not done, is it your opinion that
  not doing so causes constitutional violations in an
  election?
- A. It's my opinion that by putting these kind of scenarios in you are going to reduce or eliminate the possibility that poll workers don't know how to handle the situation where someone's vote wouldn't be counted that should have been counted.
- Q. And the same is true -- is it your opinion that by telling poll workers that people's votes count and people's votes matter that they're less likely to engage in conduct that violates someone's constitutional or statutory rights?
  - A. Yes.

1 Page 19 of your report, the I guess third Q. 2 paragraph, it starts with the sentence "Provisional voting is a complex procedure with many different 3 scenarios." Do you see that? 4 Α. 5 Yes. And the decision on whether to use a 6 Q. 7 provisional ballot is one that requires human 8 interaction in just about every state; isn't that 9 right? 10 Α. Yes. And so there's always the possibility of 11 Q. 12 human error when dealing with provisional ballots; is 13 that fair? That's right, which is why you want to be 14 Α. very focused in your training on that and very clear. 15 16 What did you mean then in the next sentence Q. 17 where you say that the manual on provisional ballots is overly simplified? 18 19 My reading of the manual was that it did not Α. 20 provide a robust picture of the opportunities for provisional voting. I don't think that a poll worker 21 coming from that gets the full impression of how to 22 23 deal with issuing, recording provisional ballots. 24 Q. Have you heard the phrase in Georgia "when

in doubt, hand it out"?

A. Yes, I have.

- Q. Is it your opinion that the default rule in Georgia is to hand out a paper -- or, excuse me, a provisional ballot?
- A. That seems to be what Chris Harvey says should be the case.
- Q. And do you have reason to believe that it is not the case?
- A. I'm looking at, you know, the complaints that came in. It seemed like there were a large number of instances where people didn't get a provisional ballot. I'm not sure whether or not they were entitled to it, but it certainly generated concerns that they were willing to reach out to the Secretary of State's office and say, "I don't know why I didn't get a provisional ballot," or other people were deserving and someone was not getting offered that opportunity.
- Q. In your experience, have you seen similar complaints in other states?
- A. I've read media accounts that suggest that, yes. I've dealt with election observers who come from other states to Wisconsin who put a strong emphasis on that and often have to remind them. In our training with poll workers, we talk about the fact that they need to look at our resources when it comes to how you

process a provisional ballot because you can't -- as I said, the requirements and the provisions are different in different states.

- Q. Okay. Have you ever gone and observed an election in another state?
- A. I'm reasonably sure I have. I'm just trying to think of the actual experiences of that. I have observed elections in South Korea. For some reason I can't -- I want to say yes, but I just can't give you an example.
- Q. Okay. That's fair. And let me ask you another question on this overly simplified. And I'm not -- I'm truly not trying to be argumentative, and forgive me for asking a similar question frequently, but is there a model kind of training? I mean -- and I'm thinking almost like the equivalent of a, you know, model penal code that we learned in law school or the UCC or something that somebody could turn to for guidance on best practices as it relates to training on provisional ballots.
- A. I'm not aware that there is. I mean, I know that in the gatherings with the National Association of State Election Directors people would provide examples of how they train on provisional ballots. I know that there's a course on provisional voting -- I'm not sure

1 if it's specifically provisional voting but where 2 provisional voting is a key element at the Election Center. Again, I think a lot of times what you're 3 looking at with provisional ballots or any other 4 5 election-day practice is how can you provide that 6 information to poll workers and election administrators 7 and voters in a method that is going to ensure that 8 provisional ballots are issued appropriately. 9 I'm looking at page -- same page, sorry, Q. page 19 at the bottom of the page, page 20 at the top. 10 11 When you talk about the voter identification documents, 12 you write -- and this is the fourth full paragraph, 13 "Interestingly, there is only one person of color depicted in the 12 examples of acceptable 14 identification." Do you see that? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. How does that really impact training? It provides the poll workers with an 18 19 exposure to the voters that are going to come into the 20 polling place. I know that when we developed our voter identification training program, we were very focused 21 22 on making sure that the depictions of acceptable forms 23 of identification showed a diverse group of individuals

Regency-Brentano, Inc.

But, I mean, is it then the opinion -- or

24

25

by age, gender, and race.

Q.

the conclusion to draw from that that Georgia poll workers don't know what people of different races look like?

- A. No. I'm just saying that -- what I'm saying is that it goes to reinforcing to the poll workers you're dealing with a diverse population. It's not a judgment on what they think. It's a question of how can you make your training materials more effective and create an atmosphere that doesn't suggest that only certain people are entitled to vote or only certain people are going to show up to vote.
- Q. So it's your opinion that by not including a more diverse group that the state is implying that people of color are not going to show up to vote or shouldn't be able to show up to vote?
- A. That's not my opinion, but I think you can draw an inference about the sensitivity to the training program, to the training protocols when you look at how materials are presented.
- Q. Do you believe that Georgia State election officials are communicating to local officials that persons of color are not coming to the polls?
  - A. I don't think that's the case, no.
- Q. Do you think that state election officials are communicating implicitly or explicitly to

poll workers that persons of color should not be able to vote?

A. That they are? No.

- Q. Same page, next paragraph, the last sentence says, "A model state-directed training initiative for poll workers must provide participants in the electoral process with confidence that poll workers know their responsibilities, will help not hinder voter participation, and are mindful of the integrity and importance of the vote of each citizen." Was there a particular model that you had in mind when writing that?
- A. No. I think -- I mean, obviously the language I'm drawing from is from my experience. That's what animates the training programs that I was responsible for overseeing. I think the use of the term model is -- I think this is a way of communicating this is an essential element when someone is evaluating their training program that they can see that this is a focus because it's going to -- I think it moves the training to ensuring that voters' rights are protected.
- Q. All right. Are your criticisms of the poll worker manual all contained in this report?
- A. I don't think I was quite as harsh in the report as I just was earlier.

- Q. I guess let me ask it this way. Go ahead.
- A. I was just going to say I think I was more blunt in my assessment of the quality of that manual. When you asked me the question, I made some comments about its deficiencies and its disorganization.

MS. TANIS: Josh, I also want to point out that Kevin said in the report that he was basing it on the 2018 poll workers manual because he didn't have the other manuals available to him at that point. I'm kind of assuming your question is geared to the 2018 manual but wanted to clarify that.

MR. BELINFANTE: Yeah, my questions are all geared to the report, which does indicate that it's based on the 2018 manual.

## BY MR. BELINFANTE:

Q. All right. Actually, my next stuff we've already covered. On page 21 of the court's pagination, page 20 of your report, the third paragraph from the bottom begins with Chris Harvey. There's a sentence about two-thirds of the way down that says, and it's talking about the State Election Board, "In addition, there appears to be a long lag time between the date of a meeting and when the minutes and transcripts are posted online." What is the significance of that lag

time? How does that impact the training of election officials in Georgia?

- A. Chris Harvey said repeatedly in his deposition that county election officials in particular pay attention to what's going on at the State Elections Board so that they learn from this, that they don't make mistakes. And if there is a lag time between when the meeting is and when the results of that meeting show up, it's pretty hard -- you know, that just the lapse of time period, the disconnect from any kind of learning process to the extent that that exists.
  - Q. Were the meetings of the GAB transcribed?
- A. Well, they were videotaped, almost all of them were.
  - Q. Okay. And then the video was put online?
  - A. The video was put online. So in one sense the board's actions were -- I mean, you know, we got a lot of press coverage at our meetings, so the key issues we're going to get coverage. We might end up issuing a press release depending on the nature of a decision that came out related to election administration, but we linked to Wisconsin Eye video on our website.
    - Minutes obviously didn't get approved until the next meeting. But, again, our meetings were fairly

regular. We had meetings laid out over a two-year period. One of the things we would do in the summer of an odd-numbered year was present to the board here's a set of proposed meeting schedules so that they would have it on their calendar. Obviously there were times when we had to have special meetings or adjust a meeting time if something came up, but our calendar was pretty well set for two years on that end. You know, the election cycle pretty much dictated when we were going to have it so we would be responsive to election issues.

- Q. All right. You talk about an example -- and I probably should but don't know which one it's referring to. It's in the next paragraph at the last line. "If there is a more egregious case, it seems that the election official has already left government service." Do you recall which case that was or cases?
- A. I don't remember the specifics of the cases. You know, when I'm reading these materials, I'm just trying to -- I wasn't taking detailed notes about, you know, which county, what the name of the official was. I was trying to get a sense of how were these handled, what are we learning from this. And it struck me that if you had a county official who really wasn't doing the job and had been identified as such in the report,

```
1
    they were no longer there by the time the board took
 2
    action.
               And --
 3
         Q.
               But I can't --
 4
         Α.
 5
         Q.
               Okay.
               -- name one, but I remember there were a
 6
 7
    handful of them, two, three, four.
 8
               And do you recall if that -- I mean, if the
         Q.
 9
    county official was no longer in office as a
    consequence of what you describe as egregious conduct?
10
11
         Α.
               My sense was that's why they were no longer
12
    there. I think the county was being proactive in
13
    getting rid of them. I mean, the county, as I
    understand it, still had some consequences. They may
14
    have -- they may have been fined. They certainly were
15
    given negative publicity. I don't know if they did a
16
17
    letter of instruction, you know, a direct letter of
    instruction to an oversight body about don't hire
18
19
    someone like this again, but --
20
               And so, I mean, in some ways isn't that
    evidence that the process is working, that if there's a
21
22
    truly egregious violation the county is taking care of
    it itself?
23
24
               In most cases it tells you that the process
         Α.
    is working. I mean, I think what I was describing were
25
```

situations that seemed to be repeating themselves over and over, whether it's the quality of the voter registration data, which was reinforced by a couple of other experts as I mentioned, whether it's the problems that were being associated.

But, I mean, a lot of times the problems that began that were egregious might not have been specific to -- may have more to do with did they make their absentee ballots available in a timely manner, did they accurately report the numbers from the election or even timely report the numbers from the election, were they available when they were supposed to be to issue ballots. Both seemed to me to be bigger performance issues that an administrator needs to be aware of. Again, I don't know those are the cases, but I'm --

Q. Okay. Going to the next page, you talk about intake of complaints. That's on -- it starts on page 20 of your report and holds over onto 21, page 21 and 22 of the court document. Is there a kind of gold standard for how states are to intake complaints about elections?

A. Well, I can point to our practice that every complaint was logged in and assigned a number. And that has been my experience with a lot of other law

enforcement agencies in terms of dealing with those complaints that -- so that we could track the disposition of them. We had a database available. I think you get different levels of complaints if there was any distinction -- or at least a clear distinction between them.

I mean, Chris Harvey says in his complaint that his staff knew to give him the complaint. The staff of the commission -- or the Secretary of State's office directed complaints to him, and later on I guess Kevin Rayburn was involved as well. I think his role was as deputy director as well as having the legal responsibility, from what I read. His later deposition talks about sharing the handling of complaints with Mr. Rayburn.

But the gold standard I think is how do you know how many complaints you've got, how do you keep track of what's going on, what are they about, how were they disposed.

- Q. Did you understand Mr. Harvey to say that at any given time Georgia is not aware of how many complaints have been received in the office?
- A. He never gave any indication that he had a sense of how many complaints were received. There was no reference to any kind of tracking mechanism or

follow-up other than, you know, the referrals that went out to investigators or what the standards were for making those referrals.

1

2

3

4

5

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Well, if the standard is that all of them go to the investigators, wouldn't that be a standard?
- It would be, but I'm pretty sure that they 6 7 don't given a lot of the complaints, as he pointed out 8 and as I observed, wouldn't be things you'd 9 investigate. I mean, whether Secretary Kemp should have been in charge of elections while he was running 10 11 for governor was not a complaint that they were going 12 to, you know, respond to that, or whether that 13 Challenger Abrams should have continued her post-election activities. There were a lot of 14 15 complaints in those areas that clearly -- I'm making an assumption here -- didn't get referred to the 16 17 investigators to follow up because they were not actionable under the elections code. 18
  - Q. Sure. Okay. I think you answered that.

    Well, what I guess would be your opinion that they should do with complaints that come in like that that are not actionable under the election code? And I'll give you one just as a clear and easy one. Let's say somebody does a campaign finance violation and files it with the Secretary of State's office as opposed to in

Georgia we have our -- it's called something else, but everyone refers to it as the State Ethics Commission, it's old name, so there's literally just no jurisdiction at the Secretary of State's office. What is your -- go ahead.

- A. I was just going to make an aside that I remember the old name and some of the people who worked there at different times from my campaign finance days and their participation in the council and ethics laws. But that's not responsive to your question. To your question --
  - Q. Yeah, go ahead. Yeah, go ahead.
- A. I mean, to your question, I mean, I think there's a couple of different ways of taking care of that. But I mean -- and I think it's important in doing this in any setting where you're dealing with complaints is, you know, categorizing the ones that aren't actionable. I mean, how much detail you need to put into them is different.

But, you know, if you don't have the jurisdiction as to campaign finance, that's all you have to put in. You probably don't have to assign a number to it. You don't have to worry about followup tracking, other than maybe saying the person was referred to the appropriate agency on that or -- I

1 mean, again, I can tell you we got a whole series of 2 complaints that we categorized because they were generated by like an internet-generated complaint. 3 People would be getting an email, you know, complain to 4 5 the Government Accountable Board about somebody's 6 ballot access issue here. You know, they tended to be 7 about presidential issues, but they tended to be about 8 whether or not we should put someone on the ballot, 9 even though they clearly didn't qualify or whatever. But, I mean, I think you're familiar with how those 10 11 things pop up. 12 I mean, I can think of an instance where it 13 may not have been about that but where we had like three or four boxes of these complaints. And, you 14 know, we made a record how many did we get from the 15 fact that we didn't even bother to respond to them 16 17 because we couldn't justify the effort. And it was 18 clearly, you know, a targeted mailing that was being 19 generated through, you know, some internet driven 20 protocol. And I guess my question is did that specific 21 Q. 22 criticism, the fact that some complaints may or may not be logged, speak to training? 23 24 It does because what I -- you know, we Α. talked about complaints are a metric, and I think in my 25

report I talk about how complaints help you focus on, you know, the failures of your training, the failures in your performance that you want to rectify. I mean, it's an important metric, and so you want to make sure that you can document that.

I think you want to treat it just like the training to the extent that the law permits. You want to be able to say nobody -- we're not having these issues. I mean, I anticipated you asking me a number of questions about comparisons between Wisconsin and Georgia, and my response has been those issues did not surface in Wisconsin. We had others.

But the reason why you -- I mean, from what I gleaned from looking at the depositions and the minutes and the complaints were that there wasn't a clear tracking mechanism on the complaints or categorization method or any kind of action that converted that into training, whereas from my experience when we had some complaints, not only did we take action and incorporate it in our training, we let the general public know that that's what we were doing.

And I think that's one of the reasons why
from my experience Wisconsin had a high confidence
rating by participants in the process, whether it's the
candidate or the voters. We had a UW Madison survey

where they looked at that through a couple of elections, and that was important in Wisconsin during some of the more tumultuous periods of time that we had.

- Q. When were those surveys conducted?
- A. They were conducted like 2012, 2016. I think Ken Mayer actually refers to one of them in his deposition. He was part of the UW -- by UW I mean University of Wisconsin -- Madison political science team that we had and that we brought in for the conducting of those surveys.
- Q. So was that before the issue with the supreme court justice election?
  - A. It was probably after.

- Q. After? Okay. Have you had candidates running for office in Wisconsin who have made a campaign issue out of election administration and attacking another candidate for election administration?
- A. Not to the extent that I've seen in other places because the chief election officer is -- you know, was me, and my accountability was not at the ballot box. It was in the hands of a citizen board so that I was auditioning for my job every day as opposed to just on election day. And so, I mean, I'm aware

1 that in other states that's not an unusual practice. 2 But, you know, we would have candidates for secretary of state who wanted to take back election 3 administration from the citizen board. And that would 4 be the last one. They generally couldn't articulate 5 that there was a problem with that. None of them won 6 7 election. Our current Secretary of State, who was 8 Secretary -- has been Secretary of State since just 9 after the election board was created, never had any responsibility with elections, but he would be the one 10 11 to challenge on that. 12 Q. All right. One of the things you talk about 13 on page 21 of your report, page 22 of the court's, is post-election audit of polling places throughout the 14 15 state. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. What kind of audit --18 Okay. I'm sorry. I spoke ahead of you. Α. 19 I think we're headed to the same place. Q. 20 What kind of audits were being conducted?

A. What I'm saying is an accountability tool, an assessment tool, a performance audit. In election administration, there are performance audits of any number of aspects of administration, you know, looking at how do you process complaints. But there's also

21

22

23

24

post-election audits of election results because, you know, it's a whole different area and it's something that we talked about in the National Academy of Sciences report. And it's often talked about as an accountability tool for the validity of election results.

Here I'm talking about the performance audits, and other states have done this. Washington State is a good example of this. I wanted Wisconsin to do it, but I was looking -- I tried to convince the legislature to adopt that as a policy. But basically it would involve going in after the election to review the paperwork, review complaints, evaluate how good of a job was done in administering elections in different categories. And in this case I'm talking about how did you run an election on election day, although you could also focus on advanced voting as well.

- Q. What was the -- while you were there, what was the budget of the GAB?
- A. You know, I'm not quite sure. I would be speculating on it. I mean, I can tell you to put it in the perspective that until the Help America Vote Act and prior to 2000, my peak number of employees for elections and campaign finance was 14, including myself. More likely it was closer to 11 individuals.

```
1
    And that's going to be one of your big driving aspects
 2
    of this. You know, the current election commission,
    the last count that I saw, and it's based on looking at
 3
    contact information in my poll worker manual, was 25
 4
 5
    individuals. And that's not too different from --
 6
    maybe down a little bit from when I was there for the
 7
    election division.
 8
                But because we had two different divisions,
 9
    our legal staff and administrative staff were really
    supporting both divisions, which included the campaign
10
    finance, ethics, and lobbying functions as well,
11
    although that division itself had I think six or seven
12
13
    employees out of the full complement of 40-plus
    employees.
14
15
         Q.
               All right.
16
                I'd like to point out --
         Α.
17
         Q.
               Go ahead.
                I'd like to point out that it's 3:45, so
18
         Α.
19
    we've been going at this for two and a half hours.
20
                Yeah. I was just about to say if y'all give
         0.
    me about ten minutes, I can probably wrap this up.
21
                Well, even if you want ten minutes, can I
22
         Α.
23
    get two minutes before you do that?
24
         Q.
                Sure.
                I would be more comfortable answering the
25
         Α.
```

```
1
    questions in the next ten minutes if we take a
 2
    two-minute break.
                No, no, no. I'm saying let's take a break.
 3
         Q.
    I need to go through some stuff, and it'll take me
 4
 5
    about ten minutes.
 6
         Α.
                Okay.
 7
         Q.
                And what I'm saying is I might be done.
 8
         Α.
               Okay. All right.
 9
               So if you want to take a ten-minute break,
         Q.
    that would be great.
10
11
         Α.
                All right. So we'll get back together at
12
    five minutes to 5:00 your time?
13
         Q.
                Sure.
         Α.
14
               Okay.
15
               MS. TANIS: Okay.
16
                (Whereupon, there was a brief recess.)
    BY MR. BELINFANTE:
17
               Mr. Kennedy, you said that you had expected
18
         Q.
19
    me to ask some other questions about your experience in
20
    Wisconsin and the issues you dealt with. What would
    you say were the top three issues you were dealing with
21
22
    while the general counsel at the GAB as it relates to
    elections?
23
24
         Α.
               Well, it's hard to say because things were
25
    dynamic. Clearly the biggest challenge had to do with
```

1 the administration of recall elections for state 2 senators and the governor and lieutenant governor that occurred in 2011 and 2012. Administratively there was 3 the implementation of the photo ID law that began in 4 5 2011, along with the myriad of changes that occurred between the elections laws that were the subject of the 6 7 lawsuit in One Wisconsin Now would probably be the --8 you know, combine those two as far as challenges go, 9 and obviously they had a big impact. 10 You know, when you talk about that 11 implementation, there's a big education and training 12 component that goes in with that, as there was with the 13 recall, and then going back to the continued implementation and compliance with the HAVA 14 requirements that had started in 2003 and continued 15 until the time I left. 16 17 Q. And how did those issues come to your attention? 18 19 Well, as chief election officer, they were Α. 20 clearly the responsibility of my agency to handle them. 21 I mean, these were -- the recall was -- you know, I 22 mean, it spawned a whole series of complaints to investigate, and it forced us to mobilize our staffing 23 24 to deal with eventually checking recall petitions that would have close to a million names of them. 25

1 mean, that was generated just by the political climate 2 that existed in Wisconsin and the current statutory framework that said that you could hold elected 3 officials accountable through the recall process. 4 5 The legislative changes that I was 6 responsible for, obviously that came from the direction 7 of the legislature signing those changes into law by 8 the governor that carried with it their own 9 implementation requirements. And the Help America Vote Act was an act of Congress that I think it still 10 11 continues in the sense that, you know, people working 12 at the elections commission are responding to federal 13 mandates with respect to -- and with respect to election security and now addressing a pandemic health 14 15 issue. MR. BELINFANTE: Understood. All right, 16 17 Mr. Kennedy. Beth, do you have any questions 18 you're going to ask? 19 MS. TANIS: Not that I know of. 20 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. What I'm going to do 21 right now is suspend your deposition. The only reason I'm doing that is if there is a follow-up 22 23 report that takes into account additional 24 evidence, I want to be able to ask you about at 25 least that new evidence and any new conclusions

1 you've reached. So I think procedurally the 2 proper thing to do is to suspend your deposition, and at this point I don't have any further 3 4 questions. 5 MS. TANIS: Okay. And, Josh, I'm a little bit confused. Are you talking about because of 6 7 the documents that were produced after his report? 8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, yes. 9 MS. TANIS: Okay. Well, the judge has already said that this deposition you could ask 10 about that kind of stuff, so I'm not sure why it's 11 12 just being suspended. 13 MR. BELINFANTE: Because he hasn't read it. And so my point is if he reads it and then issues 14 a new report or new opinion on it, then I want to 15 be able to ask him about that. 16 17 MS. TANIS: Okay. I'm sorry. I'm still confused. What are you talking about that he 18 19 still hasn't read? 20 MR. BELINFANTE: The 2020 poll manual, poll worker manual. 21 22 MS. TANIS: Oh, okay. 23 MR. BELINFANTE: And the new training stuff 24 he's already talked about. It really comes down 25 to the 2020 poll manual.

```
1
               (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the
 2
         record.)
               MR. BELINFANTE: Sorry about that.
 3
               MS. TANIS: Okay. I'm not necessarily
 4
 5
         agreeing with you, but I do understand what you're
 6
         referring to.
                        Thanks.
 7
               MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. All right. Well,
 8
         Mr. Kennedy, let me say again thank you for your
 9
         flexibility. Thank you to the court reporter for
10
         doing this on the phone as well and for everyone
         else for being flexible and working with us on the
11
         documents as well. So that's all I've got.
12
13
               MS. TANIS: All right. I don't have any
14
         questions. Thank you.
15
                (Deposition concluded at 4:02 p.m. CDT)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

-	ERRATA SHEET
	Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of
	Civil Procedure and/or O.C.G.A. 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your
	deposition testimony shall be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for
	making them.
	To assist you in making any such corrections, please use the form below. If supplemental or
	additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach them to this errata sheet.
	I, the undersigned, KEVIN KENNEDY, hereby
	certify under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing deposition and that said transcript is true
	and accurate, with the exception of the following changes noted below, if any:
	Page/Line/Should Read:
	Reason:
	Page/Line/Should Read:
	Reason:
	Page/Line/Should Read:
	Reason:

Г					
1	Page	_/Line	/Should	Read:	-
2					-
3	Reason:_				-
4					
5	Page	_/Line	/Should	Read:	-
6					-
7	Reason:				-
8					
9	Page	_/Line	/Should	Read:	-
10					-
11	Reason:_				-
12					
13	Page	_/Line	/Should	Read:	-
14					-
15	Reason:_				-
16					
17	Page	_/Line	/Should	Read:	-
18					-
19	Reason:_				-
20					
21					
22	Executed	on:			
23					
24					
25	DATE		KI	EVIN KENNEDY	-

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF GEORGIA:

4 PAULDING COUNTY:

I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to the written page under my direction and that the foregoing pages 1 through 198 represent a true and correct transcript of the evidence given.

I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case, am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties, nor am I anywise interested in the result of said case. The witness did reserve the right to read and sign the transcript.

This, the 16th day of April 2020.

CYNTHIA B. GATEWOOD, CCR-B-1400

Regency-Brentano, Inc.

1 DISCLOSURE 2 STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF PAULDING: 3 Deposition of KEVIN KENNEDY 4 Pursuant to Article 10.B of the Rules and 5 Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting of the Judicial Council of Georgia, I make the following 6 disclosure: 7 I am a Georgia Certified Court Reporter. here as a representative of Regency-Brentano, Inc. 8 9 I am not disqualified for a relationship of interest under the provisions of O.C.G.A. §9-11-28©. 10 11 Regency-Brentano, Inc., was contacted by the offices of Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield to 12 provide court reporting services for this deposition. 13 Regency-Brentano, Inc., will not be taking this 14 deposition under any contract that is prohibited by O.C.G.A. §15-14-37 (a) and (b). 15 16 Regency-Brentano, Inc., has no exclusive contract to provide reporting services with any party 17 to the case, any counsel in the case, or any reporter or reporting agency from whom a referral might have 18 been made to cover this deposition. 19 Regency-Brentano, Inc., will charge its usual 20 and customary rates to all parties in the case, and a financial discount will not be given to any party to this litigation. 21 22 23 24 CYNTHIA B. GATEWOOD, CCR-B-1400 April 16, 2020 25 Date:

Raffensperger				Kevin Kenned March 31, 2020
Kantensperger	00.22	15 50 00 (1 00 04	<b>51 2 52 5 10 120 4</b>	
	88:22	17;58:23;61:20,24;	71:2;73:5,10;139:4;	28:8;30:14;31:17;
\$	according (2) 124:15;133:1	63:12;179:17 active (7)	190:14 administration (39)	40:8;42:8,18;43:5; 45:6,16;47:25;53:7;
φ.400 (4)	account (2)	15:23,23;16:22;	9:22;13:20;17:24;	61:16;74:19;78:8;
<b>\$400</b> (1)	102:15;194:23	17:4;39:16;133:19;	18:2,16,21;21:21;	95:17;101:6,24;
34:2	Accountability (24)	170:17	24:11;25:5,16;26:10;	111:22;113:9;120:4;
[	16:2,19;21:15;	actively (2)	30:17;33:2;36:11;	136:25;139:20;
L	27:18,21;30:1;41:17;	16:5;72:23	38:16;40:7;55:2;	143:25;168:5;175:3;
[sic] (1)	48:6;51:20;54:9,25;	activities (2)	56:18;71:7;72:24;	179:25;181:19;
38:14	71:16;75:3,19;90:7;	73:11;184:14	74:4;80:21;81:6;	182:15;186:1;196:8
30.11	98:11;110:7,9,11,21;	activity (4)	93:11;106:16;119:7;	against (11)
${f A}$	111:2;188:22;189:21;	48:9,9;104:13;	123:2,13;125:5;	11:18;19:9;21:14,
	190:5	117:14	140:24;143:5;154:5;	14;34:18;40:10;
a11 (3)	accountable (10)	actor (1)	179:22;188:17,19;	45:14;146:19;150:15;
60:6,7,12	33:16;69:22;70:25;	65:2	189:4,23,24;193:1	163:7,17
a8 (1)	71:8;72:18;80:23;	acts (4)	<b>Administrative (5)</b>	age (4)
67:2	81:13;82:22;186:5; 194:4	21:25;62:2;64:4; 102:24	37:11;55:19; 125:14;169:19;191:9	95:8;137:12; 143:15;175:24
able (20)	194:4 accounts (1)	actual (4)	<b>Administratively (1)</b>	agencies (4)
9:19;41:22;65:7,24;	173:20	16:23;86:2;110:20;	193:3	30:10;48:2,5;183:1
68:10;112:24;120:8,	accumulation (1)	174:7	administrator (12)	agencies' (1)
10;129:6;132:16;	86:14	actually (24)	21:17;31:7,9,14,15;	48:14
133:9;136:12;147:19;	accuracy (2)	15:24;24:19;31:20;	32:14;72:22,25;73:3;	agency (13)
165:4;167:11;176:15; 177:1;187:8;194:24;	104:25;122:9	39:9;44:8;45:21;	90:21;97:22;182:14	30:12,14,16,22;
195:16	accurate (4)	48:12;52:6,22;63:18;	administrators (3)	32:5;42:22;48:21,22;
above (1)	23:23;29:13;77:20;	65:20;91:12;105:16;	73:6;131:17;175:6	49:17;75:2;76:9;
121:17	126:7	110:20;120:20;126:5;	admitted (3)	185:25;193:20
Abrams (3)	accurately (2)	140:8,23;144:19;	15:8,10,12	agenda (3)
150:11;151:10;	11:9;182:10	150:21;154:17;171:9;	adopt (2)	56:22;119:14;120:5
184:13	achieve (1)	178:17;188:7	165:7;190:11	agendas (1)
absentee (14)	71:14	adapt (1)	adopted (3)	100:15
23:14;34:8;89:15;	acknowledged (3)	93:8	32:2;158:3;166:2	agent (1)
99:7;100:2;107:25;	22:15;23:4;101:13 acronym (1)	adapting (1) 165:23	advanced (6) 63:20;86:12;161:7,	72:12 aggrieved (1)
108:7;126:22;161:17;	99:14	addition (6)	18;162:11;190:17	19:8
163:20;169:17;	across (14)	39:21;54:6;57:14;	adversely (1)	ago (2)
170:12,15;182:9	13:8;14:23;34:24;	108:8;155:25;178:22	24:7	5:24;12:11
absolutely (3)	52:17;56:9;73:4;82:3;	additional (6)	advice (1)	agree (24)
5:11;21:3;22:23	85:11;86:18;87:16;	7:17;11:5,7;13:18;	18:20	23:17;37:13;38:22;
academics (1) 79:2	129:21;145:15;	22:5;194:23	advise (2)	57:22;60:2,11;64:20;
Academies (2)	167:18;169:13	address (7)	18:15;20:15	65:18;67:14;70:5;
78:20;79:1	act (46)	93:2,7;127:23;	advised (1)	77:14;83:8;100:18,
Academy (2)	28:16,19;29:9;	144:5;145:1;149:25;	7:20	20;109:8,19;110:2;
97:25;190:3	31:24;32:10;33:3,13,	170:11	advisory (3)	115:22;117:24;
acceptable (2)	22,25;34:3,8;38:18;	addressed (2)	18:7;54:2,18	129:10;146:5;154:23;
175:14,22	42:13;52:4,10;54:6,8;	149:12;162:2	afar (1)	158:12;159:22
acceptance (1)	62:19,20;63:1,2,22;	addresses (2)	93:24	agreeable (4)
33:21	70:16,18,19,24,25;	60:6;66:14	affected (1)	4:9,11;5:8;6:15
access (17)	82:17;83:1,10,22;	addressing (1) 194:14	140:23 affiliated (1)	<b>Agreed (1)</b> 167:14
10:16;40:17;49:1,5,	84:9,9,10,11;102:8, 14,25,25;103:2,12;	adequate (1)	151:10	agreeing (1)
24;50:3,13,16,19,24;	146:18;163:4;190:22;	67:16	affirmative (3)	196:5
51:3,16;89:18;	194:10,10	adequately (1)	76:4;112:12;131:16	agreement (1)
120:11;134:2;146:10;	acted (3)	61:23	affording (1)	4:7
186:6	27:12;93:17;94:17	adjust (1)	148:5	ahead (39)
accessed (2) 41:21;49:23	action (9)	180:6	African (3)	4:12;10:7,21;13:25;
Accessibility (4)	16:3;21:12,18;	administer (2)	152:2,6;153:10	14:1;20:6,13;28:25;
84:11;103:1;	35:13;40:10;147:7;	33:15;73:24	afternoon (2)	29:6,15;32:8;36:23;
160:22;162:9	181:2;187:17,20	administered (6)	119:13;136:15	37:1;52:12;59:15,18;
accessible (4)	actionable (3)	42:5;46:12;81:1;	Again (40)	67:8;74:13;84:15;
33:8;50:22;113:2;	184:18,22;185:18	89:2;110:13;120:25	4:19;5:1;6:1;8:19,	92:25;98:13,15;
33.0,30.22,113.2,				
160:20	actions (9) 30:18;41:19;43:8,	administering (8) 11:19;36:20;62:23;	24;14:24;16:22; 25:19;26:16;27:14;	108:17;118:23; 128:16;133:12;

Raffensperger			T	March 31, 20
135:14;136:9;145:22;	answered (3)	153:12;184:15	22;144:16;174:22	authorize (1)
150:14;150:9;143:22;	18:18;101:17;	arguing (1)	assume (1)	91:16
161:24;167:8;178:1;	184:19	158:20	60:19	authorized (1)
185:5,12,12;189:18;	anticipated (2)	argumentative (1)	Assuming (2)	65:8
191:17	120:20;187:9	174:13	158:15;178:10	authorizes (1)
allegations (5)	anymore (2)	arising (2)	assumption (1)	60:25
26:7,16;27:14;	41:23;99:18	34:7;102:23	184:16	autonomy (1)
151:19,20	appeals (1)	Arizona (1)	assumptions (1)	36:8
alleged (2)	27:24	12:25	10:5	avail (1)
34:23;42:6	appears (6)	around (7)	assured (1)	135:3
allowed (4)	23:22;37:14;49:6;	22:8;44:9;52:14;	139:23	available (21)
4:4;40:9;50:13;	168:16;169:22;	56:7;119:12,12;	atmosphere (1)	10:3;13:7;27:2;
92:8	178:23	129:15	176:9	37:4;39:13;41:23;
allowing (1)	appellate (1)	article (3)	attacking (1)	51:7;52:23;106:19;
148:11	154:3	14:11;78:19,22	188:18	112:23;113:13;126:2
almost (5)	Appendix (14)	articles (1)	attainment (1)	3;130:16;137:23;
5:23;119:6;161:22;	10:25;11:1,8,22;	106:14	137:11	145:3;161:7;178:9;
174:16;179:13	10:25;11:1,8,22; 12:5;59:1;114:10;	articulate (1)	attend (3)	182:9,12;183:3
1/4:10;1/9:13 along (6)	12:5;59:1;114:10; 121:12;131:21,22,23;	189:5	116:25;117:5,10	average (2)
17:18;80:20;81:5;	132:18,21,21	articulated (2)	attendance (1)	40:22;120:2
96:7;125:10;193:5	application (2)	158:24;159:17	112:18	avoid (1)
	35:7;157:21			163:16
although (10) 31:25;41:4;57:1;	applications (1)	articulating (1) 103:25	attended (6) 67:22;112:1,4;	avoids (1)
				` '
65:12;112:14;119:18;	27:25	aside (2)	122:20,22;129:9	166:25
156:23;168:11;	applied (1)	50:25;185:6	attention (7)	awakening (1)
190:16;191:12	54:13	aspects (5)	45:1;83:7;94:11;	82:3
always (10)	apply (3)	88:10;89:23;	149:24;150:6;179:5;	aware (14)
56:21;57:3;72:5;	116:2;145:6,7	151:23;189:24;191:1	193:18	39:20;59:11;
89:4;136:20;142:12;	appoint (4)	assembly (2)	attorney (4)	130:25;131:3;132:20
153:14;158:17;159:4;	39:12,15;75:20;	28:2,20	15:6;17:1;45:19;	151:5,7,9,14;152:1;
172:11	76:1	asserting (1)	72:23	174:21;182:15;
amended (4)	appointed (10)	46:25	attorneys (1)	183:21;188:25
6:2;11:13;38:19;	27:20,22,23;30:24;	assess (1)	16:2	awareness (1)
70:18	43:14;44:2;72:6;	104:25	attributed (1)	81:11
America (18)	75:23,25;153:19	assessing (1)	23:9	away (2)
31:24;33:2,12,22,	appointees (1)	13:7	Auburn (1)	129:11;142:20
24;34:3;62:19;63:1,	30:23	assessment (5)	105:16	awful (3)
22;82:17;83:1,9,22;	appointment (2)	89:20;110:12;	audience (2)	48:1;99:19;169:2
84:10;102:8;103:12;	28:3;48:3	111:5;178:3;189:22	129:23;130:6	n
190:22;194:9	appoints (2)	assign (1)	audiences (1)	В
American (5)	31:14,15	185:22	137:24	1 (40)
95:2,2;152:2,6;	approach (7)	assigned (2)	audio (1)	back (19)
153:10	157:3,5;158:3;	170:13;182:24	129:23	7:1;31:20;57:25;
amicus (1)	164:4;168:15,19;	assignment (1)	audit (4)	58:4;59:17;68:14;
16:4	169:7	65:5	147:7;189:14,17,22	75:9;78:9,14;94:11;
among (2)	approaches (1)	assigns (1)	auditioning (1)	107:3;139:10;140:8
92:1;142:18	147:1	70:8	188:24	149:9;161:23;162:2
amount (1)	appropriate (3)	assist (2)	audits (4)	189:3;192:11;193:1
8:15	38:12;90:10;185:25	34:3;128:23	189:20,23;190:1,8	background (4)
analysis (4)	appropriately (1)	Assistance (2)	aural (1)	28:10;143:15;
10:5;63:21,24;	175:8	14:3,7	145:16	149:16;150:3
106:9	approved (1)	assistant (1)	a-u-r-a-l (1)	backgrounds (2)
and/or (2)	179:24	16:25	145:17	154:22;156:21
65:8;77:17	apt (1)	assisted (1)	auspices (2)	ballot (22)
animates (1)	41:5	78:23	34:3;39:9	40:17;80:6;89:15,
177:15	archives (1)	assisting (1)	authored (1)	16;90:25;95:3;108:
nnexations (1)	120:17	45:6	79:12	12;109:22;144:10;
36:3	area (8)	associated (1)	authorities (1)	146:10;147:19;
Annotated (1)	34:13;89:9,10;	182:5	97:13	158:11;169:10;172:
59:13	99:22;101:10;133:25;	Association (14)	authority (7)	173:4,12,16;174:1;
annual (6)	163:22;190:2	56:23;57:3,6;73:15;	38:2;39:21;42:21;	186:6,8;188:23
18:9;47:23;57:3,12,	areas (5)	98:3;105:18;112:2,	48:23;73:24;76:10;	ballots (27)
14;118:18	35:24;79:9;96:22;	10,13;122:23;141:19,	116:2	33:10;35:12;51:4;

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v.	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 205	Kevin Kennedy
Raffensperger				March 31, 2020
99:7,7;100:3;126:22,	12;8:2,7,10;10:17;	block (5)	box (1)	8:23
22;147:14,16,17,22;	20:12;24:22;25:1;	22:19;23:7,11,21,	188:23	bulletin (2)
163:21;168:16,19,24;	29:4;37:6;57:19;58:2,	24	boxes (1)	138:15,19
169:25;170:14;	6,11;59:22;66:12;	blunt (1)	186:14 Pro 1 (1)	business (1)
172:12,17,23;174:20, 24;175:4,8;182:9,13	67:11;76:21;80:8,12; 88:2;90:17;107:2,6,	178:3 <b>Board (89)</b>	Brad (1) 4:3	106:8
bar (1)	10;108:21;113:4,8,15,	9:15;11:20;12:12;	branch (3)	C
15:13	17;115:14;116:16;	16:2,19;18:4,7;21:15;	30:12,16,22	
bargaining (1)	131:24;132:8,12,16,	27:18,21;28:1;29:20,	break (16)	cabinet (1)
43:21	23,24;135:14,21;	21,23;32:2,2;36:8;	6:10,11,14;55:16;	30:13
based (25)	152:18;160:6,16;	39:10,11,16;46:1,18,	57:22;60:24;92:6;	calendar (3)
27:25;48:23;63:20;	167:24;178:13,16;	23;47:11,21;48:6,7;	106:24;108:3,7;	48:12;180:5,7
80:18;85:16,17,17,18;	192:17;194:16,20;	51:20,21;54:9,13,25;	119:16;146:25;160:8;	call (13)
88:11;90:8,13; 105:12,13,14;107:21;	195:8,13,20,23;196:3, 7	62:6;69:1;72:8,12,15; 75:2,3,20;77:8;86:1;	192:2,3,9 <b>breaking (1)</b>	31:7;37:10;43:1,1; 44:5;57:25;75:15;
114:15;119:13;	below (2)	87:12;90:7,8;93:10;	160:13	96:5;107:3;115:7;
146:17;153:5,24;	37:17;120:22	95:5;100:7,15;	breakout (1)	146:6;161:17;171:1
154:17;163:23;168:5;	beneficial (1)	113:21;115:24;116:2,	34:4	called (9)
178:15;191:3	156:19	6,21,24;117:4;	breaks (1)	7:18;24:7;47:10;
basic (8)	beneficiaries (1)	119:21;120:24;	37:5	51:25;52:23;71:4;
9:14;33:12;36:1;	88:21	124:16;138:21;	Brian (2)	79:18;116:4;185:1
45:22;51:6;68:24;	best (10)	153:17,18,22;154:1,	150:16,17	calling (1)
96:8;165:21 basically (19)	55:6;87:5;91:13; 95:2;101:1;139:1;	12,14,14,15;155:18,	<b>brief (3)</b> 16:4;160:8;192:16	155:15 came (23)
9:13;13:1,12;14:9,	154:2;165:2;168:2;	18,20,23,25;156:3,5, 8,15,17,19,20,22;	briefly (1)	33:22;47:25;52:6,
17;17:15,19,25;33:7;	174:19	178:22;179:6;180:3;	27:17	16;58:23;90:11;
35:20;36:5;40:6;	bet (1)	181:1;186:5;188:23;	bring (4)	91:19;94:7;100:4;
44:22;45:8;75:21;	55:6	189:4,9	38:25;39:4;40:10;	102:12;105:3;123:19;
95:19;96:16;114:18;	Beth (6)	boards (6)	149:8	124:10;126:19;140:3,
190:11	4:10;5:3;131:24;	29:12;56:3;82:25;	bringing (1)	6,25;156:21;167:25;
basing (1)	135:16;152:10;	138:15,19;156:13	39:25	173:10;179:21;180:7;
178:7	194:17	boards' (1)	broad (4)	194:6
basis (9)	better (5)	64:8	42:21;76:10;	campaign (31)
61:25;85:23; 107:20,21;118:18;	94:14,23;96:1; 129:24;169:6	<b>board's (2)</b> 49:11;179:17	112:15;153:6 broadcast (1)	16:15,17;17:18; 29:10,13,17,20,23;
127:16;145:14;	biannual (5)	bodies (1)	120:9	30:1,6,17;38:15,21;
149:22;158:18	48:8,14,15,24;	75:25	broaden (2)	42:11,18;48:21;
Bay (1)	55:11	body (1)	87:18,23	49:11;52:6;54:20,24;
135:4	big (9)	181:18	broader (2)	55:4;83:5;119:2;
Bear (1)	23:1;71:6;82:18;	<b>bold</b> (2)	13:10;155:9	154:6,8;184:24;
121:12	98:4;102:10,16;	121:17;136:24	broadly (1)	185:8,21;188:17;
became (3)	191:1;193:9,11	books (1)	112:6	190:24;191:10
14:8;95:6;170:12	bigger (2)	92:7	broke (1)	campaigns (5)
Becker (1) 12:14	93:4;182:13 <b>biggest (4)</b>	<b>both (11)</b> 10:23;45:11;77:17,	29:10 <b>broken (2)</b>	38:14;39:1,6;46:20; 64:17
become (1)	102:12;104:18;	18;97:3;123:12;	79:9;109:25	can (76)
166:12	169:17;192:25	150:9;154:3;162:8;	brought (13)	6:13,14;9:24;10:14,
becomes (1)	Bill (5)	182:13;191:10	19:12;21:12,18;	18;11:13;16:10;
153:12	26:23;27:5,7;52:9;	bother (1)	34:18;39:10,14;	20:18;21:10;25:18;
began (3)	58:24	186:16	42:17;73:14;79:1;	28:23;36:1;38:10;
8:21;182:7;193:4	billed (1)	bottom (20)	103:19;119:19;	39:7,17;42:13,16,25;
beginning (4)	8:16	10:22;11:2;15:4;	144:16;188:10	49:10,13;50:17,19;
9:12;11:5;15:3;	binding (2)	32:18,20;44:20;	Brown-Dean's (1)	51:12,12;64:4;68:21;
25:20 begins (4)	51:25;52:16	80:15,16;101:16;	114:10 budget (3)	69:17,22;72:1;76:15;
22:14;38:6;146:9;	binds (1) 70:24	107:13;113:19; 120:23;121:10;	<b>budget (3)</b> 48:15,24;190:19	83:23;84:22;87:20, 25;101:1;104:8,10,18,
178:20	Bipartisan (1)	132:10,15;157:14;	build (2)	20,22,25,25;106:10;
behalf (1)	13:13	158:9;165:10;175:10;	101:5;143:2	107:16;108:4,10;
45:10	bit (10)	178:20	building (1)	110:11;111:1;116:24;
behaving (1)	6:5;8:13;34:15;	boundaries (1)	138:13	126:1;137:2,25;
150:5	121:12;127:5;130:14;	36:4	built (2)	138:1;141:1,23;
BELINFANTE (61)	144:13;155:12;191:6;	Bouvier (2)	111:15;142:8	143:11;144:7;146:14;
4:1,12,18,20;5:3,11,	195:6	6:1;18:25	bulk (1)	148:4;156:14;157:22;
Min_II_Serint®		Raganey-Rrantana Inc		(3) har - can

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 206	6 of 230 Kevin Kennedy March 31, 2020
158:2;163:2;169:11,	190:15	161:10;165:23;	29:22;37:23;49:17;	citizens (9)
14;175:5;176:8,16;	cases (30)	173:13;181:15	71:1;72:4;75:16;76:9,	22:16;34:8;70:17;
177:19;182:23;186:1,	5:15,17,19,20;17:2;	certification (8)	23;184:10	75:23;81:16,17;
12;187:5;190:21;	25:9,11,14;34:21;	97:23;133:3;	chart (2)	146:11;165:4;167:11
191:21,22	35:14,14;40:16,22;	134:22;135:1,2,5,11;	109:1,10	citizen's (2)
canary (1)	41:5,8;53:23;58:20,	153:8	charts (1)	165:3;167:10
128:1	20,20,25;70:23;	certifications (1)	109:5	citizenship (2)
cancel (1)	71:11;94:21;117:3;	125:10	Check (1)	95:1.8
97:9	118:11;167:3;180:17,	certified (1)	133:25	City (14)
canceled (1)	18;181:24;182:15	97:22	checked (1)	18:11;35:19;36:13,
50:19	cast (8)	certify (3)	92:9	15;46:11,12,16;
candidate (4)	43:16;95:3;147:19;	46:18;47:11;65:16	check-in (1)	66:22;67:1;76:13;
44:12;150:12;	158:11,11;165:4;	certifying (1)	91:14	77:6,7;91:2;140:4
187:25;188:18	167:11;170:14	87:12	Checking (3)	Civil (4)
candidates (3)	catch (1)	cetera (1)	92:3,10;193:24	4:5;19:25;42:6,23
164:7;188:15;189:2	132:5	23:5	checklist (2)	claimed (1)
canvass (1)	catchall (1)	chafed (1)	108:9,24	102:15
44:3	43:4	30:11	checklists (2)	claiming (2)
Canvassers (5)	categories (1)	chair (1)	107:15;108:6	19:9;44:13
46:18;47:11,21;	190:15	62:6	chief (45)	claims (2)
87:12;93:10	categorization (1)	challenge (4)	5:18;32:4,25;33:13;	11:17,18
canvassing (1)	187:17	145:19;158:19;	50:20;62:5,15,17;	clarified (1)
44:21	categorized (1)	189:11;192:25	63:9,11,16;64:14,17;	100:6
capable (1)	186:2	challenged (6)	65:5;70:1,2,3,7,10,12,	clarify (2)
167:2	categorizing (1)	20:1;22:11,24;23:3;	20;71:8,22;72:11;	31:25;178:11
capacity (2)	185:17	149:22;158:18	75:17;80:24;83:10;	classes (5)
5:21;75:22	cause (2)	Challenger (1)	85:2,16,25;86:3,22;	105:15;112:18,20;
capitol (1)	45:20;118:15	184:13	88:25;89:1;96:5,11;	125:12;131:8
93:25	caused (2)	challenges (7)	101:9;128:14;137:2;	cleaner (1)
card (1) 102:19	43:12;118:16	73:7;137:2;143:15; 146:11;169:17;170:1;	165:15;166:11,18,22; 188:21;193:19	6:10 clear (15)
care (4)	causes (1) 171:13	193:8		12:15;18:19;71:14;
101:12;165:17;	CDT (1)	challenging (1)	<b>choice (1)</b> 169:8	74:15;89:13;98:23;
181:22;185:14	196:15	21:18	choices (1)	121:21;126:4;127:2;
careful (1)	census (3)	chance (1)	169:24	141:10;169:10;
148:2	152:5,15,19	111:13	choose (1)	172:15;183:5;184:23;
Carolina (6)	Center (20)	Chandler (1)	31:2	187:16
5:25;17:17;19:2,8,	12:14;13:13;18:5;	9:9	Chris (11)	clearly (9)
10,25	73:17;87:17,23;	change (9)	7:1;11:6;100:8;	30:19;45:22;46:21;
carried (6)	97:21;105:15;106:1,	38:19;47:25;71:6;	123:14,15;124:11;	77:24;184:15;186:9,
11:19;33:17;63:18;	3;112:17;125:8;	81:21;95:15;118:5,9,	141:12;173:5;178:20;	18;192:25;193:20
71:19;139:24;194:8	131:6,7,8;133:2;	16;151:18	179:3;183:7	clerk (24)
carry (12)	134:10,14;157:11;	changed (4)	chunks (1)	36:9,11,13,13;
39:17;44:19;69:3,	175:3	71:10;82:19;96:15;	168:13	39:12;43:8;44:4,13,
14,18,22,24;84:7;	Center's (1)	104:5	circuit (1)	18,21;45:10,14;46:3,
85:5,13;86:2;139:15	144:14	changes (15)	5:25	11,14;47:1;76:1,23;
carrying (4)	<b>CERA</b> (10)	21:21;22:5;26:24;	citation (1)	77:2,5,6;87:11;135:5;
9:19;61:13;73:20;	125:9;133:3,14,24;	27:4,10;46:23;52:7;	114:4	142:20
81:25	134:15,22;135:1,11;	82:16;90:1;103:19;	citations (1)	clerks (25)
Carter-Baker (1)	138:10;153:8	114:25;158:19;193:5;	114:22	31:1,2;35:10,12;
82:10	C-E-R-A (1)	194:5,7	cite (7)	37:20;47:20;53:7,10,
case (46)	125:9	channels (3)	26:21;83:16,21;	11,13;56:20,23;57:7,
4:21;5:21,22,24,25;	certain (5)	130:5,6;145:15	97:12;106:10;115:4;	12;60:20;75:6,19;
6:1;7:3;12:18;16:8,	35:22;54:12;	Chapter (5)	139:2	77:3,12;134:24,25;
13;17:16,17;18:23;	158:21;176:10,10	37:4;42:15;59:25;	cited (5)	140:4,19;142:11,18
19:2,5,13,17,22;20:2,	certainly (26)	60:1;115:7	94:7;115:6;133:7;	clerks' (1)
24;21:11;24:2,10,15;	26:7,16;39:19;	characterization (3)	134:8;169:3	35:3
33:13;39:17;42:16;	53:15;63:15;64:18;	23:17,19;24:6	cities (6)	Clerk's (2)
53:5;63:16;108:11;	65:4;71:5;73:6,12;	characterize (2)	34:25;35:21;36:3,	52:23;53:2
115:5;140:3,5,22;	86:20;87:16;95:11;	35:4;95:22	19;44:25;66:15	click (1)
158:19;159:4;163:14;	99:5;114:18;125:8;	characterized (1)	citizen (3)	133:15
168:3,4;169:8;173:6,	128:5,19;142:17;	35:2	177:10;188:23;	client (2)
8;176:23;180:15,17;	153:7,9;155:4;	charge (9)	189:4	15:23;16:21

alianta (2)				
clients (3)	comfort (1)	comparisons (1)	comprehensive (4)	confident (1)
15:20;16:24;17:14	154:16	187:10	96:9;101:19;	120:25
	comfortable (2)		168:12;170:2	
Cliff (1)		compensated (1)		confirm (1)
123:10	21:1;191:25	74:5	computer (1)	14:11
climate (1)	coming (11)	compiling (1)	79:20	confirmation (1)
194:1	40:11;82:16;100:7;	13:14	conceivable (1)	31:16
close (1)	105:25;127:4;128:23;	complain (1)	71:21	confirmed (4)
193:25	153:18;154:4;159:22;	186:4	concentrated (1)	23:12;28:1,2,4
closed (3)	172:22;176:22	complaining (1)	153:12	conform (2)
119:3,5,12	command (2)	100:13	concept (2)	40:13;63:6
closely (1)	63:14;69:25	complaint (17)	70:20;71:5	conformed (1)
73:4	comment (2)	6:2;11:13,17;16:23;	concern (1)	53:8
closer (1)	18:10;19:15	33:11;40:7;44:12;	148:13	confuse (1)
190:25	commented (1)	93:3;127:12,13;	concerned (1)	6:19
closing (1)	79:13	151:19,21;182:24;	126:21	confused (2)
162:8	comments (3)	183:7,8;184:11;186:3	concerning (3)	195:6,18
coal (1)	79:14;118:13;178:4	complaints (54)	26:8,17;27:14	confusing (2)
128:2	commission (28)	7:13;11:8;19:8;	concerns (8)	6:18;169:14
co-chair (1)	13:4;14:4,7;30:4,5;	26:18;87:6,7;96:25;	95:7;126:18;	confusion (2)
82:13	31:3,4,5,15;36:25;	97:7;99:4,12,20;	144:10;151:24;	126:23;171:3
co-chaired (1)	37:19,24;38:1,10;	100:4,5,7,9,10;102:4,	163:10,12;170:11;	Congress (4)
73:18	41:11,15;42:4,5,9,16;	5,7;104:13,15;122:7;	173:13	82:6;83:1;103:11;
			concluded (2)	
Code (36)	55:4,5;75:4;82:10;	126:19;127:3,18;	` ,	194:10
37:14;41:25;50:16;	183:9;185:2;191:2;	149:20;151:23;	118:14;196:15	congressional (1)
58:14;59:5,13,19;	194:12	168:16;173:9,19;	concludes (1)	85:20
60:3;64:2;66:8,13;	commissioner (2)	182:18,21;183:2,4,10,	148:24	consent (2)
67:7,15,20,24;68:2,	14:3,9	14,17,22,24;184:7,15,	conclusion (9)	34:20;35:15
12;70:4,6,6;75:10;	commissioners (4)	21;185:17;186:2,14,	44:16,18;101:25;	consequence (1)
76:25;77:11;83:21;	30:24;48:3;77:8;	22,25;187:1,15,16,19;	114:7;144:24;145:8;	181:10
	141:19			
84:6;115:10,10;		189:25;190:13;	167:25;169:1;176:1	consequences (7)
116:9,25;117:10,11;	commissions (3)	193:22	conclusions (4)	69:23;88:19;99:1;
154:9,10;174:17;	30:3,21;82:9	complaint's (1)	114:14;115:2;	159:5,6;160:3;181:14
184:18,22	committed (1)	104:14	145:12;194:25	consider (2)
coffee (1)	45:21	complement (1)	conditions (2)	124:7;162:13
130:11	Committee (2)	191:13	169:11,11	considerably (1)
coined (1)	79:17,21	complete (3)	conduct (15)	161:23
	17.11,21			101.23
157.6	committees (2)	00.14.142.5.170.5	20.2 12 25.20.5.	consideration (2)
157:6	committees (3)	89:14;143:5;170:5	38:2,13,25;39:5;	consideration (3)
cold (1)	73:18;82:14;106:5	completed (7)	40:12,13;44:10;53:8;	39:20;41:2;161:11
cold (1) 130:2	73:18;82:14;106:5 <b>common (1)</b>	<b>completed (7)</b> 67:22;104:21;	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1)
cold (1)	73:18;82:14;106:5 <b>common (1)</b> 110:14	<b>completed (7)</b> 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3;	40:12,13;44:10;53:8;	39:20;41:2;161:11
cold (1) 130:2	73:18;82:14;106:5 <b>common (1)</b> 110:14	<b>completed (7)</b> 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3;	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19	73:18;82:14;106:5 <b>common (1)</b> 110:14 <b>communicate (5)</b>	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4)	73:18;82:14;106:5 <b>common (1)</b> 110:14 <b>communicate (5)</b> 52:18,25;53:10;	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2)	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16)	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13;	73:18;82:14;106:5 <b>common (1)</b> 110:14 <b>communicate (5)</b> 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3)	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9)	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1;
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1)	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25;	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10)	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16;	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1)	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20;	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5;	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16;	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20;	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7)	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1)	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3)	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7)	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13)	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25;	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20;	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1)	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23;	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5)	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22;	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12,	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22; 163:1,4,7;175:13;	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18 comparative (1)	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20 complying (3)	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12, 25	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9 constant (1)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22; 163:1,4,7;175:13; 176:14,22;177:1	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12, 25 conferences (15)	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9 constant (1) 34:14
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22; 163:1,4,7;175:13;	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18 comparative (1)	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20 complying (3)	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12, 25	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9 constant (1)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22; 163:1,4,7;175:13; 176:14,22;177:1 Colorado (2)	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18 comparative (1) 134:16 compare (3)	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20 complying (3) 39:23;158:13,23 component (2)	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12, 25 conferences (15) 14:23;18:8;27:9;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9 constant (1) 34:14 constantly (2)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22; 163:1,4,7;175:13; 176:14,22;177:1 Colorado (2) 139:3,7	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18 comparative (1) 134:16 compare (3) 97:19,20;142:13	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20 complying (3) 39:23;158:13,23 component (2) 142:2;193:12	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12, 25 conferences (15) 14:23;18:8;27:9; 37:11;55:19;57:14;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9 constant (1) 34:14 constantly (2) 126:21;158:17
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22; 163:1,4,7;175:13; 176:14,22;177:1 Colorado (2) 139:3,7 colorblind (1)	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18 comparative (1) 134:16 compare (3) 97:19,20;142:13 compared (2)	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20 complying (3) 39:23;158:13,23 component (2) 142:2;193:12 components (1)	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12, 25 conferences (15) 14:23;18:8;27:9; 37:11;55:19;57:14; 105:23;112:5,17;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9 constant (1) 34:14 constantly (2) 126:21;158:17 Constitution (1)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22; 163:1,4,7;175:13; 176:14,22;177:1 Colorado (2) 139:3,7 colorblind (1) 163:4	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18 comparative (1) 134:16 compare (3) 97:19,20;142:13 compared (2) 96:3;134:18	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20 complying (3) 39:23;158:13,23 component (2) 142:2;193:12 components (1) 150:1	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12, 25 conferences (15) 14:23;18:8;27:9; 37:11;55:19;57:14; 105:23;112:5,17; 122:16;124:20,23;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9 constant (1) 34:14 constantly (2) 126:21;158:17 Constitution (1) 98:25
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22; 163:1,4,7;175:13; 176:14,22;177:1 Colorado (2) 139:3,7 colorblind (1) 163:4 Columbia (3)	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18 comparative (1) 134:16 compare (3) 97:19,20;142:13 compared (2) 96:3;134:18 compares (1)	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20 complying (3) 39:23;158:13,23 component (2) 142:2;193:12 components (1) 150:1 comprehend (1)	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12, 25 conferences (15) 14:23;18:8;27:9; 37:11;55:19;57:14; 105:23;112:5,17; 122:16;124:20,23; 129:9,9;130:9	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9 constant (1) 34:14 constantly (2) 126:21;158:17 Constitution (1) 98:25 constitutional (2)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22; 163:1,4,7;175:13; 176:14,22;177:1 Colorado (2) 139:3,7 colorblind (1) 163:4 Columbia (3) 17:18,21;155:22	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18 comparative (1) 134:16 compare (3) 97:19,20;142:13 compared (2) 96:3;134:18 compares (1) 95:19	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20 complying (3) 39:23;158:13,23 component (2) 142:2;193:12 components (1) 150:1 comprehend (1) 144:20	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12, 25 conferences (15) 14:23;18:8;27:9; 37:11;55:19;57:14; 105:23;112:5,17; 122:16;124:20,23; 129:9,9;130:9 confidence (4)	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9 constant (1) 34:14 constantly (2) 126:21;158:17 Constitution (1) 98:25 constitutional (2) 171:13,24
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22; 163:1,4,7;175:13; 176:14,22;177:1 Colorado (2) 139:3,7 colorblind (1) 163:4 Columbia (3) 17:18,21;155:22 combine (1)	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18 comparative (1) 134:16 compare (3) 97:19,20;142:13 compared (2) 96:3;134:18 compares (1)	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20 complying (3) 39:23;158:13,23 component (2) 142:2;193:12 components (1) 150:1 comprehend (1)	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12, 25 conferences (15) 14:23;18:8;27:9; 37:11;55:19;57:14; 105:23;112:5,17; 122:16;124:20,23; 129:9,9;130:9	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9 constant (1) 34:14 constantly (2) 126:21;158:17 Constitution (1) 98:25 constitutional (2) 171:13,24 constitutionally (1)
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22; 163:1,4,7;175:13; 176:14,22;177:1 Colorado (2) 139:3,7 colorblind (1) 163:4 Columbia (3) 17:18,21;155:22	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18 comparative (1) 134:16 compare (3) 97:19,20;142:13 compared (2) 96:3;134:18 compares (1) 95:19	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20 complying (3) 39:23;158:13,23 component (2) 142:2;193:12 components (1) 150:1 comprehend (1) 144:20	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12, 25 conferences (15) 14:23;18:8;27:9; 37:11;55:19;57:14; 105:23;112:5,17; 122:16;124:20,23; 129:9,9;130:9 confidence (4)	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9 constant (1) 34:14 constantly (2) 126:21;158:17 Constitution (1) 98:25 constitutional (2) 171:13,24
cold (1) 130:2 colleague (4) 13:2;14:5;17:19,19 colleagues (4) 49:20;73:3,13; 85:18 collective (1) 43:21 college (1) 144:11 colleges (1) 98:20 color (13) 27:13;146:11,20; 147:8,10;162:22; 163:1,4,7;175:13; 176:14,22;177:1 Colorado (2) 139:3,7 colorblind (1) 163:4 Columbia (3) 17:18,21;155:22 combine (1)	73:18;82:14;106:5 common (1) 110:14 communicate (5) 52:18,25;53:10; 116:5;141:8 communicating (3) 176:21,25;177:17 communication (10) 53:6;137:17,20; 138:5,6,13;139:5; 142:18;145:16,19 communications (3) 138:1,10,11 community (1) 93:18 comparative (1) 134:16 compare (3) 97:19,20;142:13 compared (2) 96:3;134:18 compares (1) 95:19 comparison (3)	completed (7) 67:22;104:21; 108:12;130:15;133:3; 134:21,25 complex (2) 154:4;172:3 compliance (9) 9:21;43:5;70:25; 121:22,24;141:14,16; 168:7;193:14 complied (2) 33:6;159:16 comply (7) 35:3;40:18;62:25; 72:18;91:6;159:23; 166:20 complying (3) 39:23;158:13,23 component (2) 142:2;193:12 components (1) 150:1 comprehend (1) 144:20 comprehension (1)	40:12,13;44:10;53:8; 60:12;67:2;75:4;94:9; 110:21;171:23; 181:10 conducted (16) 44:4,11,14;50:11; 62:8;67:3,4;86:24; 100:17;104:16;119:3; 151:11,14;188:5,6; 189:20 conducting (7) 64:12;87:19; 163:24;164:1,8,10; 188:11 conference (5) 44:5;57:3,7;129:12, 25 conferences (15) 14:23;18:8;27:9; 37:11;55:19;57:14; 105:23;112:5,17; 122:16;124:20,23; 129:9,9;130:9 confidence (4) 13:15;101:12;	39:20;41:2;161:11 considerations (1) 161:8 considered (1) 11:10 consistent (4) 23:25;121:1; 139:16;158:16 consistently (3) 99:20;148:14,16 consisting (1) 73:18 consists (1) 85:23 constable (1) 36:9 constant (1) 34:14 constantly (2) 126:21;158:17 Constitution (1) 98:25 constitutional (2) 171:13,24 constitutionally (1)

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 208	3 of 230 Kevin Kennedy March 31, 2020
construe (1)	copied (2)	couple (6)	criticism (2)	DAs (1)
5:9	42:14;133:16	12:11;43:15;	125:19;186:22	43:3
consult (1)	copy (7)	169:15;182:3;185:14;	criticisms (3)	dash (3)
18:15	5:23;10:13;20:18;	188:1	127:11;153:16;	36:24;59:5,17
consultant (2)	28:22,23;131:22,25	course (4)	177:22	data (9)
72:23;74:2	corner (5)	45:11;124:14;	criticizing (1)	9:23,24;18:7;
consultation (1)	52:22,23,23;53:2;	138:9;174:25	45:12	104:15;105:1;122:9;
18:20	133:14	court (45)	CROSS-EXAMINATION (1)	152:5,15;182:3
consultations (1) 90:8	corrected (2) 93:16,20	5:25;6:7;16:6;19:2; 22:9;24:20;25:10,20,	4:17 <b>curious (1)</b>	database (1) 183:3
consulted (1)	correspond (1)	22;27:24;28:9;32:17;	134:17	date (3)
74:3	48:14	39:15;43:14,25;52:1,	current (13)	65:16;103:19;
contact (4)	council (1)	16;53:3,23;58:22;	4:6;14:12;17:7;	178:23
14:10;41:9;142:5;	185:9	68:22;70:23;71:4;	41:10;49:11;133:2;	dating (1)
191:4	counsel (23)	74:22;78:15;86:6;	142:23;143:8;148:21;	149:9
contacted (2)	4:8,8,23;7:14;9:5,	94:3;95:14;107:12;	150:15;189:7;191:2;	David (1)
8:20;9:7	25;10:2,4;12:4,22;	139:13,16;140:3,5,9,	194:2	12:14
contacts (1)	16:1;28:12;32:1,3,5,	17,23;141:4,7,10,15;	currently (3)	day (17)
52:21	11;90:21;123:10;	143:12;160:10;	13:2;17:7;54:2	33:17;76:2;82:23;
contained (2)	154:24;155:7,10,13;	182:20;188:13;196:9	cutting (1)	86:2;91:22;103:18;
55:12;177:23	192:22	courts (2)	85:8	108:13;131:18;
contains (2) 168:11,13	count (4) 56:19;133:20;	58:21;95:11 court's (14)	CV (5) 15:2;17:13;32:16,	136:17,17;140:15; 159:13;161:15;
content (4)	171:21;191:3	10:20;80:14;	18;74:18	171:10;188:24,25;
68:4,5,7;139:12	counted (6)	10.20,80.14, 103:15;110:5;113:20;	cycle (3)	190:16
contention (1)	108:13;126:22;	120:21;130:13;131:4,	48:12,20;180:9	day-long (1)
163:21	165:5;167:12;171:19,	20;132:9;135:24;	cycles (1)	56:15
contents (1)	19	164:3;178:18;189:13	124:14	days (4)
119:14	counties (16)	cover (4)		45:13;79:22;
contested (1)	65:15;66:5,15;	35:13;119:24;	D	170:18;185:8
90:2	71:11,13;90:23;	137:10;166:4		day-to-day (2)
context (2)	97:10;124:8;142:11;	coverage (2)	<b>D-1</b> (1)	73:10,20
88:15;129:14	165:8,22;166:8,14,23;	179:18,19	10:11	DC (1)
continued (7)	167:15,17	covered (10)	D-10 (1)	72:14 DDC (1)
18:11;54:24;123:7; 138:23;184:13;	country (7) 13:20;14:23;44:9;	52:24;101:15; 120:19;121:20;	115:12 <b>D-11 (1)</b>	<b>DDS (1)</b> 99:14
193:13,15	82:3;85:11;86:18;	120:19,121:20, 129:16;135:23;	116:14	deadline (1)
continues (1)	87:16	148:22;163:22;166:4;	D-12 (1)	140:15
194:11	county (81)	178:18	135:19	deal (4)
continuing (5)	9:17;27:8;35:24;	covers (2)	D-13 (1)	64:10;140:10;
17:24;18:1;33:15,	36:1;37:19;43:8;44:3,	75:13;138:10	152:16	172:23;193:24
23;138:20	4,4,11,25;45:15;	coverup (1)	<b>D-2</b> (1)	dealing (10)
contractors (1)	46:10,14,16,18,19,21,	46:1	20:10	13:19;34:3;53:7;
17:20	23,25;47:1,2,2,3,6,10,	create (1)	<b>D-3</b> (1)	140:9;154:20;172:12;
contributed (1)	12,20,21;50:12;53:10,	176:9	29:2	176:6;183:1;185:16;
79:15	14;56:20;62:10,10;	created (10)	D-4 (1)	192:21
<b>control (3)</b> 30:16;46:25;48:1	63:19;66:22;67:1; 69:2;75:6;87:8,9,11,	29:24,25;30:4,14; 42:20,20;52:9;54:7;	37:2 <b>D-5</b> (1)	dealings (1) 155:10
convenor (1)	12;91:1;93:10,12;	42:20,20;52:9;54:7; 144:4;189:9	59:20	dealt (5)
14:22	94:10,12;97:5;99:9;	creates (3)	D-6 (1)	33:7;40:16;119:4;
conversation (4)	101:14;104:15,17;	64:18;149:1;167:16	66:9	173:21;192:20
13:22;123:15,17,23	116:8;121:1;124:13,	creating (1)	D-7 (1)	debacle (1)
conversations (7)	18,24;125:6,18,24;	165:8	67:9	102:9
8:21;12:6;14:9;	126:3,14,18;127:7;	creation (3)	<b>D-8</b> (1)	decade (1)
123:3,12;125:11,13	134:24;139:10;	29:21;54:8,16	76:18	153:23
converted (2)	141:19;142:11,18;	credentials (2)	<b>D-9</b> (1)	decades (1)
41:17;187:18	161:4;164:6;169:19;	15:11;113:3	108:19	149:9
convey (1)	179:4;180:21,24;	crime (1)	dad (1)	December (2)
107:23	181:9,12,13,22	45:21	128:13	8:24;48:18
	county's (3)	criminal (3)	Dan (2)	decent (1)
conveyed (1)			7.5.07.2	106.21
conveyed (1) 127:2	46:17;125:19;126:5	43:2;45:17;46:1	7:5;97:3	106:21
conveyed (1)			7:5;97:3 <b>Dartmouth (1)</b> 7:7	106:21 <b>decided (1)</b> 58:20

Runensperger	1	1		
decision (10)	depicted (1)	designations (1)	159:17	90:15;119:2;129:15;
20:5,23;30:8;52:16;	175:14	72:1	direct (8)	130:14;131:5;151:2;
53:3;146:6;166:13,	depictions (1)	designed (4)	30:22;42:20;50:13;	160:14;196:1
16;172:6;179:21	175:22	111:11;122:14;	53:6;62:21;69:25;	disenfranchised (1)
decisions (4)	deposed (5)	124:12;147:22	157:20;181:17	147:24
40:17;52:1;58:23;	5:13,15,20,22,24	despite (1)	directed (6)	disenfranchisement (2)
94:19	<b>deposition</b> (21)	149:19	126:25;150:6;	151:12,15
decree (2)	4:1,5,24;5:8,21;	detail (1)	162:21,25;163:14;	dismiss (1)
34:20;35:15	6:24;12:7;114:11,11,	185:18	183:10	113:12
dedicated (2)	12;118:3,5;124:15,	detailed (6)	directing (4)	disorganization (1)
118:20;120:2	17;179:4;183:13;	54:11;87:9;88:9,12;	31:22;32:21;46:23;	178:5
deem (2)	188:8;194:21;195:2,	98:9;180:20	139:14	disparate (2)
34:10;40:3	10;196:15	determine (6)	direction (6)	147:7,9
deemed (1)	depositions (14)	87:1;97:16;103:23;	11:20;80:24;129:4,	disposed (1)
90:10	7:1,2,4,5;11:6;27:4;	104:6;144:3;145:8	20;166:10;194:6	183:19
defamation (1)	118:8;124:11;126:7;	determining (1)	directive (2)	disposition (1)
19:12	130:19;141:17;145:3;	104:6	37:24;69:9	183:3
default (1)	162:15;187:14	develop (1)	directives (2)	dispute (1)
173:2	deprivation (1)	137:23	116:3;139:16	90:6
defendant (4)	94:21	developed (4)	directly (12)	dissolution (1)
4:2;5:19;20:1;24:6	deprived (1)	47:10;106:2,5;	43:25;48:4;51:6;	28:13
defendants (3)	168:8	175:20	52:25;61:2,6,18;	dissolve (1)
4:20;19:14;21:17	depriving (1)	developing (3)	62:10;66:1;74:3;	29:7
Defendants' (13)	166:25	49:24;50:2;143:13	99:22;104:23	dissolved (2)
10:11;20:10;29:2;	deputies (1)	development (2)	99:22;104:23 director (10)	28:16;30:3
37:2;59:20;66:9;67:9;	75:18	82:16;138:19	23:12;27:20;28:12;	distilled (1)
76:18;108:19;115:12;	deputized (1)	devoted (2)	31:6,21;32:3;77:7;	107:15
116:14;135:19;	75:18	119:6;138:9	123:4;138:9;183:12	distinction (4)
152:16	deputy (2)	dialing (1)	directories (1)	92:22;137:12;
deficiencies (1)	113:23;183:12	58:3	142:13	183:5,5
178:5	derives (1)	dictated (1)	Directors (8)	distributed (1)
define (4)	48:23	180:9	73:16,19;98:4;	33:23
70:7;77:5;78:2;	describe (6)	difference (4)	105:19;112:11,14;	district (6)
84:20				
defined (3)	84:2;121:20;137:2; 171:3,4;181:10	35:18;49:16;90:12; 129:11	144:16;174:23	17:1,17,20;20:8; 45:19;155:22
25:4;77:2,19	described (4)	differences (2)	<b>directory (1)</b> 142:12	43.19,133.22 diverse (9)
definitely (4)	` ′	92:12,15		` /
	11:5;69:20;145:3; 156:22	<b>different (49)</b>	disabilities (1) 160:22	85:6,10;130:5;
9:3;75:14;104:17; 120:5	describes (1)	7:2;8:21;21:13;	disagreement (1)	137:4,7,8;175:23; 176:6,13
definition (2)	22:9 describing (4)	29:12;48:11,22;50:9;	35:6	divided (1)
65:4;/8:5		52:19;53:12;54:14;	disclose (1)	36:7
definitions (1)	64:21,23;171:7;	56:6;61:9;65:19;	45:24	division (7)
77:4	181:25	71:23;72:2;76:1;	disclosing (1)	21:16;29:25;30:1;
degrees (1)	<b>description</b> (5)	79:10;82:14;90:13;	45:13	119:2;156:6;191:7,12
35:22	53:23;88:19;	91:4,7,10;96:4;105:2,	disconnect (2)	divisions (4)
deliver (1)	109:14;112:15;	10;111:11;121:23;	57:24;179:10	29:24;72:8;191:8,
116:6 Demogratic (1)	145:23	128:25;130:4,6;	discovery (2)	10
Democratic (1)	descriptions (2)	137:24;144:5;145:1;	4:4;10:3	document (28)
30:25 demonstrations (1)	130:19;138:12 descriptive (2)	147:20;155:4;164:14;	discretion (1) 96:6	10:8;11:1,23;13:14;
` '	• ` '	169:13;172:3;174:2,	discrimination (10)	15:3;25:19,22;28:8;
138:8	88:6;114:18	3;176:2;183:4;185:8,	` ′	31:18;32:17,17;
dense (1)	deserving (1)	14,19;190:2,14;191:5,	23:2;27:13;146:19,	68:17;74:7,22;78:15;
133:23	173:16	8 differently (5)	24;148:25;152:24;	84:17,22;107:12;
<b>Department (5)</b>	design (3)	differently (5)	153:8;162:21,25;	130:13,20,22;143:12,
14:4;34:16,17,23;	80:6;89:19;144:10	83:3;85:1;89:24;	163:7	12;160:5;164:3;
99:13	designate (3)	91:1;148:5	discriminatory (1)	170:5;182:20;187:5
depended (1)	62:4;70:12;71:21	difficult (2)	163:18	documentation (1)
54:19	designated (3)	20:17;168:14	discuss (1)	47:15
<b>Depending (5)</b>	62:16;72:16;120:22	digest (1)	163:20	documented (2)
			0 , ,	
89:7	113:20	aiminution (1)	12:14,17;80:10;	41:18;50:24;55:11,
53:2;92:5;120:5; 136:21;179:20 <b>depends (1)</b> 89:7	designation (7) 20:1;80:14,17; 103:16;110:5,6; 113:20	110:1 diminish (1) 157:22 diminution (1)	discussing (2) 60:3;125:5 discussion (11) 12:14,17;80:10;	45:8;104:22 <b>documents (14)</b> 9:25;10:15;11:9, 41:18;50:24;55:1

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 210	O of 230 Kevin Kennedy March 31, 2020
13;89:22;130:16,18;	133:15	effectively (7)	94:3,8,12;95:10;96:5,	154:1;155:15,20,23;
175:11;195:7;196:12	drove (2)	26:3;38:9;44:19;	11;97:21,22;98:4,21;	156:2,4,9,18,20;
Doe (1)	94:3,4	81:22;121:2;158:3;	100:7,22,23;101:8,9,	157:14;163:24;164:1,
16:9	Drs (1)	166:24	10,20;102:9,13,17;	9,10,13;174:8;179:5;
<b>DOJ</b> (1)	11:7	effectiveness (1)	103:18;105:15,17,18,	182:22;184:10,18;
35:4	due (1)	64:10	22;106:12,15;107:16,	188:2;189:10;190:14,
done (43)	143:15	efficacy (3)	23;108:13;110:16;	24;192:23;193:1,6;
8:23;12:2;13:17;	duly (1)	19:15;121:19;	111:7;112:5,10,14,16,	194:12
17:15;39:9;49:9;68:9;	4:15	126:14	17,19;113:21,24;	electoral (3)
76:13;84:7,12;86:19;	duplicative (1)	effort (2)	115:24,24;116:21,24;	164:5,16;177:6
88:23;90:18;91:1;	125:1	8:14;186:17	117:4,23;119:5,7;	Electronic (2)
95:19,20,20;96:1,1;	during (12)	efforts (1)	120:24;121:2;122:17;	106:3;138:19
103:3;104:9;105:12;	39:6;42:7;47:22;	34:10	123:4,12,18;124:14;	element (4)
111:24,25;113:8,9,10;	49:25;54:18;56:10;	egregious (4)	125:5,6,8;127:4;	158:9;159:9;175:2;
118:2;125:22;133:10;	57:25;78:10;81:10;	180:15;181:10,22;	131:6,7,8,18;133:1,2,	177:18
142:7;143:20;151:1;	110:15;111:17;188:2	182:7	10;134:10,14;136:3,5,	elements (5)
159:20;161:25;	duties (21)	eight (4)	7,19;137:2,5,7,24;	87:24;104:6;166:5;
165:19;166:5;167:4,	60:1;63:17;66:16,	21:24;41:5;118:19;	138:8;139:10,15;	167:5;170:4
8;171:12;190:8,14;	17,19;69:4,14;72:9;	119:9	140:24;141:8;142:6,	eligibility (1)
192:7	73:20;77:12,13;85:4;	either (5)	12;143:5,5,9,16;	89:21
double (1)	86:2;87:11;104:1;	16:19;50:11;	144:14,14,16;147:4;	eligible (2)
110:12	139:15;149:1;151:22;	104:23;134:23;145:3	148:6;149:1,21;	148:11;165:3
doubt (3)	154:14;155:3;156:6	Elderly (2)	153:17,22;154:5,25;	eliminate (1)
152:19,21;172:25	duty (7)	84:11;103:1	155:1,11,18;157:8,10,	171:16
down (24)	63:3;66:22;67:15;	elect (1)	11,19;158:8,13,23;	else (7)
37:5;41:15;52:17;	68:5;116:1;117:16;	36:9	161:10,15;164:6;	12:3;53:9;91:1;
60:24;62:9;64:13;	149:7	elected (6)	165:15;166:11,18,22;	158:1;161:3;185:1;
66:5;79:9;86:1,12;	dynamic (1)	47:1,4;72:6;85:19;	168:17;169:18,23;	196:11
92:7;108:3;109:15,	192:25	143:8;194:3	171:9,14;173:21;	email (9)
21,25;120:22;127:2;	dynamics (1)	electing (1)	174:5,23;175:2,6;	52:20;53:1;132:18;
133:15;141:7;146:25;	52:14	36:8	176:20,24;178:22;	134:8;135:15,17;
168:10;178:21;191:6;	_	election (311)	179:1,4,21;180:9,10,	138:1,18;186:4
195:24	${f E}$	5:18;9:17;12:15;	16;182:11,12;184:22;	emails (1)
download (1)		13:5,20;14:3,6,22;	188:13,17,18,21,25;	134:16
49:12	earlier (3)	17:8,14,24;18:2,4,5,7,	189:3,7,9,22;190:1,5,	emanating (1)
downloaded (1)	100:6;122:21;	8,15,19,21;19:8,12;	12,16,16;191:2,7;	143:22
51:7 dozen (1)	177:25 early (2)	21:21;24:10;25:4,16; 27:8;29:11;30:17;	193:19;194:14 election-day (1)	embraced (1) 86:18
135:13	8:23;161:17	32:25;33:13,25;	175:5	emphasis (3)
Dr (3)	easier (1)	36:18;38:10,14;39:1,	elections (122)	102:8;160:19;
7:8,9;114:10	10:19	5,14,22;40:10;42:24,	7:13;9:15,22;11:19,	173:22
drafts (1)	easily (4)	24;43:6,13;44:2,8,21,	20;14:19;16:15;	employed (2)
79:12	51:7;107:17;	24;45:5;46:7;47:13,	21:16;29:12,17,20,21,	17:8;144:24
draw (4)	160:24;170:2	19;48:12;49:11;50:8,	22,23,25;30:4;31:3,5;	employee (1)
13:18;97:20;176:1,	East (1)	16,19;52:18,21;53:1;	36:12,15,20,25;38:3,	14:6
17	72:14	54:21;55:2,5,24;	13;39:1,5,10,16;40:8;	employees (5)
drawing (3)	Eastern (2)	56:18;58:14;60:23;	41:1,11,15;42:17;	43:22;75:24;
96:19;102:1;177:14	58:4;107:3	62:5,9,15,18,24;63:9,	46:20;47:12;48:7,21;	190:23;191:13,14
drawn (2)	easy (4)	11,16;64:14,18;65:5;	51:21;52:17;53:12;	empowered (1)
72:21;105:17	109:25;110:1;	66:14,22;67:15;68:2,	54:15,18;55:25;56:1;	117:21
drew (1)	160:19;184:23	12;69:1,2,16;70:2,4,6,	60:14;61:14;62:5,8,	empowers (2)
106:20	education (3)	6,7,10,13,20;71:7,8,	23;64:8;67:3;71:2;	60:12;77:17
drive (1)	37:17;133:8;193:11	22;72:11,23;73:16,19,	72:4,25;73:5,10,17,	enable (1)
128:14	educational (1)	24;75:7,12;76:2;77:7,	21;74:4;75:2,2,4;	160:23
driven (2)	137:11	8,13,23;78:3,3,6,11;	76:7,9,24;80:25;	enabling (1)
117:13;186:19	Edward (1)	79:2,23;80:5,20,21,	81:17;82:12;83:3,6;	164:7
Driver (1)	77:11	23,25;81:5,6,7,12,14,	86:24;89:2;90:7;	enacted (2)
99:13	effective (14)	23,25;82:7,21;83:10,	93:21;94:5,10,16,19;	21:19;146:18
driving (2)	4:9;39:25;40:4,14;	24;84:7;85:3,11,16,	95:5;99:3;100:15;	encompasses (1)
128:12;191:1	110:8;127:3;130:1;	24;86:1,2,3,22;87:12,	104:16;106:4;118:21,	77:24
dropped (1)	131:2;137:3,17;	13,17,18,22,23;88:25,	25,25;119:24;120:3;	encounter (1)
32:14 drops (1)	146:12,15;154:12;	25;89:1,6;90:3,9;	122:22;123:1,8;	171:9
drops (1)	176:8	91:22;93:5,11,13,18;	139:4,6;148:21;	encountered (1)

affensperger			T	March 31, 20
126:23	entirety (1)	evaluation (1)	exceptions (1)	6:1;7:4;9:7;11:6;
ncourage (1)	74:17	149:17	48:19	17:16;19:25;24:3,10
53:15	entities (2)	even (26)	excuse (14)	14,16,19,20,21;25:3
ncouraged (1)	17:14;36:19	30:21;33:23;46:8;	36:24;55:4;59:5;	9;59:1;85:13;95:25;
91:15	entitled (5)	51:18;53:11;63:1;	64:7;65:10;81:5;89:1;	97:1;99:21
nd (7)	49:22;129:7;	72:2;78:17;88:11;	100:4;114:9;118:24;	expertise (3)
9:13;22:10;95:15;	158:11;173:12;	90:24;98:19;100:18,	160:21;168:1;169:7;	25:7,10;72:22
123:7;159:13;179:19;	176:10	20;102:7;109:10;	173:3	experts (3)
180:8	entity (1)	110:22;117:22;136:2;	executive (6)	155:11;162:16;
nded (2)	120:10	138:2;141:12;148:2;	30:12,16,22;31:6,	182:4
17:22;119:11	equal (2)	170:23;182:11;186:9,	21;47:3	explain (3)
nds (4)	147:2;159:2	16;191:22	exercise (2)	138:21;140:14;
22:7;131:23;164:3;	equally (2)	even-numbered (5)	59:24;171:1	146:14
166:21	149:2,7	22:8;48:16,18;	exhibit (36)	explains (1)
nforce (4)	equipment (7)	55:24;56:1	10:7,8,11;20:6,10;	145:5
38:13,25;39:5;	33:8,8;98:21;	events (1)	29:1,2;37:1,2;59:19,	explanation (1)
159:15	102:11;107:24;	125:12	20;66:8,9;67:8,9;	87:10
nforcement (5)	110:25;123:9	eventually (1)	68:15;72:21;74:8,16,	explicit (3)
26:18;42:1;83:5;	equitable (1)	193:24	18;76:17,18;107:11;	64:23,25;77:21
151:23;183:1	38:12	everybody (6)	108:18,19;114:9;	explicitly (1)
nforcing (1)	equivalent (1)	55:17;57:22;58:8;	115:11,12;116:12,14;	176:25
70:23	174:16	86:19;107:8;147:1	134:9;135:15,16,19;	exposed (1)
ngage (2)	error (3)	everyone (3)	152:15,16	97:24
42:9;171:23	45:9;46:20;172:12	164:5;185:2;196:10	exist (1)	exposure (2)
Engineering (1)	errors (10)	everywhere (2)	141:24	87:24;175:19
78:20	45:11;94:19;99:25;	148:16,18	existed (1)	exposures (1)
England (1)	100:22,25;104:4;	evidence (4)	194:2	87:18
71:13	124:11;126:10;	65:19;181:21;	existence (1)	express (5)
nhanced (1)	127:21;147:23	194:24,25	30:11	63:14;65:25;66:4;
73:12	essential (8)	evolution (2)	exists (3)	83:9;103:12
nhancing (1)	87:19,24;101:21;	80:20;81:5	87:17,23;179:11	expressed (1)
166:8	102:22;125:23;146:2;	evolve (1)	expanded (1)	77:16
nough (6)	154:20;177:18	138:21	49:19	expressly (6)
39:12;56:15;83:23;	essentially (2)	evolved (2)	expanding (2)	63:8;65:8;103:2,6;
99:5,6;144:25	42:14;43:21	138:14,20	50:4;162:9	115:23,25
nsure (31)	essentials (1)	evolving (1)	expect (4)	extensive (1)
9:19,20;35:12;	165:16	93:10	23:23;100:25;	128:4
38:15;39:11,22;	establish (1)	exact (5)	157:9,10	extent (6)
47:18;61:22;62:7;	62:7	8:15;26:15,20;	expectations (2)	12:20;54:12;
63:4;69:16;80:25;	established (4)	83:16,20	7:15;47:20	129:23;179:11;187:
81:15;82:21;89:2;	36:6;44:20;57:16;	exactly (6)	expected (2)	188:20
95:16;96:10;107:16;	142:16	8:19;15:25;121:18,	56:11;192:18	extra (1)
110:15;113:22;	establishes (2)	18;165:25;170:23	experience (50)	46:4
122:16;129:6;137:23;	84:13;86:15	examined (1)	13:19;62:22,22;	extraordinary (1)
147:5;159:9;164:19;	establishing (7)	4:15	72:22,25;73:5,9,19;	35:13
165:2,19;167:4,6;	33:9,10,11;85:23;	example (28)	81:9;82:24;83:4;85:2,	Eye (3)
175:7	98:7;137:3,16	32:9;47:6;50:15;	14,16;90:20;92:14;	120:9,11;179:22
nsuring (5)	et (1)	51:3;76:3;82:11;	96:7,20,22;102:3;	T.
94:14;101:21;	23:5	88:13;89:23;91:14;	105:12,13;106:20;	${f F}$
102:22;146:22;	ethics (20)	92:19;93:9;94:11,23;	107:22;111:23;125:4;	0 (1)
177:21	29:17,18,19,25;	108:6,24;109:5,11;	128:4,8,10;137:1;	face (1)
ntail (1)	30:1,5,6,18;31:4;	124:10;125:21;	153:6,24;154:7,11,25;	82:8
32:23	42:15,19;54:13,14;	128:17;139:6;140:20;	155:1,10,14,24;158:6;	Facebook (1)
nter (2)	119:2;154:6,9,10;	142:19;147:15;	168:5;169:9,16;	138:23
10:7;135:15	185:2,9;191:11	155:21;174:10;	170:6;173:18;177:14;	faced (1)
ntered (1)	evaluate (3)	180:12;190:9	182:25;187:19,23;	73:7
34:21	111:14;146:1;	examples (8)	192:19	facilitate (2)
ntering (1)	190:13	79:15;111:1,12;	experienced (4)	129:5;158:1
45:7	evaluated (1)	124:18;126:17;	53:24;101:14;	facilitating (1)
ntire (1)	147:6	168:20;174:23;	102:7;154:21	164:17
79:18	evaluating (3)	175:14	experiences (2)	facing (1)
entirely (3)	110:22;145:14;	except (2)	144:2;174:7	44:2
29:14;37:16;155:2	177:18	5:5;88:9	expert (20)	fact (24)

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v.	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 212	Kevin Kenned
Raffensperger				March 31, 2020
7:19;24:3;25:7;	Federal (28)	117:5;181:15	102:9	Friday (1)
29:16;30:10;32:24;	4:5;9:21;15:11;	fire (1)	follows (1)	4:25
40:9;45:12;64:6,11,	19:10;31:23;33:21;	86:8	4:16	front (9)
13;96:2;99:5;102:8;	34:6;35:11;46:19,20;	Firefly (5)	followup (3)	10:10,13;16:5;21:5,
115:3;117:9;128:5;	47:12;58:22;62:14,	50:1;130:14,17;	46:5;141:14;185:23	9;22:21;92:4;100:15;
130:3;135:3;144:9;	18;65:6;69:15;70:14;	131:1;143:24	follow-up (2)	106:18
155:12;173:24;	71:15,23;80:19;81:4;	<b>first</b> (23)	184:1;194:22	frontline (2)
186:16,22	84:8;97:13;121:3;	4:15;5:7;9:7;22:1,	footnote (2)	122:17;139:15
factor (1)	122:1;150:10;158:23;	3;24:7;29:8;32:24;	83:20;133:7	full (14)
151:7	194:12	40:11;44:2;68:16,18,	forbidden (1)	79:17;101:18;
factors (1)	feedback (9)	21,22;70:15;74:25;	93:1	122:13;136:17,24;
162:13	85:19;110:15;	76:22;94:24;113:10;	forced (1)	139:8;143:12;155:3;
facts (4)	111:1,16,18;125:25;	122:13;133:1;138:16;	193:23	164:7,23;168:11;
127:13;145:5,7,8	139:25;140:21;	143:12	foresight (1)	172:22;175:12;
factual (1)	145:18	fiscal (1)	9:5	191:13
145:14	feet (1)	48:13	forfeiture (1)	fully (1)
fail (1)	86:8	five (2)	43:1	148:6
117:9	felony (1)	79:22;192:12	forgive (4)	functions (4)
failed (1)	17:2	five- (1)	25:12;124:25;	29:8,10,11;191:11
166:20	few (4)	57:21	133:22;174:14	fundamentals (1)
failing (2)	48:19;103:17;	flavor (1)	form (4)	166:1
117:5;171:11	131:10;153:12	100:11	` /	
failings (2)	figure (3)	flexibility (3)	5:6;149:10;152:25; 167:21	<b>funding (2)</b> 17:21;83:15
				*
99:21;169:24	87:3;145:9;147:12	161:6,21;196:9	formal (1)	funds (2)
fail-safe (1)	figurehead (1)	flexible (1)	54:9	33:21,23
147:18	86:22	196:11	formalized (1)	further (4)
failure (8)	file (4)	floor (1)	82:19	109:15;168:10,20;
45:24;62:25;69:22,	16:4;47:23;48:7;	6:13	format (2)	195:3
23;72:18;81:22;	154:8	Florida (5)	6:5;56:7	Future (2)
101:4;102:13	filed (5)	79:11;82:4;102:12,	formed (3)	79:17;94:15
failures (7)	15:24;16:23;19:9;	19;105:24	13:4;91:19;118:6	
35:3;86:11;102:10;	26:19;44:12	flow (7)	former (11)	G
166:17,18;187:2,2	files (2)	69:24,25;97:10;	13:23;14:2,6;17:19;	
fair (16)	130:16;184:24	109:1,5,10;170:2	27:22;31:1,1,4;49:20;	GAB (38)
23:19;24:5;58:17;	filing (4)	flows (1)	154:1;156:23	16:16,20;17:4;
71:25;81:1;89:2;	25:20;40:4;74:22;	99:5	forms (3)	23:12;27:20;28:12,
95:18;119:15,23;	118:9	flying (1)	56:6;89:14;175:22	13,16;29:9,10,16,22,
126:12;127:13,14;	filtered (1)	44:9	forth (1)	24;30:3;31:12,21;
131:12;158:22;	102:5	focus (18)	84:18	34:5;38:24;39:4;
172:13;174:11	final (1)	83:5;93:6;99:6;	forwarding (1)	40:21;42:8,19;47:22;
fairly (9)	79:8	143:15;146:2;148:3;	135:17	49:7;50:23;52:16,18;
52:5;57:8,10;88:5;	finance (26)	151:21;153:13;157:2,	found (5)	54:16,17;78:11;
96:13;149:2,7;	16:15,17;17:18;	5;158:3;160:17,21;	32:15;44:6;94:10;	90:22;95:21;118:18;
170:17;179:25	29:10,13,17,20,23;	162:20;169:21;	95:14;113:15	119:9;135:10;179:12;
fairness (1)	30:2,6,18;42:11,18;	177:20;187:1;190:17	Foundation (16)	190:19;192:22
64:9	48:21;52:7;54:20,24;	focused (11)	18:6;80:22;81:7;	gather (1)
64:9 fall (2)		39:2;79:5,6;106:17;	84:3,12,21;86:16;	37:19
	83:5;119:2;154:6,8;			
22:6;166:19	184:24;185:8,21;	127:1;129:4;143:23;	96:9;137:17;163:24,	gathered (1)
falls (3)	190:24;191:11	149:24;153:15;	25;164:8,10,14,16,19	79:23
60:22;96:17;134:17	financing (2)	172:15;175:21	four (4)	gathering (2)
familiar (6)	38:15,21	focusing (1)	30:22;55:25;181:7;	79:25;85:14
14:20;98:6;141:18;	find (12)	163:3	186:14	gatherings (1)
150:11;155:2;186:10	22:13;41:7,22,23;	folks (2)	fourth (4)	174:22
familiarity (1)	68:13;91:5;99:12;	57:19;128:16	120:23;121:9;	gave (11)
27:10	112:24;117:3;131:2;	follow (7)	136:24;175:12	13:10;40:12;44:4;
far (7)	132:4;157:7	84:20;108:11;	four-year (1)	47:17;53:22;93:9;
37:23;76:6;85:12;	findings (1)	142:22;159:2,4,5;	21:25	94:22;105:4;140:20;
90:3;131:7;168:12;	146:18	184:17	framework (3)	162:13;183:23
193:8	fine (9)	followed (2)	84:8;147:3;194:3	geared (3)
	37:7;43:1;45:14,16;	49:20;93:3	frequently (7)	144:20;178:11,14
faster (1)	37.7,43.1,43.14,10,			
	58:2;107:4;116:24;	Following (7)	39:4;40:15;54:17;	Gender (2)
faster (1)				

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 213	3 of 230 Kevin Kennedy March 31, 2020
6:3;13:5;16:1;	183:21;184:7	greeter (1)	hands (3)	helped (2)
28:12;32:3;43:4;56:8;	gives (3)	91:18	140:17;157:25;	78:19;154:17
64:15;82:2;134:20;	84:12;111:10;	grew (1)	188:23	helpful (1)
136:5,19;139:3;	129:16	71:15	hands-on (2)	168:12
154:24;155:7;168:17;	giving (3)	grid (2)	111:20;144:2	here's (8)
187:21;192:22	26:4;110:17;128:17	35:25;36:6	Hang (3)	88:12;143:25;
generally (12)	gleaned (1)	ground (2)	31:19;78:3;116:10	144:1;149:14,25,25;
23:24;34:12;57:10;	187:14	62:12;121:21	happen (9)	159:11;180:3
71:1;75:9;95:11;	goal (2)	group (7)	26:22;40:15;89:14;	Herron (3)
119:11;128:10;	88:21;101:10	85:6,10;137:4,7,8;	91:11;95:17;101:6;	7:8,9;11:7
131:13;140:6;147:17;	goals (1)	175:23;176:13	117:15;118:2;127:22	high (1)
189:5	71:15	groups (4)	happened (7)	187:23
generate (3)	goes (5)	21:13;90:8;131:1;	45:18;46:8;81:21;	hinder (1)
41:3,5;65:3	25:21;37:23;42:7;	144:18	91:12;95:13;99:19; 125:15	177:8
generated (7) 43:9;141:15,16;	176:5;193:12 <b>gold (5)</b>	gubernatorial (2) 19:12;155:10		hire (1) 181:18
	84:17,19;100:21;	guess (24)	happens (2) 112:15;117:12	hired (3)
173:13;186:3,19; 194:1	84:17,19;100:21; 182:20;183:16	23:1;24:5;46:11;	hard (3)	32:3;95:3;151:24
194:1 Georgia (107)	good (18)	50:23;73:22;87:4;	6:7;179:9;192:24	32:3;93:3;131:24 <b>Hispanic</b> (1)
9:22;11:19;12:13;	13:17;14:24;47:17;	97:12;101:18;102:20;	harsh (1)	153:11
15:16;26:22;42:25;	55:15;56:15;61:15;	112:15;116:3;121:11;	177:24	historical (3)
50:1;58:13,19,20,21;	96:14;100:11;104:9;	127:5;129:3;133:23;	Harvey (14)	41:16,19;146:23
59:4,13,18;61:17,19;	108:6;125:21;126:17,	136:23;147:12;	7:2;11:6;100:8;	historically (1)
62:4;64:2,24;65:7,9,	20;132:17;139:3;	148:19,23;172:1;	123:14,15,17;124:11;	55:10
11,24;66:21;68:2,12,	170:4;190:9,13	178:1;183:10;184:20;	126:6;141:12;173:5;	history (13)
25;69:10;70:4,6;88:3,	goodwill (1)	186:21	178:20;179:3;183:7,	14:18;146:10,15,
7;95:19;96:4,17,19,	128:24	guessing (2)	20	19;147:13,15,21,25;
23;97:4,14,20;98:6,	googled (1)	8:13;135:12	HAVA (13)	148:20,24;149:9;
16,24;99:9;102:6;	113:4	Guidance (2)	31:24;32:22,25;	152:23;163:9
106:18,18;108:14,23;	gotcha (2)	51:25;174:19	63:21;70:11,11,12;	hold (4)
112:2,5,17,19;113:4;	32:13;164:22	guided (1)	71:5;83:14,17;94:24;	56:8,11;70:1;194:3
114:4,14,20;115:10;	governing (3)	50:10	103:1;193:14	holding (6)
122:22;123:1,2,13;	29:12,13;75:25	guidelines (1)	head (1)	44:5;70:24;71:7;
125:6;128:25;131:1;	Government (22)	64:11	78:1	80:23;86:8;157:24
133:2,10;134:14,17,	16:1,19;18:20;	guy (1)	headed (1)	holds (3)
23;136:3;138:7;	21:15;27:18,21;	128:13	189:19	63:11;70:2;182:19
141:20,22;143:8,20;	35:23;36:10;41:16;	Gwinnett (2)	heading (2)	home (1)
144:25;146:16,21;	48:5;51:20;54:8,25;	124:12;126:5	25:21;37:10	44:10
148:7,10,15,22;150:7,	57:18;69:17;75:3,19;	TT	headings (1)	Homeland (1)
9,24;156:8;157:24; 162:6;163:8,10,24;	81:19;82:20;90:7; 180:16;186:5	Н	22:18	14:5
162:0;103:8,10,24; 164:8,10,13;167:13,	governmental (2)	half (8)	headnotes (1) 20:24	Honestly (2) 152:13;170:9
14,16;169:5;172:24;	35:21;75:22	28:1,2;57:20;79:25;	heads (1)	hook (1)
173:3;176:1,20;	governments (2)	80:9;119:22,25;	46:8	50:25
179:2;183:21;185:1;	18:15;77:18	191:19	health (2)	hope (1)
187:11	governor (19)	half-day (3)	4:7;194:14	148:17
Georgia's (11)	27:23,24;30:24;	56:16;57:4,4	hear (4)	Hopefully (1)
96:14;97:16;124:8;	31:2;43:15,18,20;	hamstrings (1)	51:12,12,15;145:17	93:8
146:9,14;147:13,15;	72:7,11;94:6;118:3;	166:7	heard (1)	hour (4)
148:20;149:9;152:3,	124:3;150:13,15;	hand (2)	172:24	14:15;57:20;
23	155:8;184:11;193:2,	172:25;173:3	hearing (1)	119:22;160:7
Germany (1)	2;194:8	handful (6)	129:24	hours (14)
124:1	governor's (2)	35:9,14;72:10,12;	held (9)	8:15;22:16;23:13;
gets (5)	30:13;155:13	157:19;181:7	14:23;28:11;69:22;	56:22;119:8,22,25;
83:7;86:19;88:9;	graduates (2)	Handicapped (2)	72:17;73:23;80:11;	160:23;161:2,7,12,22;
141:12;172:22	133:14,24	84:11;103:2	90:16;160:15;196:1	162:6;191:19
Gilbert (2)	granted (1)	handle (5)	Help (23)	House (7)
79:11,13	59:24	95:7,14;171:5,18;	31:23;33:2,12,22,	26:23;27:4,7;58:24;
given (16)	Great (8)	193:20	24;34:3;41:22;62:19;	150:20,23,25
4:6;6:4;36:8;54:22;	4:19;6:17,23;25:2;	handled (3)	63:1,22;82:17;83:1,9,	How's (1)
65:23;99:6;127:3,17;	67:14;134:7,8;192:10	53:23;89:17;180:22	22;84:3,10;98:18;	8:8
128:12;130:15;146:2; 147:2;171:8;181:16;	<b>Green (1)</b> 135:4	handling (2)	102:8;103:11;177:8;	Hu (1)
		107:24;183:14	187:1;190:22;194:9	99:16

Case 1:18-cv-053	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 214	Kevin Kennedy
Raffensperger				March 31, 2020
huh-uh (1)	141:1	include (5)	154:22;175:23;	institutional (2)
	impacting (1)	5:10;60:1;75:14;	190:25;191:5	86:10;147:1
human (5)	52:17	77:6;78:12	industry (2) 105:7,8	instruct (1) 66:18
100:23;117:13,13; 172:7,12	<b>impacts (5)</b> 99:22;146:15;	included (10) 22:4;75:11;109:13;	inference (1)	instruction (4)
hundred (3)	147:8,15;163:18	110:7;111:12;118:24;	176:17	108:25;116:3;
	impetus (2)	132:1;152:14;153:3;	information (51)	181:17,18
hundreds (1)	22:15;23:4	191:10	11:4;13:9;14:10,12;	instructions (8)
	implement (4)	includes (2)	26:8;37:19;38:2;41:8,	89:13;107:15;
hypothetical (1)	83:22;98:5;111:18;	75:17,21	10,18;49:22;51:6,17;	108:9,10;109:10,12,
92:16	139:13	including (18)	53:2;62:12;63:5;75:5;	16;144:11
	implementation (8)	9:17;11:5;21:16;	79:23;80:1;91:24;	intake (2)
Ι	31:23;32:21;33:2;	45:11;48:5;64:15;	93:6;95:16;97:24;	182:18,21
	34:25;193:4,11,14;	70:19;74:18;77:2;	99:6,12,15;106:3;	integrity (1)
ID (7)	194:9	79:3;83:24;94:6;	107:14;110:18,18;	177:9
	implemented (4)	136:24;139:14;	116:5;122:16;124:7;	intelligent (1)
24;193:4	87:25;100:19,21;	144:17;151:3;176:12;	126:1;134:3;137:23;	154:21
idea (9)	141:12	190:24	141:2;142:6,10;	intentional (4)
	implementing (5)	incompatible (1)	143:7,10,22;146:1;	23:2;27:12;45:9,23
93:15;108:6;130:7;	33:14;34:6,10;	167:23	148:25;152:23;	interacting (1)
159:19,19	36:20;143:13	inconsistent (1)	154:19;165:13,21;	90:4
	implicate (1)	168:18	168:12;175:6;191:4	interaction (2)
10:12;20:11;29:3;	37:22	incorporate (3)	informed (7)	98:1;172:8
	implications (1) 141:10	126:1;149:23; 187:20	26:9;73:12,19; 95:25;114:19;162:10;	interactions (1) 73:12
66:10;67:10;76:19;	implicit (4)	incorporated (5)	163:9	interactive (1)
89:17;92:5;108:20; 115:13;116:15;	64:23;65:4;66:2;	35:22;36:4;70:21;	informs (3)	50:10
135:20;152:17;	77:23	79:14;111:2	85:6;96:16;151:23	Interestingly (1)
	implicitly (1)	incorporating (1)	initial (3)	175:13
identified (16)	176:25	98:19	28:1,3;79:12	internet (1)
	implied (1)	incorrectly (2)	initially (1)	186:19
18:14,24;26:25;41:8;	116:4	52:9;103:9	75:2	internet-generated (1)
	implying (2)	incredible (1)	initiative (1)	186:3
93:15;102:3,24;	164:13;176:13	157:18	177:5	interpretation (1)
	importance (4)	incumbent (3)	injunctive (2)	35:7
identifies (1)	148:8,11;157:22;	43:14;44:1,6	38:7,10	interpreted (1)
77:12	177:10	indeed (1)	Innovation (2)	78:11
	important (19)	66:25	12:15;18:6	interrupted (1)
19:14;65:7,24;	88:20;137:22;	independent (6)	innovations (1)	136:9
68:10;84:4,5;92:4;	147:3;149:7,23;	17:20;30:12,13;	73:8	interruptive (1)
93:11;102:1;145:13;	150:2;153:3;154:13;	33:11;48:2;115:1	in-person (4)	170:3
158:2;159:8	156:15;157:25;158:9;	index (1)	23:13;50:18,21;	interviews (1)
identifying (1)	159:7,9;165:13,14;	106:4	161:17	11:24
159:8	170:21;185:15;187:4;	Indiana (1)	insertion (1)	into (31)
IEEE (1)	188:2	155:21	38:20	10:7;15:1;22:5;
	<b>imposed (4)</b> 60:1;65:24;66:25;	indicate (2)	inside (1) 108:8	36:7;37:5;52:6;71:4;
<b>ignoring (1)</b> 166:9	60:1;65:24;66:25; 82:17	114:9;178:14 indicated (2)	108:8 insight (1)	79:9,15;81:10;97:15; 98:12;103:13;107:15;
	imposes (4)	82:13;144:2	106:6	108:3;109:25;111:15;
33:7	63:8;66:22;67:15;	indication (2)	inspector (3)	142:8;143:3;147:13;
Illinois (2)	83:10	105:4;183:23	50:20;75:17;128:14	142.8,143.3,147.13, 149:23;154:4,13;
	impossibility (1)	indicators (2)	inspectors (1)	159:22;161:11;171:6;
illustration (1)	167:16	122:3,6	75:15	175:19;185:19;
	impression (2)	individual (6)	inspirational (1)	187:18;194:7,23
immediately (2)	149:1;172:22	21:14;35:3,20,23;	157:23	introduces (1)
	improve (1)	62:4;72:17	instance (1)	23:11
impact (12)	82:12	individually (1)	186:12	introduction (2)
	inappropriately (1)	68:18	instances (2)	114:20;154:13
128:20;146:20;147:9;	168:15	individuals (16)	168:8;173:11	investigate (4)
	inaudible (1)	12:10;19:7,14;	Institute (3)	42:4;43:8;184:9;
19;175:17;179:1;	53:17	39:13;75:20;78:23;	20:5;24:14;57:12	193:23
	incidents (1) 90:23	79:24;81:18;144:17; 146:19;147:8,18;	<b>institution (1)</b> 157:16	investigating (2) 42:9,17

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 215	5 of 230 Kevin Kennedy March 31, 2020
investigation (3)	John (2)	178:7;183:11	88:5;97:8;100:9;	lawyers (2)
40:12;44:14,17	9:9;16:9	Kevin's (1)	127:3;137:4;168:13;	153:23,24
investigations (2)	Johnson (1)	132:3	173:10	lay (1)
100:16;119:4	4:22	key (4)	largely (2)	9:12
investigative (1)	joined (1)	72:16;101:5;175:2;	49:6;101:17	lead (4)
42:21	4:21	179:18	larger (1)	32:5;86:21;99:2;
investigators (3)	Josh (6)	kind (22)	136:3	101:9
184:2,5,17	4:20;8:1;24:18;	46:3;51:1;106:8;	last (39)	leader (3)
involve (4)	113:1;178:6;195:5	127:21;134:16;	4:25;5:21,23;6:17;	86:23;150:21,22
16:14;25:12,15;	Journal (1)	140:17;147:25;	7:24;15:18,22;16:3;	leadership (4)
190:12	106:13	153:14;157:6;159:22;	17:22;23:10;28:11;	53:13;129:4;159:3;
involved (14)	Juan (1)	163:17;166:3;171:15;	67:4;68:3;74:8,13,25;	168:6
16:5,9,10;50:9;	79:11	174:15;178:10;	80:18;87:20;101:18,	leading (1)
64:16;72:23;73:3;	judge (3)	179:10;182:20;	18,19;103:16,20;	165:16
84:25;93:17;98:6;	156:23,24;195:9	183:25;187:17;	107:13;120:23;	League (1)
106:13;124:16;164:5;	judges (6)	189:17,20;195:11	121:14;122:13;	57:11
183:11	27:22,25;31:4;	kinds (1)	125:16;132:25;	learn (3)
involvement (4)	154:1,2;156:23	156:13 <b>Ving</b> (3)	136:23;139:8,9;	129:24;156:8;179:6
17:24;18:2;78:22; 144:13	judgment (2) 146:6;176:7	<b>King (3)</b> 14:10,14;122:25	153:23;164:25; 168:11;177:4;180:14;	learned (12) 46:6;56:13;85:18;
involving (2)	judicial (3)	knew (7)	189:5;191:3	96:7;97:21;105:14,
25:4;96:22	28:5;56:3;154:10	45:12;93:19;94:16;	late (1)	17;119:21;126:16;
23.4,90.22 Island (1)	July (2)	140:4;151:18;156:16;	8:20	17,119.21,120.10,
156:1	48:15,16	183:8	later (6)	174:17
issuance (1)	June (1)	knowledge (3)	94:5;107:8;116:1;	learners (1)
169:25	28:13	20:3;35:15;141:6	169:3;183:10,13	129:23
issue (18)	jurisdiction (6)	knowledgeable (2)	law (64)	learning (6)
40:13;43:11;47:5;	36:15;88:1;161:13,	13:5;154:21	15:16;17:8;19:10;	143:16;144:5,17;
52:24;54:17;90:24;	14;185:4,21	known (4)	22:4,15;23:5;26:10,	145:1;179:11;180:23
102:16;116:3;128:2,	jurisdictions (1)	14:20;26:23;48:7;	22;27:2;35:7,8;38:13;	least (23)
3;142:12;161:3;	36:21	141:19	39:23;40:13,18;	6:2,4;34:21;38:1;
171:4;182:13;186:6;	Justice (5)	Korea (1)	41:20;42:5,11;44:14;	51:5;57:4;70:11;
188:12,17;194:15	34:16,18;43:14;	174:8	49:21;52:7;53:8;	71:21;76:7;78:11;
issued (7)	44:1;188:13	kudos (1)	55:10;62:18;63:6;	109:9;112:23;120:1;
15:15;41:12;45:14,	justify (1)	9:5	66:22;70:14;75:1;	128:5,22;132:17;
16;46:22;54:22;175:8	186:17	_	80:20;81:4;93:1;	140:18;153:22;
issues (23)	T7	L	95:24;96:2;106:12;	158:23;159:22;
43:7,24;92:11;93:4,	K		108:8;114:3,14,20;	170:17;183:5;194:25
20;94:2;100:4;		Lab (1)	115:2;122:1,1;150:9,	leave (3)
102:12;122:4;125:14;	Kathy (1)	18:7	10;154:25;155:1,11;	145:10;152:25;
127:17;141:11;	123:4	lack (1)	158:19,23;159:2,5,6,	160:9 <b>leaving (4)</b>
149:19;179:19; 180:11;182:14;186:7;	keep (2) 58:7;183:17	82:1 lag (3)	15,15,16,18,24; 167:13,14,16;174:17;	17:3;148:24;
187:9,11;192:20,21;	88:7;183:17 keeping (1)	178:23,25;179:7	182:25;187:7;193:4;	17:3;148:24; 152:22;165:17
193:17;195:14	111:3	laid (2)	194:7	led (2)
issuing (5)	Kemp (5)	166:3;180:1	laws (21)	43:18;99:25
119:4;168:15,19;	118:3;124:3;	lakes (1)	9:21;21:19,21,22,	left (4)
172:23;179:20	150:16,17;184:9	36:2	23;38:14,21;42:6,24;	123:6;142:21;
items (1)	Ken (3)	landmarks (1)	65:6;69:15;71:19;	180:16;193:16
110:11	7:5;97:3;188:7	36:2	81:10;120:25;121:4,	legal (17)
	Kennedy (16)	landscape (1)	24;158:13,15,17;	16:3;32:1,11;38:12;
${f J}$	4:2,14,19;5:13;	13:6	185:9;193:6	63:8;69:20,21;90:1,
	8:11;10:9;17:8,14;	language (16)	lawsuit (17)	21;91:6;114:7;
January (1)	18:19;23:12;51:11;	38:20;53:20;76:6;	11:15;12:3,7;15:24;	139:21;153:23,25;
48:17	80:13;108:22;192:18;	77:17;83:9;87:10;	39:5,11,14,25;40:4;	154:22;183:12;191:9
job (13)	194:17;196:8	108:2;110:1;144:21;	90:3,11;94:23;161:9,	legally (7)
13:17;46:17;96:11;	Kennesaw (2)	168:13,21;169:3,8,24;	19;162:1;163:12;	61:5,18,20;62:1;
104:9;129:5;139:3;	14:18;98:7	170:3;177:14	193:7	64:3;86:8;129:7
142:21;149:3,8;	kept (3)	lapse (1)	lawsuits (5)	legis (1)
	39:20;140:8;150:20	179:10	34:17;38:25;85:4;	30:9
169:6;180:25;188:24;		lamas (14)	140.00 152.12	lanialation (2)
190:14	Kevin (8)	large (14)	149:20;153:13	legislation (3)
		large (14) 7:11;10:1;45:4; 56:11;57:8,10;75:13;	149:20;153:13 lawyer (1) 95:4	legislation (3) 26:23;27:5;71:15 legislative (3)

Case 1:18-cv-05391-SCJ Document 403-1 Filed 06/28/20 Page 216 of 230  Kevin Kennedy				
Raffensperger March 31, 2020				
22:7;48:1;194:5	list (5)	13:1;14:5	Lowndes (6)	191:4;195:20,21,25
legislature (18)	27:23;31:1,1,3;	look (39)	124:18,24;125:18,	manuals (5)
21:19;28:17;29:7;	143:8	10:16;19:13;20:19;	19,23;126:18	51:17;107:14;
30:8,11,14,15,19,23,	listed (2)	26:23;27:5;30:9,20;	lunch (5)	124:12;127:8;178:9
25;43:20;47:24;48:4;	11:24;130:20	41:25;42:13;66:7;	106:24;119:16,19,	many (24)
72:7;90:10;161:23;	lists (1)	76:16;83:3;84:6,8;	19,22	17:13;40:19,22;
190:11;194:7	30:25	87:6;95:1;97:18;	17,22	65:17;75:24;91:16;
less (5)	Listserv (2)	99:21;100:13;104:19,	$\mathbf{M}$	111:6,9,16,18,20;
54:11;134:23;	138:15,19	20,22,25;106:12;		118:20;127:21;
136:22;169:7;171:22	literally (1)	109:1;113:18;116:9;	machine (1)	134:21;135:10;136:4,
lesson (1)	185:3	126:19;135:24;139:1;	76:2	18;155:17;167:3;
46:6	literature (2)	142:13;149:24;156:7;	machines (1)	172:3;183:17,21,24;
letter (2)	157:8,10	164:22;169:6;170:23;	51:1	186:15
181:17,17	litigation (10)	173:25;176:2,18	Madison (6)	March (1)
letters (1)	9:8;15:20,23,24;	looked (27)	16:25;18:11;56:12;	22:8
116:3	16:22;17:5;25:4;	7:1,3,11,18;13:16;	105:24;187:25;188:9	mark (13)
level (21)	34:15;53:5;140:7	26:7,16;27:1,14;	mailing (1)	20:6;28:25;29:6;
62:9,10;63:19,19;	little (13)	43:13;44:1;96:25;	186:18	37:1,1;59:18;66:8;
71:24;72:24;76:11,	6:5;23:1,2;35:14;	97:2,7,23,25;98:16,	mailings (1)	67:8;76:16;108:17;
13;83:6;85:20,21;	54:11;73:22;102:20;	19;114:16;115:3,6;	138:17	115:10;116:12;
87:9;89:5;101:14;	107:8;121:11;127:5;	124:22;143:4;145:2;	main (1)	152:14
143:9;144:12;154:3,	130:14;191:6;195:5 <b>lived (1)</b>	152:10;158:4;188:1	16:11	marked (13) 10:12;20:11;29:3;
16;168:6;169:19,20 <b>levels (4)</b>	96:14	looking (52) 7:16;8:14,22;11:22;	maintaining (1) 137:3	37:3;59:21;66:10;
57:18;69:17;158:8;	lobbying (11)	13:9;22:17;26:18;	maintains (1)	67:10;76:19;108:20;
183:4	29:18,18;30:2,6,18;	31:17;32:10,15;37:7;	143:8	115:13;116:15;
liability (1)	42:11,19;119:3;	49:15;50:6;54:1;	majority (2)	135:20;152:17
95:12	154:6,11;191:11	59:10;68:16;78:16,	128:24;150:22	married (1)
library (1)	local (37)	17;79:20;80:13;84:2,	makes (5)	156:23
55:10	9:16;39:22;40:10,	19,20;86:16;97:12,	6:7;52:13;141:4;	Maryland (2)
license (1)	17;41:1,2;42:24;43:3;	16;100:11;102:18;	155:2;170:9	72:14;155:21
157:21	47:18;52:25;56:2;	106:1,16;109:10;	making (14)	Masterson (1)
lied (1)	72:24;73:19;75:25;	117:18;121:22;122:3;	33:6;53:14;114:7;	13:21
80:16	81:25;82:20;90:9;	126:16,24;129:17;	140:10;144:10;146:5;	match (2)
lieutenant (2)	98:21;101:14;107:22;	142:3;145:12;152:11;	148:3,13;150:20;	26:15,20
72:11;193:2	131:14,14,17;134:21;	156:9;164:2;168:10;	160:19;163:14;	matching (2)
lifted (2)	135:3;136:3;137:4,7;	169:1,22;173:9;	175:22;184:3,15	19:13,16
35:16;42:14	138:17;142:6,12;	175:4,9;187:14;	manage (2)	material (6)
liked (1)	143:9,16;157:19;	189:24;190:10;191:3	60:22;99:8	51:23;95:13;
92:2	165:17;169:18;	looks (8)	managers (1)	143:24;144:8;150:2;
likely (2)	176:21	52:3;104:1,2,3;	61:12	152:9
171:22;190:25 <b>limit (1)</b>	locals (2) 63:13;86:6	108:22;109:16; 114:24;160:17	mandamus (1) 38:11	materials (42) 7:12,17;8:14,22;
73:9	location (8)	loop (2)	38:11 mandated (2)	10:2;11:8,23;13:18;
limitation (1)	63:20;86:13;89:12,	140:1,21	19:20;168:1	26:17;27:6,8,15;
53:9	19;147:20;160:24;	lose (2)	mandates (6)	49:12,23;50:8;51:18;
limited (3)	161:21:170:13	26:6;44:3	31:23;32:22;34:7;	53:4;106:19;107:22;
40:8;73:1;140:21	locations (9)	losing (3)	61:1;77:18;194:13	126:5,14,17;129:12;
line (7)	75:5;96:6;136:5,19;	43:15;44:12;99:2	mandatory (6)	130:2;143:21;145:4,
44:20;58:7;127:2;	160:23;161:2,6;	lost (1)	33:6;164:24;165:1,	4,15;149:8,18,23;
157:15;158:9;165:11;	162:5,12	51:9	11;167:5;168:1	151:22;156:13;
180:15	log (2)	lot (37)	manifested (2)	157:24;158:5;162:8;
lines (3)	134:1,2	8:14;13:15;16:13;	96:25;97:1	165:23;169:2;170:24;
22:16;23:5;91:19	logged (2)	17:22,22;27:3;41:18,	manner (8)	176:8,19;180:19
link (5)	182:24;186:23	19;44:11;48:1;56:3;	81:2;82:19;89:3,11;	Matt (3)
28:23;113:5,12;	long (9)	70:3;71:6;72:2;73:20;	121:1;163:5;165:19;	13:21,23;14:2
133:16,16	14:13,21;22:15;	80:2;88:18;94:11;	182:9	matter (4)
linkage (1)	23:5;119:8,20;	95:24;96:21;98:12;	manual (23)	17:18;133:11;
148:20	120:18;159:16;	99:19;105:21;111:9;	7:18;108:1,15,23;	153:21;171:22
linked (1) 179:22	178:23	136:22;149:24;150:5;	109:9,14;112:23;	matters (1) 110:20
links (1)	longer (3) 181:1,9,11	151:1;153:7;161:20; 169:2;175:3;179:18;	126:8;141:3;168:11, 21;170:1;172:17,19;	Mattice (3)
65:14	long-time (2)	182:6,25;184:7,14	177:23;178:3,8,11,15;	134:9,11,12
05.17	rong-unit (2)	102.0,23,104.7,14	111.23,110.3,0,11,13,	137.7,11,12
Min_II_Scrint®		Raganey-Brantona Inc		(14) logislatura - Mattica

				March 31, 20
nay (34)	137:22	met (2)	missed (4)	170:6;181:24
6:17;19:23;22:3,6;	meant (4)	7:14;56:23	45:8;49:4;140:15,	mostly (3)
29:5;36:3;38:12;42:5;	33:5,20;82:16;	method (5)	16	33:25;40:16;86:20
49:9;56:4;65:1;69:24,	114:13	142:9;145:9,11;	missing (1)	motion (1)
25;76:25;78:1;86:9,	measure (5)	175:7;187:17	88:18	113:11
12;89:18;92:6;95:9;	87:3,5;104:12;	methodology (4)	mistake (3)	motivated (1)
124:17,21;142:20,20;	128:1;144:7	144:23;145:2,7,9	45:23;46:14;93:13	151:16
154:10,10;168:22,23;	measures (3)	methods (2)	mistakes (6)	MOVE (1)
181:14,15;182:8;	96:17;104:18;105:2	110:12;121:25	93:12,14;101:7;	70:19
186:13,22,22	measuring (1)	metric (2)	128:18,20;179:7	moved (1)
Taybe (9)	121:19	186:25;187:4	MIT (2)	5:1
8:7;39:1;105:25;	mechanism (6)	metrics (9)	79:20;106:5	moves (1)
124:15;131:25;	110:22;111:19;	87:1;104:8,10;	mitigate (2)	177:20
133:22;144:22;	116:5;147:18;183:25;	105:10;122:3,6;	128:20;162:20	moving (1)
185:24;191:6	187:16	144:3,6,7	MIT's (1)	120:20
Tayer (5)	mechanisms (6)	Michael (1)	18:7	much (13)
7:5;11:7;97:3;	98:11;110:7,9,11;	7:6	mixed (1)	8:12;16:10;40:14;
118:13;188:7	145:18;154:15	Michigan (2)	121:12	50:7;56:25;127:20;
IcDonald (2)	media (3)	71:12;82:5	mixture (2)	144:1;146:6,21;
7:6;11:7	102:18;163:11;	middle (1)	131:14;136:16	155:9;168:25;180:9
Ieagan (2)	173:20	94:3	mobilize (1)	185:18
31:11;33:18	mediation (1)	might (20)	193:23	multiple (2)
nean (127)	19:20	7:15;13:7;35:2;	model (5)	19:15;145:15
8:25;9:1;15:24;	Medicine (1)	49:17;78:5;87:15;	174:15,17;177:5,	municipal (22)
17:4;18:3;24:18;25:8;	78:21	89:24;110:24;119:5,	11,17	35:10;37:20;50:12;
32:22;44:19;49:24;	meet (3)	12;120:10;129:14;	modified (1)	53:10,12;56:23;57:
50:3;52:20;54:22;	35:11;56:20;118:18	136:12;147:22,24;	81:10	75:6,18;76:23;77:2
55:9;57:9,15;58:16,	meeting (14)	155:3;168:22;179:19;	module (2)	12,18;134:25;135:
20;61:7,16;69:11,13;	56:16;57:8,10,15;	182:7;192:7	47:10,18	140:4,19;141:22;
71:10;75:22;76:5;	112:1;120:6;122:23;	mile (1)	moment (1)	142:18,20;169:19
77:19;79:24;81:8,9;	125:12;178:24;179:8,	35:25	160:11	Municipalities (6)
83:4;84:1,23,24;87:2,	8,25;180:4,7	million (3)	monetary (1)	57:11;71:13;91:17;
14,16;89:8,23;90:24;	meetings (33)	34:2;43:16;193:25	43:2	92:1;136:12;161:20
92:18;93:25;94:23;	18:9;37:11;38:3;	Milwaukee (6)	money (1)	municipality (5)
96:2,7,13;98:6,18;	51:20;55:19,22;56:8,	22:16;23:14;41:4;	83:23	39:14;44:22;45:3,8
99:9;100:3;103:10;	9,11,16,17,22,24;	56:13;77:7,9	months (2)	76:25
106:14;110:9;113:9;	75:5;79:22;112:9,16;	mind (3)	5:24;12:11	must (11)
114:3,6,16;115:3,7;	118:20,25;119:9,17,	66:7;135:22;177:11	more (49)	69:1,11,12;80:24;
119:4;126:12,24;	24;120:8,11;122:14;	mindful (1)	8:16;13:9;19:10;	103:3;139:9,20,21,2
128:23;129:24;130:7;	123:22;138:9;156:10;	177:9	30:16;39:24;40:4,14,	160:18;177:6
131:13,17;132:16;	179:12,18,25;180:1,6	mine (5)	25;41:1,4;46:25;48:1,	mute (1)
133:11;137:8,20,22;	Melanie (1)	17:19,19;58:7;	19;53:8;55:14,23;	58:7
138:3,25;139:2,21,23;	4:22	17.19,19,38.7, 128:2;131:23	56:25;68:3,10;73:20,	myriad (1)
140:15;141:12,23;	member (6)	minimize (1)	23;81:13;87:9;88:22;	193:5
144:6;146:25;148:15;	13:3;15:12;124:16;	128:19	89:22;91:8,10;92:19;	myself (3)
151:21;152:11;153:7,	13.5,13.12,124.10, 125:7;133:24;156:17	minimum (1)	102:20;104:11;127:5;	21:16;79:3;190:25
24;156:7;157:18;	members (17)	136:8	130:1;131:11,14;	21.10,79.5,190.25
24;150:7;157:18; 158:16,18;162:7;	28:3;30:22;57:5,6;	minority (1)	130:1;131:11,14; 146:22;147:7;148:2;	N
163:25;164:11,12;	82:25;86:1;119:21;	150:25	153:5;168:25;169:6;	14
166:2;168:18;169:9,	123:18;133:15;	minute (5)	170:12;176:8,13;	name (7)
15;172:16;174:15,21;	134:13,14;138:21;	18:23;51:9;59:17;	170:12;170:8;13;	4:20;16:12;65:13;
175:25;177:13;	154.13,14,136.21, 153:17,22;154:12;	18.23,31.9,39.17,	188:3;190:25;191:25	180:21;181:6;185:3
179:17;181:8,13,20,	156:16,20	minutes (12)	morning (2)	named (1)
25;182:6;183:7;	mention (1)	100:14;156:10;	136:15;161:16	21:17
184:9;185:13,13,15,	169:15	160:7;178:24;179:24;	most (26)	names (4)
18;186:1,10,12;187:3,	mentioned (5)	187:15;191:21,22,23;	5:20;35:23;37:22;	125:9;142:5;
9,13;188:8,25;	67:20;81:20;109:5;	187:15;191:21,22,23;	42:17;43:5;46:7;	
				144:19;193:25
190:21;193:21,22;	118:12;182:4	mirrored (1)	48:13,23;50:11;51:6;	narrow (1)
194:1	Merle (3)	108:10	54:20,23;56:15;	40:20 NASED (5)
neaning (2)	14:10,13,20	misdemeanor (1)	67:25;71:11;89:9,10;	NASED (5)
16:22;28:12	message (4)	17:2	100:19;110:14;	112:16,16;123:5,
neans (4)	107:7;138:24;	miss (1)	129:17;140:11;	18;144:15
61:21;62:8;100:11;	139:24;164:4	129:14	143:21;146:2;159:23;	National (24)

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 218	3 of 230 Kevin Kenne March 31, 20
• 0				
62:19;70:15;72:24;	43:13;45:5;161:16	nuts (1)	40:25;41:3;48:15,	110:16;112:5,18,19;
73:15,18;78:20,25;	nine (2)	128:14	17;55:23;56:2,5;	113:25;115:24;121:2
79:24;84:9;97:25;	5:24;21:24	NVRA (5)	180:3	122:17;126:3;131:14
98:3;102:14,18,25;	nobody (1)	63:1,7,11;81:23;	OEBs (3)	15,18;133:3,10;
105:18;112:10,13;	187:8	94:25	139:11,12,14	134:21;136:3;137:5,
135:2;142:2,2;151:1;	None (1)		off (4)	8,24;139:10;141:8;
157:7;174:22;190:3	189:6	0	51:7;78:1;80:9;	142:6,12;143:6,9,16
nationally (1)	normally (1)		196:1	144:14;147:4;157:20
153:8	105:6	oath (1)	offered (1)	158:8;161:5,10;
natural (1)	North (6)	109:11	173:17	164:6;165:18;166:25
36:2	5:24;17:16;19:2,7,	Object (2)	offering (3)	169:18;176:21,21,24
nature (3)	10,24	149:10;167:21	25:25;27:11,15	179:2,4;194:4
53:3;117:13;179:20	northern (1)	objections (1)	offhand (1)	officials' (4)
necessarily (6)	56:14	5:5	54:6	87:18,23;111:7;
71:3;88:12;147:2;	notably (2)	obligated (2)	office (30)	149:1
155:11;165:10;196:4	7:5;62:18	61:6,18	9:16;14:8;15:1;	off-the-record (3)
necessary (3)	note (1)	obligation (8)	44:13;62:13;64:14;	80:10;90:15;160:14
10:15;93:9;101:3	123:17	63:8;65:25;66:1,2;	70:9;76:8;93:13;	often (16)
necessity (2)	noted (1)	69:20,21;83:10;	95:12;98:10;102:6,7;	41:3;42:7;51:8,12,
81:12;98:8	124:10	139:21	123:11;134:15,24;	18,19;53:13;54:19;
need (23)	notes (2)	obligations (1)	135:10;143:23;150:4;	55:21,23;56:24,25;
6:8,10;71:16;78:18;	68:16;180:20	66:25	153:10;143:23;130:4;	100:24;156:21;
	*			173:23;190:4
86:23;89:1,17;96:1;	notice (3)	observation (1)	15;173:15;181:9;	*
98:12;103:18;127:22;	11:12;142:19;160:6	88:7	183:10,22;184:25;	oftentimes (1)
130:3;142:5;148:1;	noticed (1)	observations (2)	185:4;188:16	146:25
149:8;150:8;161:8;	44:22	104:22;122:8	officer (36)	Ohio (2)
166:5;167:4;168:24;	notify (1)	observe (1)	5:18;33:13;41:10;	14:7;155:25
173:25;185:18;192:4	67:21	90:5	60:23;62:5,15,18,24;	Old (3)
needed (5)	November (1)	observed (4)	63:9,11,16;64:14,18;	109:18;185:3,7
39:12,21;56:13;	8:23	145:6;174:4,8;	65:5;70:2,4,7,11,13,	once (2)
91:21;140:15	nowadays (1)	184:8	20;71:9,22;72:11;	19:11;93:15
needs (6)	158:16	observer (2)	81:12;85:16;86:1,3,	One (120)
84:7,12;87:2;116:4;	number (72)	90:3,8	22;96:5,11;101:9;	5:22;6:6;7:7;8:3;
143:14;182:14	5:19;6:25;7:11;	observers (5)	166:11,18,22;188:21;	11:12;12:12;20:4,5,6
Neenah (1)	8:20;10:9,11,20,21,	89:23;90:13;	193:19	22,22;21:2,17;22:3;
95:5	22;11:1,17;16:24;	104:23;122:8;173:21	officers (9)	24:14;29:12,12;30:4
negative (1)	19:7;20:10;21:13,20;	observing (2)	21:16;57:8;66:18,	25;31:19,21;34:21;
181:16	29:2;35:14;37:2;	61:11;94:15	23;67:17;68:1,12;	36:14;38:6,9;39:8,17
negligence (2)	52:19;56:6;58:13,22;	obvious (1)	83:11;113:24	41:14;42:16;43:24;
45:10,22	59:20;62:3,14;65:13;	25:13	officer's (1)	44:24;48:19;50:5;
negotiation (1)	66:9;67:9;70:18;	Obviously (21)	165:15	51:14;55:14,17;
19:20	75:13;76:18;79:1;	7:13;12:23;16:2;	offices (6)	56:10,12,12,21;62:3
networks (1)	82:1;87:15;97:8;	25:7;33:17;36:1;	41:1,2,4;56:2,3;	64:12;66:4,17,25;
138:13	100:9,13;101:13;	41:17;44:8;46:23;	72:3	68:13,18,23;71:21;
neutral (1)	104:10;105:3;107:12;	53:17;75:24;96:13;	official (15)	75:19;77:12;78:18;
163:4	104.10,103.3,107.12,	129:14;138:14,16;	27:9;33:1;40:11;	79:8;80:5,15,16;
new (16)	111:22;115:12;	144:9;177:13;179:24;	47:1;72:6;80:25;	82:18;84:4,5;87:2,5
6:5;11:1;22:4;30:3,	116:14;122:7;123:3;	180:5;193:9;194:6	85:24;99:9;122:23;	89:23;90:1,2;91:1,2
			85:24;99:9;122:23; 137:2;138:17;180:16,	
21;43:17;53:21;	127:3,17;130:13;	occasion (1)		17;92:17;98:4;
71:12;156:17;165:13,	131:5;132:9;133:9;	39:8	21,24;181:9	102:12;104:12,12,18
13;194:25,25;195:15,	134:17;135:8,19,24,	occasionally (1)	officials (91)	105:1,24,25;109:6;
15,23	25;136:3;147:20;	128:11	9:17,17;27:12;	110:14;115:19;
news (2)	152:16;157:2;170:4,	occur (5)	39:22;40:17;42:25;	116:17;122:22;
102:18;133:24	20;173:10;182:24;	19:23;57:2;72:9;	46:7;47:4,19;50:8,12,	124:10,19,23,24;
next (18)	185:23;187:9;189:24;	86:12;125:13	13;52:18,21;53:1;	128:6;129:3;136:24
20:4;50:19;86:7;	190:23	occurred (7)	69:16;75:7,12;77:13,	139:2;140:10,25;
95:2;116:9;136:22;	numbered (2)	46:10;56:25;81:24;	23;78:3,4,6,11;79:2,	141:17;144:10;
137:15;145:25;146:9;	68:18;121:5	86:15;153:13;193:3,5	23,24;80:23;81:14,	146:16;147:5;153:10
162:19;163:19;	numbers (5)	occurs (1)	25;82:7,21;83:15,24;	156:23,24;157:18;
172:16;177:4;178:17;	74:16;131:20;	47:13	85:3,11;89:1;90:9;	158:18;161:9,19,21:
179:25;180:14;	152:19;182:10,11	October (2)	93:13;94:6,14;96:5;	163:19;169:23;172:
182:17;192:1	numerous (2)	8:21;56:4	97:5;98:21;101:11,	175:13;179:16;180:2

105 00 100 5 100 5				March 31, 2020
	4 44 (2)	140 20 150 4 152 22	11 12 122 7 125 24	110 7 12 21 22
187:22;188:7;189:5,	opportunities (2)	149:20;150:4;152:22;	11,12;133:7;135:24,	110:7,13,21,23;
10,12;191:1;193:7	165:20;172:20	166:3;172:25;173:3,	25;136:25;139:8;	111:21;118:25;
ones (5)	opportunity (6)	14;178:6;179:21;	143:11,12;157:1;	136:16;142:2;145:18;
58:25;130:11;	47:17;95:6;99:2;	180:1;184:2,7;	160:4,5;164:2,2,23;	156:25;188:8
155:23;166:12;	148:6;170:15;173:17	188:17;191:13,16,18	168:10;170:19,20;	participants (3)
185:17	opposed (8)	outcomes (1)	172:1;175:9,9,10,10,	9:18;177:6;187:24
online (10)	6:9;45:21;48:13;	99:11	10;177:4;178:18,19;	participate (7)
50:20,22;111:10,	62:13;157:21;164:11;	outside (1)	182:17,19,19;189:13,	18:8;57:13;81:17;
15;112:23,24;132:17;	184:25;188:24	40:9	13	99:3;148:6;164:20;
178:25;179:15,16	option (1)	over (29)	pages (5)	168:9
only (25)	51:14	5:18;6:6;7:14;	21:7;31:18;60:8;	participated (1)
6:12,12;12:6;28:4;	order (15)	13:19;21:25;25:21;	109:7;114:21	105:23
29:16;37:14;38:19;	5:23;46:22;69:2,14;	29:9;33:17;35:5;	paginated (1)	participation (5)
39:7;67:19;88:10;	71:14;83:22;127:22;	42:14;47:14;48:2,9;	15:4	129:6;164:7,17;
106:2,7;124:23;	140:17,23,25;141:4,7,	56:7;85:14;96:15;	pagination (1)	177:9;185:9
133:2;150:3;154:5,9;	11,16;166:4	123:13;124:13;	178:18	participatory (1)
	ordered (2)	125:15;124:13;	paid (1)	125:24
156:14;160:21,21;				
175:13;176:9,10;	46:3;139:13	138:14;153:6,22;	45:1	particular (13)
187:19;194:21	orders (3)	155:13;163:11;180:1;	painfully (1)	41:13;70:9;104:13,
onto (2)	40:13;41:12;140:9	182:1,2,19	25:13	16,17;144:23;145:7,
153:18;182:19	organization (6)	overall (2)	pair (1)	11;147:20;150:5;
open (4)	13:3;16:21;27:19;	126:24,25	147:4	166:6;177:11;179:4
41:11,20;58:7;	32:4;84:18;141:18	overlap (1)	pamphlets (1)	particularly (3)
119:6	organizations (8)	57:5	144:19	98:20;155:14;158:5
opened (1)	12:12;18:5,13;	overlapped (1)	pandemic (1)	parties (5)
108:7	57:17;73:14;82:14;	123:18	194:14	80:11;90:9,16;
opens (1)	142:1;151:10	overly (2)	paper (5)	151:24;160:15
133:7				
	organized (2)	172:18;174:12	78:19,22;79:4;	partisan (1)
operates (2)	91:21;170:5	Overseas (2)	105:21;173:3	28:6
48:23;154:15	organizing (1)	34:7;70:17	papers (2)	party (2)
operational (2)	53:14	overseeing (2)	18:10;105:22	40:9;154:24
48:9,20	original (5)	40:17;177:16	paperwork (4)	pass (1)
operations (4)	21:18;70:17;	oversight (1)	104:21;121:11;	134:5
30:19;61:10;	105:25;132:6,9	181:18	122:8;190:13	passed (7)
140.22.155.2				
149:22;155:5	originally (5)	own (10)	paragraph (50)	21:23,25;26:23;
149:22;155:3 opine (5)	originally (5) 4:24:8:20:21:14:	own (10) 17:8:36:10.11:	paragraph (50) 22:14:28:10:38:20:	21:23,25;26:23; 27:3:33:5:34:1:
opine (5)	4:24;8:20;21:14;	17:8;36:10,11;	22:14;28:10;38:20;	27:3;33:5;34:1;
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19,	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24;	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5)	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24;	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4)
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1)	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 <b>others (5)</b> 66:18;96:8;106:10;	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24;	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 <b>passive (4)</b> 168:15,18;169:7,25
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 <b>others (5)</b> 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24; 194:8	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4)
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65)	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1)	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24;	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25;
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24; 194:8	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11,	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2)	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24; 194:8 <b>P</b> package (1)	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2)
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3,	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24; 194:8 <b>P</b> package (1) 34:1	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24 out (79)	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24; 194:8 <b>P</b> package (1) 34:1 packed (1)	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1)
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24 out (79) 9:12,19;11:20;	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24; 194:8 <b>P</b> package (1) 34:1 packed (1) 44:10	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24 out (79)	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24; 194:8 <b>P</b> package (1) 34:1 packed (1)	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24 out (79) 9:12,19;11:20;	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24; 194:8 <b>P</b> package (1) 34:1 packed (1) 44:10	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1)
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16,	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24 out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17;	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24; 194:8  P  package (1) 34:1 packed (1) 44:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25;	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23,	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24 out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11;	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24; 194:8  P  package (1) 34:1 packed (1) 44:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3;	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2)
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9,	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24 out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18;	P  package (1) 34:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22,	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9,	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24 out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22,	P  package (1) 34:10 42:10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1)
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9, 10;149:11;151:19;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24 out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22, 24;71:15,19;73:21;	P  package (1) 34:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22, 22;28:9,9;32:17,18; 68:15,17,17;72:20,20;	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14 parallel (1)	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1) 190:23
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9, 10;149:11;151:19; 152:22,25;159:1,8;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24 out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22, 24;71:15,19;73:21; 81:25;84:7;85:5,9,13;	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24; 194:8  P  package (1) 34:1 packed (1) 44:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22, 22;28:9,9;32:17,18; 68:15,17,17;72:20,20; 74:7,9,9,19,21,22,23,	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14 parallel (1) 77:9	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1) 190:23 penal (1)
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9, 10;149:11;151:19; 152:22,25;159:1,8; 162:5,10,12;163:13;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24 out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22, 24;71:15,19;73:21; 81:25;84:7;85:5,9,13; 86:2;87:3;91:5;93:5;	17:8;36:10,11; 41:18;105:12;155:24; 165:9,18;166:24; 194:8  P  package (1) 34:1 packed (1) 44:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22, 22;28:9,9;32:17,18; 68:15,17,17;72:20,20; 74:7,9,9,19,21,22,23, 25;78:14,15,15;80:13,	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14 parallel (1) 77:9 paramount (1)	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1) 190:23 penal (1) 174:17
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9, 10;149:11;151:19; 152:22,25;159:1,8; 162:5,10,12;163:13; 165:7;166:23;171:11,	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22 others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12 ought (1) 89:11 ours (2) 54:15;156:24 out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22, 24;71:15,19;73:21; 81:25;84:7;85:5,9,13; 86:2;87:3;91:5;93:5; 94:7;96:21;99:21;	P  package (1) 34:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22, 22;28:9,9;32:17,18; 68:15,17,17;72:20,20; 74:7,9,9,19,21,22,23, 25;78:14,15,15;80:13, 14,14,15,16,16,17;	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14 parallel (1) 77:9 paramount (1) 149:3	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1) 190:23 penal (1) 174:17 penalties (2)
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9, 10;149:11;151:19; 152:22,25;159:1,8; 162:5,10,12;163:13; 165:7;166:23;171:11, 12,15,20;173:2;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22  others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12  ought (1) 89:11  ours (2) 54:15;156:24  out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22, 24;71:15,19;73:21; 81:25;84:7;85:5,9,13; 86:2;87:3;91:5;93:5; 94:7;96:21;99:21; 102:12,23;104:13;	P  package (1) 34:18 packed (1) 44:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22, 22;28:9,9;32:17,18; 68:15,17,17;72:20,20; 74:7,9,9,19,21,22,23, 25;78:14,15,15;80:13, 14,14,15,16,16,16;	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14 parallel (1) 77:9 paramount (1) 149:3 part (32)	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1) 190:23 penal (1) 174:17 penalties (2) 42:23;116:2
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9, 10;149:11;151:19; 152:22,25;159:1,8; 162:5,10,12;163:13; 165:7;166:23;171:11, 12,15,20;173:2; 175:25;176:12,16;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22  others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12  ought (1) 89:11  ours (2) 54:15;156:24  out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22, 24;71:15,19;73:21; 81:25;84:7;85:5,9,13; 86:2;87:3;91:5;93:5; 94:7;96:21;99:21; 102:12,23;104:13; 105:3;106:16;119:18,	P  package (1) 34:18 packed (1) 44:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22, 22;28:9,9;32:17,18; 68:15,17,17;72:20,20; 74:7,9,9,19,21,22,23, 25;78:14,15,15;80:13, 14,14,15,16,16,17; 101:15,16,16,16; 103:15,16;107:11,12,	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14 parallel (1) 77:9 paramount (1) 149:3 part (32) 15:3;16:15;27:7;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1) 190:23 penal (1) 174:17 penalties (2) 42:23;116:2 penalty (1)
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9, 10;149:11;151:19; 152:22,25;159:1,8; 162:5,10,12;163:13; 165:7;166:23;171:11, 12,15,20;173:2; 175:25;176:12,16; 184:20;195:15	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22  others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12  ought (1) 89:11  ours (2) 54:15;156:24  out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22, 24;71:15,19;73:21; 81:25;84:7;85:5,9,13; 86:2;87:3;91:5;93:5; 94:7;96:21;99:21; 102:12,23;104:13; 105:3;106:16;119:18, 21,22;123:19;124:10,	P  package (1) 34:18 packed (1) 44:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22, 22;28:9,9;32:17,18; 68:15,17,17;72:20,20; 74:7,9,9,19,21,22,23, 25;78:14,15,15;80:13, 14,14,15,16,16,17; 101:15,16,16,16; 103:15,16;107:11,12, 12,13;108:22;109:16,	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14 parallel (1) 77:9 paramount (1) 149:3 part (32) 15:3;16:15;27:7; 30:12;35:25;45:23;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1) 190:23 penal (1) 174:17 penalties (2) 42:23;116:2 penalty (1) 43:2
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9, 10;149:11;151:19; 152:22,25;159:1,8; 162:5,10,12;163:13; 165:7;166:23;171:11, 12,15,20;173:2; 175:25;176:12,16; 184:20;195:15 opinions (14)	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22  others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12  ought (1) 89:11  ours (2) 54:15;156:24  out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22, 24;71:15,19;73:21; 81:25;84:7;85:5,9,13; 86:2;87:3;91:5;93:5; 94:7;96:21;99:21; 102:12,23;104:13; 105:3;106:16;119:18, 21,22;123:19;124:10, 24;126:19;127:4;	P  package (1) 34:18 packed (1) 44:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22, 22;28:9,9;32:17,18; 68:15,17,17;72:20,20; 74:7,9,9,19,21,22,23, 25;78:14,15,15;80:13, 14,14,15,16,16,16; 103:15,16;107:11,12, 12,13;108:22;109:16, 21;110:5,6;113:19,	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14 parallel (1) 77:9 paramount (1) 149:3 part (32) 15:3;16:15;27:7; 30:12;35:25;45:23; 49:19;51:19;52:9;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1) 190:23 penal (1) 174:17 penalties (2) 42:23;116:2 penalty (1) 43:2 pending (2)
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9, 10;149:11;151:19; 152:22,25;159:1,8; 162:5,10,12;163:13; 165:7;166:23;171:11, 12,15,20;173:2; 175:25;176:12,16; 184:20;195:15	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22  others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12  ought (1) 89:11  ours (2) 54:15;156:24  out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22, 24;71:15,19;73:21; 81:25;84:7;85:5,9,13; 86:2;87:3;91:5;93:5; 94:7;96:21;99:21; 102:12,23;104:13; 105:3;106:16;119:18, 21,22;123:19;124:10, 24;126:19;127:4; 130:12;132:5;137:25;	P  package (1) 34:18 packed (1) 44:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22, 22;28:9,9;32:17,18; 68:15,17,17;72:20,20; 74:7,9,9,19,21,22,23, 25;78:14,15,15;80:13, 14,14,15,16,16,16; 103:15,16;107:11,12, 12,13;108:22;109:16, 21;110:5,6;113:19, 19;120:20,21,22,22;	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14 parallel (1) 77:9 paramount (1) 149:3 part (32) 15:3;16:15;27:7; 30:12;35:25;45:23; 49:19;51:19;52:9; 56:14;57:16;58:12;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1) 190:23 penal (1) 174:17 penalties (2) 42:23;116:2 penalty (1) 43:2 pending (2) 19:18,19
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9, 10;149:11;151:19; 152:22,25;159:1,8; 162:5,10,12;163:13; 165:7;166:23;171:11, 12,15,20;173:2; 175:25;176:12,16; 184:20;195:15 opinions (14)	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22  others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12  ought (1) 89:11  ours (2) 54:15;156:24  out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22, 24;71:15,19;73:21; 81:25;84:7;85:5,9,13; 86:2;87:3;91:5;93:5; 94:7;96:21;99:21; 102:12,23;104:13; 105:3;106:16;119:18, 21,22;123:19;124:10, 24;126:19;127:4;	P  package (1) 34:18 packed (1) 44:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22, 22;28:9,9;32:17,18; 68:15,17,17;72:20,20; 74:7,9,9,19,21,22,23, 25;78:14,15,15;80:13, 14,14,15,16,16,16; 103:15,16;107:11,12, 12,13;108:22;109:16, 21;110:5,6;113:19,	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14 parallel (1) 77:9 paramount (1) 149:3 part (32) 15:3;16:15;27:7; 30:12;35:25;45:23; 49:19;51:19;52:9;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1) 190:23 penal (1) 174:17 penalties (2) 42:23;116:2 penalty (1) 43:2 pending (2)
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9, 10;149:11;151:19; 152:22,25;159:1,8; 162:5,10,12;163:13; 165:7;166:23;171:11, 12,15,20;173:2; 175:25;176:12,16; 184:20;195:15 opinions (14) 25:25;26:9;41:12;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22  others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12  ought (1) 89:11  ours (2) 54:15;156:24  out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22, 24;71:15,19;73:21; 81:25;84:7;85:5,9,13; 86:2;87:3;91:5;93:5; 94:7;96:21;99:21; 102:12,23;104:13; 105:3;106:16;119:18, 21,22;123:19;124:10, 24;126:19;127:4; 130:12;132:5;137:25;	P  package (1) 34:18 packed (1) 44:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22, 22;28:9,9;32:17,18; 68:15,17,17;72:20,20; 74:7,9,9,19,21,22,23, 25;78:14,15,15;80:13, 14,14,15,16,16,16; 103:15,16;107:11,12, 12,13;108:22;109:16, 21;110:5,6;113:19, 19;120:20,21,22,22;	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14 parallel (1) 77:9 paramount (1) 149:3 part (32) 15:3;16:15;27:7; 30:12;35:25;45:23; 49:19;51:19;52:9; 56:14;57:16;58:12;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1) 190:23 penal (1) 174:17 penalties (2) 42:23;116:2 penalty (1) 43:2 pending (2) 19:18,19
opine (5) 9:13;58:16;68:19, 24;163:6 opining (1) 26:4 opinion (65) 9:11;15:15;20:7; 26:4,12,14,19;27:11, 16;29:7;30:7,15;54:3, 10;60:25;61:5,17,25; 63:7;64:5,22;66:21; 67:24;85:22;88:3; 94:18;95:25;96:16, 18;98:23;107:20,21; 126:13,24;127:6,7,9, 20,21;143:19;148:7,9, 10;149:11;151:19; 152:22,25;159:1,8; 162:5,10,12;163:13; 165:7;166:23;171:11, 12,15,20;173:2; 175:25;176:12,16; 184:20;195:15 opinions (14) 25:25;26:9;41:12; 54:11,14,18,20,22,24;	4:24;8:20;21:14; 29:19;92:22  others (5) 66:18;96:8;106:10; 110:17;187:12  ought (1) 89:11  ours (2) 54:15;156:24  out (79) 9:12,19;11:20; 12:16;16:11;20:17; 29:10;34:7;39:17; 43:16;44:19;45:11; 58:23;61:13;63:18; 64:11;69:4,14,18,22, 24;71:15,19;73:21; 81:25;84:7;85:5,9,13; 86:2;87:3;91:5;93:5; 94:7;96:21;99:21; 102:12,23;104:13; 105:3;106:16;119:18, 21,22;123:19;124:10, 24;126:19;127:4; 130:12;132:5;137:25; 138:24;139:13,15,24;	P  package (1) 34:18 packed (1) 44:10 page (112) 10:20,20,21,22,25; 11:1,22,22;12:5;15:3; 22:10;25:2,20,20,22, 22;28:9,9;32:17,18; 68:15,17,17;72:20,20; 74:7,9,9,19,21,22,23, 25;78:14,15,15;80:13, 14,14,15,16,16,16; 103:15,16;107:11,12, 12,13;108:22;109:16, 21;110:5,6;113:19, 19;120:20,21,22,22; 121:5,13;122:11,11;	22:14;28:10;38:20; 42:3;48:25;49:2;60:6; 66:18;67:2;68:17,18; 74:9,13,25;75:10; 76:22,22;77:10,11; 80:18;101:18,19; 103:16;107:13; 120:23;121:9;122:13; 132:10,15,25;136:24; 137:16;139:9;143:13; 145:25;146:9;148:24; 160:18;162:19; 163:19;164:3,13,23, 25;168:11;172:2; 175:12;177:4;178:19; 180:14 parallel (1) 77:9 paramount (1) 149:3 part (32) 15:3;16:15;27:7; 30:12;35:25;45:23; 49:19;51:19;52:9; 56:14;57:16;58:12; 60:21;70:14;79:4;	27:3;33:5;34:1; 142:20 passive (4) 168:15,18;169:7,25 past (4) 13:19;82:25; 147:25;163:9 Patrick (2) 12:25;13:1 pauses (1) 120:18 pay (1) 179:5 PDF (2) 20:18;37:4 peak (1) 190:23 penal (1) 174:17 penalties (2) 42:23;116:2 penalty (1) 43:2 pending (2) 19:18,19 people (63)

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 220	O of 230 Kevin Kennedy March 31, 2020
51:21;53:7;56:12;	166:25;176:22;177:1	played (2)	150:4;151:11;159:23;	PowerPoint (6)
61:13;62:11,12,23;	person's (2)	56:7;72:13	164:5,25;165:2,21;	124:19;125:25;
63:4;65:17;75:13,18;	64:17;91:19	plays (1)	166:2,24;167:15,17;	129:13,16;130:8,10
76:1;81:12;84:25;	perspective (5)	33:19	169:23;170:6,10,13,	PowerPoints (1)
86:1,8;91:5,7,19;92:2,	13:10;149:18;	plenty (1)	21;171:1,5,17,21;	124:22
10,23;94:1;99:4;	170:22;171:8;190:22	130:12	172:21;173:24;175:6,	powers (6)
101:7;102:15,18;	petitioner (1)	plus (1)	18;176:1,5;177:1,6,7,	40:5;42:21;59:24;
103:8;111:23;125:9;	16:12	137:1	22;178:8;191:4;	60:3;66:14,16
126:20;129:17,24;	petitions (1)	pm (1)	195:20,20,25	<b>PPP</b> (1)
134:24;135:3;136:16,	193:24	196:15	polling (35)	133:25
17;139:24;147:22;	Pew (1)	point (32)	63:18;75:16;86:12;	practical (3)
148:4,4;149:7;150:4;	106:1	44:8;56:10;59:16;	89:9,10,18,23,25;	41:16;89:22;109:6
159:4;164:19;166:20;	Philadelphia (1)	61:15;74:6;78:1,18;	90:13;91:25;92:6,13,	practice (12)
168:8;173:11,16;	105:24	79:8;84:16;87:4;	17;97:11;102:16;	15:19;16:22,25;
174:23;176:2,10,11,	phone (4)	98:16,17;99:21;	104:16;105:3;126:23;	26:11;86:18;142:17;
14;185:7;186:4;	4:21;57:25;68:16;	105:20;106:14,22;	128:15;136:5,12,18;	146:24;147:25;
194:11	196:10	132:19;134:5;140:24;	151:14;159:23;161:6;	156:19;175:5;182:23;
people's (3)	photo (4)	142:3,4;146:2;155:5;	162:5,8,9,9,12;	189:1
99:22;171:21,22	92:23,24,24;193:4	156:25;160:13;178:6,	169:20;170:16;171:3;	practices (11)
<b>per (3)</b> 4:25;105:8;136:9	<b>phrase (9)</b> 60:16;69:5;70:3,10;	10;182:23;191:16,18; 195:3,14	175:20;189:14 polls (3)	9:14;11:18;68:25; 69:16;91:3;106:19;
4:25;105:8;150:9 perceived (1)	151:11,15;157:6,13;	pointed (3)	61:11;91:22;176:22	113:22;125:14;
45:25	172:24	45:11;96:21;184:7	pop (1)	163:10;168:18;
percent (2)	physical (2)	pointing (1)	186:11	174:19
152:7,12	110:24;145:17	132:5	population (5)	preclearance (1)
perception (1)	picture (1)	points (3)	152:2,6;153:11,11;	146:17
82:3	172:20	109:3;129:21;130:6	176:6	predates (1)
perfect (3)	piece (2)	policies (4)	portal (1)	71:5
54:1;100:19;101:8	49:5;54:3	9:14;37:20;68:25;	138:1	predecessor (2)
perform (2)	PIO (1)	69:15	Porter (1)	16:20;27:19
59:25;104:24	55:4	Policy (6)	18:25	preparation (3)
performance (10)	place (45)	13:13;26:14,20;	portfolio (3)	27:3;125:3,4
63:17;106:4;	9:15;40:21;43:18;	166:13,16;190:11	49:19;50:7;88:6	prepare (2)
110:19;111:14;				
	50:1,7;52:6;55:22;	political (8)	portion (3)	6:24;26:19
151:22;182:14;187:3;	63:19;69:2,11,16;	<b>political (8)</b> 52:14;64:16;79:19;	<b>portion (3)</b> 25:24;109:9;119:24	
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13;		25:24;109:9;119:24 <b>portions (2)</b>	6:24;26:19
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 <b>performs (1)</b>	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5)
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 <b>performs (1)</b> 110:23	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b>	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1)	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17;
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 <b>performs (1)</b> 110:23 <b>period (10)</b>	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 <b>performs (1)</b> 110:23 <b>period (10)</b> 19:20;21:25;41:3,	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b>	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7)	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3)
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 <b>performs (1)</b> 110:23 <b>period (10)</b> 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10;	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8;	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18;
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 <b>performs (1)</b> 110:23 <b>period (10)</b> 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10;	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12;	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 <b>performs (1)</b> 110:23 <b>period (10)</b> 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12,	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2)
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2)	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3)	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 <b>performs (1)</b> 110:23 <b>period (10)</b> 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 <b>periods (2)</b> 119:20;188:3	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 politically (2) 43:19;47:5 poll (130) 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10,	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9)
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1)	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17,	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2)	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19;
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12)	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24;
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2)	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3)	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9,	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17;	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1)
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1)	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1) 148:25
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1) 187:7	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21; 189:14	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4; 91:17;92:4,6;93:12;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11 possible (3)	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1)
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1)	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1) 148:25 presents (1)
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1) 187:7 person (17)	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21; 189:14 plaintiffs (6)	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4; 91:17;92:4,6;93:12; 94:13,25;95:4;98:9;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11 possible (3) 101:3;112:6;123:21	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1) 148:25 presents (1) 146:11
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1) 187:7 person (17) 51:3;61:9;70:2;	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21; 189:14 plaintiffs (6) 4:23;11:16;21:14;	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 <b>politically (2)</b> 43:19;47:5 <b>poll (130)</b> 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4; 91:17;92:4,6;93:12; 94:13,25;95:4;98:9; 101:20;103:17;104:2;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11 possible (3) 101:3;112:6;123:21 post (1)	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1) 148:25 presents (1) 146:11 preserved (1)
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1) 187:7 person (17) 51:3;61:9;70:2; 71:1,22,23;72:4,5,16; 75:16;89:8;92:9;95:2; 100:12;106:7;175:13;	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21; 189:14 plaintiffs (6) 4:23;11:16;21:14; 24:6;26:5,14	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 politically (2) 43:19;47:5 poll (130) 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4; 91:17;92:4,6;93:12; 94:13,25;95:4;98:9; 101:20;103:17;104:2; 105:4;107:16;108:15,	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11 possible (3) 101:3;112:6;123:21 post (1) 53:1	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1) 148:25 presents (1) 146:11 preserved (1) 41:20 president (3) 73:16;123:5,5
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1) 187:7 person (17) 51:3;61:9;70:2; 71:1,22,23;72:4,5,16; 75:16;89:8;92:9;95:2; 100:12;106:7;175:13; 185:24	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21; 189:14 plaintiffs (6) 4:23;11:16;21:14; 24:6;26:5,14 plaintiffs' (7) 9:4,25;10:2,4; 11:13;12:4;162:16	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 politically (2) 43:19;47:5 poll (130) 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4; 91:17;92:4,6;93:12; 94:13,25;95:4;98:9; 101:20;103:17;104:2; 105:4;107:16;108:15, 23;110:17;112:23; 113:4,24;117:24; 121:2;122:14;124:9,	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11 possible (3) 101:3;112:6;123:21 post (1) 53:1 posted (2) 44:23;178:25 post-election (3)	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1) 148:25 presents (1) 146:11 preserved (1) 41:20 president (3) 73:16;123:5,5 presidential (5)
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1) 187:7 person (17) 51:3;61:9;70:2; 71:1,22,23;72:4,5,16; 75:16;89:8;92:9;95:2; 100:12;106:7;175:13; 185:24 personalities (1)	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21; 189:14 plaintiffs (6) 4:23;11:16;21:14; 24:6;26:5,14 plaintiffs' (7) 9:4,25;10:2,4; 11:13;12:4;162:16 plan (2)	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 politically (2) 43:19;47:5 poll (130) 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4; 91:17;92:4,6;93:12; 94:13,25;95:4;98:9; 101:20;103:17;104:2; 105:4;107:16;108:15, 23;110:17;112:23; 113:4,24;117:24; 121:2;122:14;124:9, 12,20,21;125:20;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11 possible (3) 101:3;112:6;123:21 post (1) 53:1 posted (2) 44:23;178:25 post-election (3) 184:14;189:14;	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1) 148:25 presents (1) 146:11 preserved (1) 41:20 president (3) 73:16;123:5,5 presidential (5) 41:4;80:21;81:6;
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1) 187:7 person (17) 51:3;61:9;70:2; 71:1,22,23;72:4,5,16; 75:16;89:8;92:9;95:2; 100:12;106:7;175:13; 185:24 personalities (1) 128:12	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21; 189:14 plaintiffs (6) 4:23;11:16;21:14; 24:6;26:5,14 plaintiffs' (7) 9:4,25;10:2,4; 11:13;12:4;162:16 plan (2) 57:23;58:3	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 politically (2) 43:19;47:5 poll (130) 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4; 91:17;92:4,6;93:12; 94:13,25;95:4;98:9; 101:20;103:17;104:2; 105:4;107:16;108:15, 23;110:17;112:23; 113:4,24;117:24; 121:2;122:14;124:9, 12,20,21;125:20; 126:8,14;127:8;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11 possible (3) 101:3;112:6;123:21 post (1) 53:1 posted (2) 44:23;178:25 post-election (3) 184:14;189:14; 190:1	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1) 148:25 presents (1) 146:11 preserved (1) 41:20 president (3) 73:16;123:5,5 presidential (5) 41:4;80:21;81:6; 151:3;186:7
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1) 187:7 person (17) 51:3;61:9;70:2; 71:1,22,23;72:4,5,16; 75:16;89:8;92:9;95:2; 100:12;106:7;175:13; 185:24 personalities (1) 128:12 persons (10)	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21; 189:14 plaintiffs (6) 4:23;11:16;21:14; 24:6;26:5,14 plaintiffs' (7) 9:4,25;10:2,4; 11:13;12:4;162:16 plan (2) 57:23;58:3 platform (1)	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 politically (2) 43:19;47:5 poll (130) 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4; 91:17;92:4,6;93:12; 94:13,25;95:4;98:9; 101:20;103:17;104:2; 105:4;107:16;108:15, 23;110:17;112:23; 113:4,24;117:24; 121:2;122:14;124:9, 12,20,21;125:20; 126:8,14;127:8; 128:5,8,11,13,24;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11 possible (3) 101:3;112:6;123:21 post (1) 53:1 posted (2) 44:23;178:25 post-election (3) 184:14;189:14; 190:1 posting (1)	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1) 148:25 presents (1) 146:11 preserved (1) 41:20 president (3) 73:16;123:5,5 presidential (5) 41:4;80:21;81:6; 151:3;186:7 president's (1)
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1) 187:7 person (17) 51:3;61:9;70:2; 71:1,22,23;72:4,5,16; 75:16;89:8;92:9;95:2; 100:12;106:7;175:13; 185:24 persons (10) 12:4;135:10;	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21; 189:14 plaintiffs (6) 4:23;11:16;21:14; 24:6;26:5,14 plaintiffs' (7) 9:4,25;10:2,4; 11:13;12:4;162:16 plan (2) 57:23;58:3 platform (1) 111:15	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 politically (2) 43:19;47:5 poll (130) 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4; 91:17;92:4,6;93:12; 94:13,25;95:4;98:9; 101:20;103:17;104:2; 105:4;107:16;108:15, 23;110:17;112:23; 113:4,24;117:24; 121:2;122:14;124:9, 12,20,21;125:20; 126:8,14;127:8; 128:5,8,11,13,24; 131:7;136:4,6,13,13,	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11 possible (3) 101:3;112:6;123:21 post (1) 53:1 posted (2) 44:23;178:25 post-election (3) 184:14;189:14; 190:1 posting (1) 45:1	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1) 148:25 presents (1) 146:11 preserved (1) 41:20 president (3) 73:16;123:5,5 presidential (5) 41:4;80:21;81:6; 151:3;186:7 president's (1) 13:4
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1) 187:7 person (17) 51:3;61:9;70:2; 71:1,22,23;72:4,5,16; 75:16;89:8;92:9;95:2; 100:12;106:7;175:13; 185:24 persons (10) 12:4;135:10; 156:13;160:22;	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21; 189:14 plaintiffs (6) 4:23;11:16;21:14; 24:6;26:5,14 plaintiffs' (7) 9:4,25;10:2,4; 11:13;12:4;162:16 plan (2) 57:23;58:3 platform (1) 111:15 platforms (1)	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 politically (2) 43:19;47:5 poll (130) 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4; 91:17;92:4,6;93:12; 94:13,25;95:4;98:9; 101:20;103:17;104:2; 105:4;107:16;108:15, 23;110:17;112:23; 113:4,24;117:24; 121:2;122:14;124:9, 12,20,21;125:20; 126:8,14;127:8; 128:5,8,11,13,24; 131:7;136:4,6,13,13, 16;139:14;141:1,2;	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11 possible (3) 101:3;112:6;123:21 post (1) 53:1 posted (2) 44:23;178:25 post-election (3) 184:14;189:14; 190:1 posting (1) 45:1 power (3)	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1) 148:25 presents (1) 146:11 preserved (1) 41:20 president (3) 73:16;123:5,5 presidential (5) 41:4;80:21;81:6; 151:3;186:7 president's (1) 13:4 press (4)
151:22;182:14;187:3; 189:22,23;190:7 performs (1) 110:23 period (10) 19:20;21:25;41:3, 13;48:10;56:10; 57:25;125:16;179:10; 180:2 periods (2) 119:20;188:3 permissive (1) 169:2 permit (2) 81:17;136:13 permits (1) 187:7 person (17) 51:3;61:9;70:2; 71:1,22,23;72:4,5,16; 75:16;89:8;92:9;95:2; 100:12;106:7;175:13; 185:24 personalities (1) 128:12 persons (10) 12:4;135:10;	63:19;69:2,11,16; 80:24;81:10,13; 86:10,12,17;88:4; 89:9,10,18,24,25; 91:25;92:6,13,17; 94:15;96:23;98:12; 102:16;104:3,16; 105:3;126:23;128:15; 136:12;140:11;142:4; 159:23;169:20; 170:16;171:3;175:20; 189:19 places (12) 13:9;71:12;90:13; 97:11;161:6;162:8,9, 10,12;165:25;188:21; 189:14 plaintiffs (6) 4:23;11:16;21:14; 24:6;26:5,14 plaintiffs' (7) 9:4,25;10:2,4; 11:13;12:4;162:16 plan (2) 57:23;58:3 platform (1) 111:15	52:14;64:16;79:19; 90:9;94:2;154:24; 188:9;194:1 politically (2) 43:19;47:5 poll (130) 7:18;9:17;18:12; 50:15;60:16,17,21; 61:1,3,6,8,8,10,11,12, 16,18,20,23;62:2; 63:10;64:4;65:9,10, 15;66:1,18,23;67:17, 17;68:1,1,11,12;69:3; 71:3;75:14,24;76:12; 77:19,22,24;78:12; 83:11,24;90:4,4; 91:17;92:4,6;93:12; 94:13,25;95:4;98:9; 101:20;103:17;104:2; 105:4;107:16;108:15, 23;110:17;112:23; 113:4,24;117:24; 121:2;122:14;124:9, 12,20,21;125:20; 126:8,14;127:8; 128:5,8,11,13,24; 131:7;136:4,6,13,13,	25:24;109:9;119:24 portions (2) 62:4;64:2 Portland (1) 106:1 position (7) 28:11,11;31:8; 32:11,12;46:12; 128:24 positions (3) 28:5;73:23;118:11 possibilities (2) 151:2,3 possibility (3) 148:4;171:17; 172:11 possible (3) 101:3;112:6;123:21 post (1) 53:1 posted (2) 44:23;178:25 post-election (3) 184:14;189:14; 190:1 posting (1) 45:1	6:24;26:19 preparing (3) 8:12;79:8;125:1 present (5) 56:12;60:17; 129:12,25;180:3 presentation (3) 56:15;125:18; 129:18 presentations (2) 17:23;57:4 presented (9) 27:7,8;124:19; 129:8;137:3;165:24; 170:21;171:2;176:19 presenting (1) 148:25 presents (1) 146:11 preserved (1) 41:20 president (3) 73:16;123:5,5 presidential (5) 41:4;80:21;81:6; 151:3;186:7 president's (1) 13:4

20	4:5;19:25;172:3	113:21	67:5;70:15;109:11	putting (5)
presume (3)	procedures (9)	promulgated (1)	provisional (38)	50:24;79:11;80:1;
5:4;119:16;162:24	9:14;26:10;37:21;	84:18	33:10;51:4;89:15;	171:6,15
presumes (1)	44:21;62:7;68:25;	pronounced (1)	90:25;92:17;99:7;	0
163:2	69:15;89:16;140:11	134:11	100:3;109:22;126:22;	Q
presuming (2)	proceeded (1)	pronouncing (1)	147:14,16,17,21;	1101 (1)
127:12;171:12	22:5	18:24	163:21;168:15,19,24;	qualifications (1)
pretty (13)	proceeding (2)	proof (2)	169:9,12,16,25;172:2,	95:7
44:14;46:24;50:7;	4:6;16:9	65:12;95:1	7,12,17,21,23;173:4,	qualified (5)
88:6;100:11;114:17;	proceedings (1) 113:23	proper (5)	11,16;174:1,20,24,25;	24:13,16,19,21;
141:10;146:6;170:7;		33:20,21;38:15;	175:1,2,4,8	25:3
179:9;180:8,9;184:6	process (30)	111:3;195:2	provisions (16) 22:12,25;23:3;	<b>qualify (1)</b> 186:9
prevalent (1) 170:12	9:18;19:13,16;25:8, 14;33:11;54:10;	<b>properly (4)</b> 99:8;102:13;	26:22;33:24;39:24;	
oreviously (1)	91:14;92:11,15;	104:21;108:12	43:4;57:15;62:14,17,	qualifying (1) 25:9
122:6	93:11;95:14;97:23;	proposed (2)	20;70:19;72:19;	qualitative (1)
orimarily (8)	99:20;104:5;111:21;	10:15;180:4	86:10;146:17;174:2	104:11
25:6;40:8;42:10;	157:16;162:21,25;	prosecute (1)	public (9)	quality (7)
56:2,17;64:7;126:25;	164:5,20;168:9;	42:6	4:7;17:21;41:10;	13:15;97:6;104:14,
143:23	174:1;177:7;179:11;	prosecution (1)	43:22;49:21;93:19;	20;122:8;178:3;182:
orint (1)	181:21,24;187:24;	45:20	94:16;119:1;187:21	quantify (1)
21:7	189:25;194:4	protect (4)	publication (1)	127:25
printed (3)	processes (3)	96:24;147:22;	106:15	quantitative (3)
20:16;59:10;131:25	104:4;107:25;136:7	158:1;163:17	publicity (1)	104:12,12,18
orintout (1)	processing (1)	protected (9)	181:16	quantity (1)
115:7	157:21	49:24;99:23;	publicly (1)	157:14
Prior (8)	produced (5)	101:22;102:22;	52:22	quickly (4)
48:5;54:10,15;57:1,	7:12,21;8:1,3;195:7	158:14,25;165:3;	published (2)	56:13;108:4;
2;83:4;125:3;190:23	product (1)	167:11;177:21	55:9;106:11	119:21;130:12
2,85.4,125.5,190.25 private (4)	5:10	protecting (6)	pull (7)	quit (1)
15:19;16:21,25;	professional (5)	81:15;146:23;	10:14;20:22;21:2;	142:21
75:23	28:10;57:17;73:13;	158:10,20;159:10;	28:23;36:23;77:25;	quite (9)
orivilege (2)	133:8;135:5	164:17	78:8	8:13;14:19,21;
5:6.9	Professor (4)	protective (1)	pulled (2)	34:15;102:17;144:1
orivileges (1)	79:10,13;118:12,12	50:3	20:16;133:17	155:12;177:24;
157:19	professors (2)	protesting (1)	pulling (1)	190:20
oro (1)	7:7;105:16	94:1	28:23	quote (11)
138:5	program (30)	protocol (5)	punch (1)	22:19,20,21;23:7,
proactive (3)	17:21;81:13;85:24;	137:17,21;142:17;	102:18	11,21,22,25;44:6;
46:24;155:14;	87:25;88:4;94:15;	164:24;186:20	purpose (2)	67:3,16
181:12	96:9,23;99:2;101:20;	protocols (8)	23:13;83:15	,
probable (1)	110:8,8;133:4,8;	12:16;97:5;106:3;	purposes (8)	quotes (2) 75:9;167:10
45:20	134:22;135:6;137:4;	112:22;138:6;139:5;	4:3,4;11:10;39:15;	75:9;107:10
Probably (31)	134.22,135.0,137.4, 138:10;146:12;153:9;	153:6;176:18	41:16;70:12;77:7;	R
5:20;8:16;16:3;	165:2,11,23;166:9,9;	provide (10)	106:17	N.
21:24;35:10;39:24;	167:5;168:2;175:21;	9:10;67:16;68:7;	pursuant (1)	race (2)
41:9,21,22;43:6;	176:18;177:19	106:6;159:3;162:4;	40:23	race (2) 43:25;175:24
55:15;78:17;89:22;	programs (10)	172:20;174:23;175:5;	purview (1)	,
91:13;93:4;94:23;	97:19;98:2;135:2;	172.20,174.23,173.3,	41:6	races (1) 176:2
100:5;104:11;110:14;	143:14;144:4,15;	provided (13)	pushback (1)	racial (1)
120:17;136:21;142:2;	157:12;163:15;165:9;	7:3;9:24;10:1;	86:6	137:11
144:22;167:3;170:10,	177:15	17:15;26:11;28:24;	put (28)	Racine (2)
17;180:13;185:22;	prohibit (1)	34:2;40:7;83:23;	8:13,15;13:14;	94:10,12
188:14;191:21;193:7	165:8	98:24;112:14;119:1;	43:18;47:15;48:1;	Raffensperger (2)
roblem (4)	prohibition (1)	152:9	62:15;63:3;69:6,7;	4:3;124:5
47:7;87:8;89:11;	38:11	provides (5)	79:22;81:10;82:9,11,	raft (1)
189:6	projected (1)	83:14,21;88:15;	15;83:2;90:6,14;	106:15
oroblems (7)	152:7	166:14;175:18	98:12;102:8;149:5;	raise (1)
73:8;81:24;93:12;	promise (1)	providing (6)	98:12;102:8;149:5; 173:22;179:15,16;	
	6:18			106:25
94:10;107:17;182:4,6		9:7;26:13;65:20;	185:19,22;186:8;	raised (4)
procedurally (1)	prompted (1)	103:24;111:1;159:10	190:21	81:11;126:18;
195:1	58:24 <b>promulgate (1)</b>	<b>provision (6)</b> 37:17;52:3;55:19;	<b>puts (3)</b> 95:11;106:23;166:8	163:11,12 ran (2)
Procedure (3)				

affensperger			I	March 31, 20
83:5;154:7	147:19;152:19,21;	rectified (1)	97:8;99:13,18;	remember (14)
range (11)	159:3,14;173:7;	124:13	102:15	5:17;14:16;22:20,
76:10;82:9;83:24;	174:8;187:13;194:22	rectify (1)	registrar (3)	20;39:18;93:24;
93:18;97:23;104:24;	reasonable (1)	187:3	116:24;117:5,9	113:10;115:5;135:8
110:10;138:11;	127:16	recurring (1)	registrars (6)	144:18;155:24;
152:12;153:6;155:9	reasonably (2)	127:17	60:13;69:3;112:2;	180:18;181:6;185:7
ranges (1)	108:5;174:6	redistricting (1)	113:23,24;117:25	remind (1)
137:10	reasons (3)	153:13	registration (23)	173:23
rather (4)	142:22;147:24;	reduce (1)	33:9;37:22;62:19;	removed (1)
44:20;54:21;	187:22	171:16	70:16;75:17;76:24;	76:7
120:14;129:25	recall (38)	reduced (1)	84:9;91:23;97:7,9,22;	render (1)
rating (1)	5:16;8:19;9:8;	36:2	99:10,24;102:14,25;	64:3
187:24	13:11,22;14:14;16:8;	reducing (2)	106:3;140:12;142:9,	rendered (1)
Rayburn (3)	23:23;40:22;42:16;	23:13;136:7	14;143:3,4;147:23;	94:19
123:24;183:11,15	63:14;69:9;93:20;	redundant (1)	182:3	repeat (1)
reach (5)	94:4,5,8;114:11,17;	101:2	regular (3)	87:20
114:14;122:14,17;	117:7;123:16;125:5,	refer (6)	38:2;75:4;180:1	repeated (1)
145:12;173:14	7,10,15,25;130:18,19;	10:20,20;16:20;	regularly (1)	124:14
reached (2)	135:9;140:22;152:13;	26:14;78:18;161:18	49:23	repeatedly (1)
145:15;195:1	153:19;180:17;181:8;	reference (9)	regulated (1)	179:3
eaching (2)	193:1,13,21,24;194:4	82:22;114:19;	30:17	repeating (1)
62:9;137:25	receive (3)	116:23;131:21;132:4;	regulating (2)	182:1
eaction (1)	65:12;87:6,7	141:4,17;150:20;	38:13,21	rephrase (1)
81:22	received (4)	183:25	regulation (2)	6:21
ead (23)	134:8;139:11;	referenced (1)	29:18,19	replaced (1)
11:13;17:13;20:17;	183:22,24	83:18	regulations (2)	32:14
58:19,22;68:21;	receiving (2)	references (3)	105:9;113:22	report (125)
105:20,21;114:10,12;	65:19;112:6	95:24;116:20;	reinforce (1)	6:25;7:3,5,12,14;
115:4,19;116:17;	recent (3)	126:10	159:20 reinforced (6)	8:12,18;9:13;10:8,2
118:3,9;162:7,15,17; 168:23;173:20;	5:20;52:5;113:7 recently (1)	referendum (1) 43:17	148:14,16,17;	11:10;25:18,19,21,2: 26:21,25;28:9;31:18
183:13;195:13,19	33:23	referral (1)	161:8;162:13;182:3	48:13,13,14,18;58:12
reading (9)	recess (3)	45:19	reinforcing (1)	59:1;68:14;72:21;
52:8;114:21;	58:10;107:9;192:16	referrals (2)	176:5	74:9,10,16,17,21;
129:13,25;130:1;	recognition (6)	184:1,3	related (11)	78:14,15,18;79:5,9,
144:8,8;172:19;	12:11;35:9;48:20;	referred (5)	18:5;26:10,17;	16,18,21;80:4,14,17
180:19	82:7;90:12;165:12	26:5;55:12;126:6;	27:15;54:21,21;55:2;	17;82:15;83:18;
eads (11)	recognize (4)	184:16;185:25	56:17;77:9;104:14;	88:15;95:18;101:17
59:23;75:1;80:19;	83:2;125:8;148:11;	referring (5)	179:21	103:15;107:11;110:
101:19;107:14;	157:23	20:7;74:10;100:6;	relates (3)	113:19;114:12,13,1
120:24;130:10;	recognized (3)	180:14;196:6	128:22;174:19;	17;115:2;118:2,6,9,
136:25;139:9;143:13;	25:10;32:5;91:13	refers (3)	192:22	10,16;120:21;121:6
195:14	recognizes (1)	61:12;185:2;188:7	relating (1)	7;122:12;125:1,3,4;
eaffirm (1)	32:24	refine (1)	38:14	126:13;127:7,20,23
130:6	recognizing (1)	156:16	relationship (2)	24,25;130:15;131:5
eaffirmed (1)	85:15	reflect (3)	71:10;82:20	20,21;132:3,7,9,13;
118:11	recollection (2)	11:9;25:24;114:25	relationships (1)	134:9,13;135:24;
eal (3)	123:24;133:13	reflected (3)	57:16	136:25;139:10;140:
9:5,5;29:5	recommendations (3)	30:10;54:12;160:19	relatively (1)	142:4,4;143:11;
ealize (3)	51:23;82:12;164:21	reflects (1)	98:23	151:6,8,9;157:1,2;
40:19;105:7;118:1	recommended (1)	134:13	release (2)	160:5;162:3,4,7;
eally (20)	27:24	regarding (5)	52:24;179:20	163:6,9;164:2;
13:17;42:20,23;	record (10)	9:21;74:3;124:8;	released (1)	168:20;169:4,15;
43:24;53:21;71:15;	4:23;6:10;10:23;	127:12;152:23	20:23	170:20;172:1;177:2
81:23;82:8;88:15;	20:7;32:11;74:18;	regards (1)	reliable (1)	25;178:7,14,19;
106:17;108:3;124:23;	80:9;111:3;186:15;	67:25	170:7	180:25;182:10,11,1
129:19;149:12;	196:2	regimen (1)	relied (4)	187:1;189:13;190:4
163:14;167:7;175:17;	recording (1)	154:5	152:24;153:1,2;	194:23;195:7,15
180:24;191:9;195:24	172:23	regional (2)	168:3	reported (4)
eason (18)	records (5)	56:8,24	relief (3)	44:23;45:7;46:16;
81:16;87:17,22;	41:11,20;49:1,5,21	register (2)	38:7,11,12	47:14
92:18;117:8,14;	recount (4)	91:21;102:13	remained (1)	reporter (6)
			52:3	6:8;68:22;85:8;

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 223	3 of 230 Kevin Kenned March 31, 202
87:20;160:10;196:9	99:9;100:16;104:4;	17:21;18:10;20:17;	133:14	same (29)
reporting (3)	146:22;194:13,13	47:15;96:14;168:16;	rights (26)	4:21;25:2;41:25;
45:2;48:4,8	respond (5)	190:12,13	11:17;21:13;43:21;	63:21,24;67:1;69:20
reports (12)	81:23;101:3;128:3;	reviewed (4)	63:2;70:24,25;81:15;	82:4;83:6;84:2;88:24
11:6;47:23;48:8;	184:12;186:16	6:25;27:25;58:12;	84:9;101:21;102:22,	89:10,11;112:20;
55:11;97:1;99:21;	responded (2)	139:12	23,25;146:18,23;	121:25;122:2,3;
100:17;119:1;154:8;	82:6;87:9	reviewing (1)	158:10,14,20,24;	127:17;141:13;143:1
162:17,18;163:11	responding (1)	110:19	159:10,17;163:4;	157:12;164:23;
represent (1)	194:12	reviews (1)	164:18;166:19;167:1;	165:20,21,25;171:20
4:20	response (1)	50:10	171:24;177:21	175:9;177:4;189:19
representatives (3)	187:11	revised (1)	rigorous (6)	satisfy (1)
56:25;85:20;150:23	responsibilities (20)	53:4	81:13;85:23;87:1,2;	103:24
represented (6)	9:20;30:2,5;33:16;	Rhode (1)	88:4;101:2	saw (12)
15:19,22;16:21;	42:15;44:19;65:2;	156:1	rise (1)	32:4;38:20;70:15;
17:1,4;57:17	66:3;69:18;70:1;76:2;	rid (1)	94:22	71:3;82:2,16;102:10
Republican (1)	82:1,18;84:14;85:4,	181:13	risk (2)	125:21;126:6,10;
31:1	15;99:8;139:25;	right (173)	166:8,12	145:2;191:3
request (5)	154:14;177:8	6:23;9:4,6,23;15:2;	rivers (1)	saying (10)
4:25;6:12;7:19,23;	responsibility (27)	17:7,9,10;18:1,13,24;	36:2	24:20;147:1;
41:11	33:18;53:12;61:24;	19:4;20:4,21;21:9;	road (1)	149:11;164:15;176:4
require (5)	63:3,15;64:19,21,22;	22:9;23:10,21;26:13;	64:13	4;185:24;189:21;
92:16;111:16;	68:8;69:13,19,23,24;	27:11,17;28:5,8,18;	robust (1) 172:20	192:3,7 <b>scaled (1)</b>
115:25;116:6;169:20	70:8;71:18;77:24;	31:9,17,18;32:15;		3 6
required (14) 41:19;47:23;48:7;	82:8;91:20;95:15; 103:19;149:3;157:20,	34:19,20,21;36:17,21; 37:25;38:4,5;39:2;	Rogers (1) 123:4	161:23 scaling (1)
50:17;65:8;91:15,25;	25;166:21;183:13;	41:7,24;42:3;44:5;	rogue (1)	162:2
110:15;113:21;114:2;	189:10;193:20	46:12;48:25;49:8;	128:11	scenario (2)
116:25;117:6,10;	responsible (21)	51:2,5;53:25;54:5;	role (16)	170:25;171:7
140:7	29:16;31:22;32:21;	55:8,14;58:19;59:18,	14:18,25;33:18;	scenarios (3)
requirement (8)	33:1,14,20;34:6;	23;60:4,5,18;63:24;	34:5;53:13;60:22;	147:21;171:16;
53:17,21;92:23;	36:12;61:20;62:1,18;	64:1;65:18,22;66:7,	64:17;72:13;73:11,	172:4
103:13;114:3;117:22;	63:12,12;64:3;71:24;	17;67:6,23;70:13;	13;75:22;101:9;	schedule (2)
139:10;142:11	72:16;79:11;156:3;	71:20;73:1,25;74:1;	123:7;150:20;156:8;	48:11;55:25
requirements (21)	167:17;177:16;194:6	78:25;84:1;86:12,19,	183:11	scheduled (1)
33:7,12;35:11;	responsive (2)	20;90:20;91:25;	room (1)	4:24
36:11;39:22;48:4;	180:10;185:10	94:22;95:18;98:22;	4:22	schedules (1)
54:13;64:6,9,25;84:6;	responsiveness (1)	99:23;100:8;101:24;	roughly (6)	180:4
91:6;96:14,15;108:8;	5:7	107:1,1,6;108:14,18;	8:11;36:7;40:19;	Schmitz (1)
121:3;139:13;155:16;	rest (3)	109:2,15,23;110:4,25;	111:7;135:10;136:4	16:12
174:2;193:15;194:9	16:13;95:10;166:17	111:6;112:4,21;	rule (4)	scholarship (1)
requires (13)	resting (1)	113:18;114:3,4,7;	56:8;115:5;166:2;	105:9
32:25;34:13;37:18;	166:21	115:1,9,22;116:13,18,	173:2	school (2)
65:13;70:11;75:1;	restrain (1)	19;117:3,20,25;118:8,	Rules (6)	56:3;174:17
98:8;115:23;136:6;	23:14	15;119:23,23;120:7;	4:5;6:3;62:7;	Science (3)
150:9;160:20,21;	restricted (1)	121:15,17,17;122:5,	113:21;116:7;169:13	18:8;105:22;188:9
172:7	161:21	11,25;124:7,25;	run (8)	Sciences (4)
Research (5)	restricting (1)	126:4;127:15;128:6,	36:15;39:13,16;	78:20;79:1;97:25;
12:15;18:6,9;	146:10	7,9;130:25;131:4,10;	100:23;106:4;136:12;	190:4
105:22,23	restriction (2)	132:15,25;133:6,21;	139:6;190:16	scientists (2)
reserve (1)	64:15;161:22	134:4;135:9,14,23;	running (6)	79:19,20
5:5	restricts (1)	136:23;139:8;140:2;	36:12;81:19;156:2,	scope (1)
residence (1)	165:22	142:24;143:20;145:5,	3;184:10;188:16	50:4
95:8	result (6)	20;147:10,11;152:7;	runs (2)	screenshots (1)
resolve (2)	17:23;46:10;47:4;	155:8;156:25;159:13;	61:9;66:5	88:11
19:21;107:17	52:4,6;100:1	160:4;162:3,16,18;	rural (3)	scrolling (1)
resolved (2)	results (16)	163:6;164:22;165:3;	35:24;89:9;136:11	22:17
19:22;35:8	44:7,21,23,24;45:2,	167:11,22;168:9;	Ryan (1)	scrutiny (2)
resources (6)	7;46:16,17,19;47:11,	172:9,14;177:22;	124:1	44:12;146:22
13:7,11;63:5;	14;87:13;111:5;	178:17;180:12;	~	se (1)
101:12;133:25;	179:8;190:1,6	189:12;191:15;192:8,	S	105:8
173:25	retained (1)	11;194:16,21;196:7,		sea (1)
respect (9)	18:19	13	Sam (1)	81:21
16:4;54:14;97:6;	review (8)	right-hand (1)	49:3	sealed (1)

Case 1:18-cv-05: Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 224	4 of 230 Kevin Kennedy March 31, 2020
16:13	selection (3)	21:20;22:7;50:18;	178:25	somebody (7)
second (17)	76:12;81:18;162:5	111:17;119:3,5,6	significant (7)	50:24;71:20;92:16;
21:4;22:13;28:10;	sells (1)	sessions (3)	45:9;46:5;58:13;	132:18;158:22;
31:19;60:8;80:9,18,	130:12	60:13;111:25;	129:10;146:11;	174:18;184:24
19;87:4;91:9;92:9;	semantic (1)	119:12	154:25;168:17	somebody's (1)
101:18;116:10;	139:20	set (9)	significantly (1)	186:5
132:25;137:16;164:3,	semicolon (1)	12:16;55:25;86:23;	152:3	someone (16)
23	68:22	110:24;114:18;	sign-in (2)	39:15;45:6;61:10;
Secretary (71)	senate (3)	165:10;167:13;180:4,	89:16;113:2	65:20;85:1;95:1;
4:2;9:16;11:21;	28:3,4;31:16	8	signing (1)	110:22;129:11;130:9;
12:13;14:8,19;15:1;	senators (1)	sets (1)	194:7	145:17;155:7;157:25;
59:24,25;60:3,12;	193:2	84:18	similar (10)	173:17;177:18;
61:1,5,17,19,21,22;	send (2)	setting (5)	49:6,25;122:21;	181:19;186:8
62:1,6,16;63:9,17;	56:24;164:4	64:10;160:23;	127:21;138:12;	someone's (4)
64:3,11,16;65:4,9,11,	sense (27)	161:2;162:6;185:16	141:24;143:2;156:21;	89:21;94:22;
14,25;66:3;67:19,21;	13:8;27:9;30:15;	setups (1)	173:18;174:14	171:18,23
69:1,11;72:3,5,15;	45:5;52:13;57:5;	138:12	Similarly (1)	sometime (1)
76:8;97:4;98:10;	71:16;91:8,10;	seven (2)	117:16	123:19
102:6;116:7;117:17,	129:16;132:17;	136:6;191:12	simple (1)	sometimes (6)
21;120:24;122:15;	134:20;141:5,6,9;	several (6)	73:23	10:21;16:20;72:6,7,
123:11;124:5;126:2;	143:1,21;144:7;	15:11;26:22;78:23;	simplified (2)	7;92:8
127:1;134:15;139:11;	155:19;170:7,9,15;	125:16;130:4;133:17	172:18;174:12	somewhat (1)
143:14,22;146:1,7;	179:16;180:22;	shaking (1)	simply (3)	27:9
149:19;155:20,25;	181:11;183:24;	46:8	70:8;108:1;159:20	somewhere (1)
156:5;165:14;173:14;	194:11	shall (3)	single (2)	8:7
183:9;184:9,25;	sensitive (2)	42:4;59:24,25	65:7;84:17	sorry (32)
185:4;189:2,7,8,8	47:5;153:10	share (3)	singling (1)	8:5;15:12;22:17;
Section (41)	sensitivity (1)	16:11;46:7;85:15	150:4	40:2,3;49:2;53:19;
11:18;22:11;36:24;	176:17	sharing (1)	singular (1)	59:16;61:15;68:15,
37:13,15,18,18,21,25;	sentence (23) 23:11;28:11;75:1;	183:14 <b>sheet (1)</b>	84:21	16;74:14;78:3;85:8,
38:5,19;40:6;41:25;	80:19;87:21;88:24;	152:15	sit (2) 12:12;18:4	10;93:21;98:13; 114:13;116:12;
42:14,18,20;43:7; 48:25;51:24;59:5;	101:19;107:13;	shift (2)	site (2)	114.13,110.12, 118:24;120:18;121:7;
60:3;66:8,13;67:7,15,	120:23;121:16;	136:15,15	49:12;120:14	125:2;128:16;136:10;
20,24;75:10;76:25;	122:13;133:1;136:23;	shifts (1)	sitting (2)	161:24;163:25;167:7;
77:11;83:14,17;84:2;	137:9,16;139:9;	136:14	86:7;92:9	175:9;189:18;195:17;
115:10,10;116:9;	162:24;163:2;164:25;	shoes (1)	situation (6)	196:3
117:1,10,11;133:12;	172:2,16;177:4;	71:18	4:7;144:9;171:2,6,	sort (8)
148:23	178:20	short (2)	7,18	13:14;43:17;
	separate (5)	55:16;96:17	situations (2)	111:10;114:20;
37:5;79:10,16;80:3,	21:24;31:3;77:8;	shortcomings (3)	158:21;182:1	128:11;129:4;138:22;
3	110:13;120:10	99:1;101:13;140:14	six (4)	149:17
-	separately (2)	show (8)	27:22;133:2,9;	sound (2)
78:21	15:4;124:12	89:12;92:5,13;	191:12	6:18;152:7
Security (4)	September (1)	99:17;176:11,14,15;	size (1)	source (1)
14:5;33:25;79:24;	8:20	179:9	92:6	168:17
194:14	series (9)	showed (5)	slight (1)	South (1)
seeing (3)	21:19;26:2;82:11;	102:16;126:1;	96:2	174:8
97:13;130:20;	94:4;109:22;122:12;	130:16;151:14;	slightly (2)	space (1)
133:22	144:19;186:1;193:22	175:23	91:7,10	86:24
seem (2)	serve (1)	showing (1)	small (1)	spans (1)
100:3;169:17	91:18	81:24	35:14	109:6
	served (4)	shown (1)	smaller (1)	spawned (1)
45:5;118:13;	73:16;82:13;154:2;	124:17	152:3	193:22
125:24;173:10;182:1,	155:7	shuffled (1)	Smith (4)	speak (2)
13	service (1)	121:11	7:6;11:7;97:3;	125:2;186:23
seems (4)	180:17	side (1)	118:12	speaker (1)
88:6;143:23;173:5;	services (5)	16:16	socioeconomic (1)	150:22
180:15	9:7;17:9,14;18:19;	sight (2)	137:11	speaking (1)
select (1)	99:14	22:15;23:5	Software (1)	83:16
117:17	serving (1)	signed (1)	123:9	speaks (1)
selecting (2)	164:11	16:5	sole (2)	68:11
160:23;161:2	session (7)	significance (1)	91:20;114:19	special (3)

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v.	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 225	Kevin Kennedy
Raffensperger				March 31, 2020
75:17;155:15;180:6	79:19	state-directed (3)	77:16;87:10;108:2;	37:21,21
specific (29)	star (1)	165:1;168:2;177:5	110:1;142:11;168:13;	subject (7)
7:19;37:23;39:8;	107:7	statement (3)	170:3;171:24;194:2	31:16;146:17;
48:3;67:25;68:3,5,11;	start (4)	23:24;77:20;121:17	stayed (1)	147:23;154:9;161:9,
69:9;85:7,12;86:11;	10:24;29:9;91:9;	<b>States (54)</b>	123:10	18;193:6
94:13;101:24;102:21;	119:12	13:8,10;15:8,10;	stays (1)	subjects (1)
105:21;125:11,19;	started (6)	33:24;34:2;62:24;	156:4	146:21
127:6,9;134:5;141:6,	8:17;50:6;94:24;	63:9;70:12,24;71:6,8,	step (2)	submitted (2)
9;142:17;147:15;	119:11;138:16;	11,13,14,17;72:3,10,	47:13;138:16	30:25;118:2
162:12;168:25;182:8;	193:15	12,13;73:18;74:3;	step-by-step (5)	subparagraph (4)
186:21	starting (4)	81:22;82:2;85:17;	107:15;108:24;	38:1;116:21;
specifically (23)	22:10;98:16;155:4;	95:23;97:15,19;98:1,	109:9,12,16	117:18,22
27:16;37:9;42:16;	157:1	25;106:2;111:6,11,16,	steps (3)	subsection (2)
43:3;55:17;62:15;	starts (5)	20;130:25;134:18;	108:11;109:4;	37:10;59:23
65:1;68:15;77:1;	11:1;16:11;68:19;	138:4,6;141:7;	139:12	substance (1)
83:14;97:4,18;	172:2;182:18	142:24;146:16,21;	still (15)	92:15
103:23;106:14;	State (179)	155:17;158:2,5;	19:18,19;36:20;	substantive (3)
109:11;115:6;125:15;	4:3;9:15,21,22;	169:5,13;173:19,22;	44:10;68:6;71:23;	25:19;90:24;92:20
139:5;140:3,14; 163:3,13;175:1	11:20,21;12:13;	174:3;182:21;189:1; 190:8	78:17;83:3;100:22; 133:19;167:18;	successful (4) 34:11,12,14;158:7
specifics (1)	14:19;16:6,18;17:2,3; 18:15,20;19:2;21:22;	190:8 states' (2)	181:14;194:10;	34:11,12,14;158:7 sue (2)
180:18	27:12,24;29:19,21,22;	71:8;72:3	195:17,19	38:6,10
specify (1)	32:25;33:3,6,15;35:5,	State's (18)	stimulus (1)	sued (4)
116:1	11;39:10;46:19,20;	9:16;14:8,18;15:1;	34:1	35:5;62:25;86:4;
speculating (2)	47:8,12;48:5,6,22;	22:4;61:21;71:2;	stood (1)	95:4
120:4;190:21	51:21;52:17;55:10;	98:10;102:6;123:11;	124:23	sufficient (3)
spelled (1)	56:10,14;57:9,18;	134:15;143:23;	stop (1)	97:17;108:5;162:6
139:13	59:25;60:4;61:1,5,17,	158:15;165:14;	128:14	sufficiently (1)
spent (4)	19,22;62:1,5,6,16,24;	173:14;183:9;184:25;	stopping (1)	103:24
8:12;34:15;79:25;	63:17,19;64:3,8,12,	185:4	106:21	suggest (4)
155:12	16;65:9,11,14,25;	statewide (12)	stored (1)	80:22;81:8;173:20;
spoke (2)	66:3;67:19;69:1,1,11,	33:9;36:18;43:9;	55:10	176:9
127:12;189:18	14;71:21,24;72:5,15,	46:9;89:5;93:8;97:6;	strategies (1)	suggested (1)
spoken (1)	24;73:4,16,24;75:1,2,	122:14;140:12;142:8,	106:9	107:1
123:1	6;76:8;77:18;80:19,	14;143:3	strike (1)	suggesting (1)
spring (2)	25;81:4,14;82:20;	static (1)	163:22	164:18
22:7;56:1	84:6;85:7,12,20;89:1;	165:12	stripped (1)	suggests (2)
spurs (2)	90:6;95:5;96:12;97:4;	` /	43:21	81:7;168:21
128:3;142:22	98:3,7,24;100:7,15,	75:16	strong (2)	summarized (1)
square (1)	18,20;101:11;103:23;	status (4)	9:5;173:22	27:7
35:25	104:1,8;105:18;	19:17;119:1;	strongly (1)	summary (2)
squares (1)	108:8;112:10,13;	137:11;140:9	151:16	48:8;55:12
36:7	113:21;115:23;116:7,	statute (29)	struck (1)	summer (4)
Stacey (1)	20,23;117:4,17;	26:6,25,25;32:1;	180:23	17:22;19:23;
150:11	120:24,25;121:24;	36:24,25;40:6,23;	structure (4)	105:25;180:2
staff (10)	122:1,15;126:2;	42:10;55:15;60:17,	30:10,20;85:25;	superintendent (5)
32:4;47:21;50:12;	127:1;131:14,15; 133:11,18;135:2;	25;62:4;64:23;65:8, 24;67:25;68:3,11;	89:25	67:21;68:6;116:24;
83:1;123:10;135:3; 183:8,9;191:9,9	133:11,18;135:2;	76:16;77:9;78:2;	structures (1) 72:2	117:4,9 superintendents (12)
staffing (1)	138:8;139:1,11; 143:14;144:15,24,25;	91:16;101:25;103:13;	studies (4)	60:14,20;66:14,15,
193:23	145.14,144.15,24,25, 146:1;147:10;148:22;	115:23;121:22;136:6;	152:24;153:1;	23;67:1,16;69:3;
standard (13)	140:1,147:10,148:22, 149:19,21;153:17,22;	167:10	168:3,4	113:23;117:24,25;
48:22;84:17,19,22;	154:2,9,24;155:18,20,	statutes (26)	study (1)	125:6
100:21;105:8;128:22;	21;156:1,5,9,18,20;	27:1;58:13,16;	131:1	supervision (1)
156:12;161:15;	157:19;158:13;165:7;	61:12;62:17;64:10,	stuff (7)	76:24
182:21;183:16;184:4,	166:15;167:19;168:1,	13,24;71:23;96:12;	39:1;51:1;120:19;	supervisors (1)
5	6;169:23;172:8;	97:13,14,14;102:1,2,	178:17;192:4;195:11,	131:11
standards (4)	174:5,23;176:13,20,	23,24;103:2,5;	23	Supp (1)
33:9;87:15;167:12;	24;178:22;179:5;	106:18;115:4,19;	style (1)	20:8
184:2	185:2;189:3,7,8,15;	116:6;150:9;156:10;	144:17	support (1)
standing (1)	190:9;193:1	158:24	styles (3)	98:21
71:17	stated (1)	statutory (12)	143:16;144:5;145:1	supporting (1)
Stanford (1)	156:20	32:11;67:4;69:25;	sub (2)	191:10
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 226	6 of 230 Kevin Kennedy March 31, 2020
suppose (1)	system (17)	targeted (1)	36:1:135:23	134:9
137:14	33:10,10;97:7;98:8,	186:18	test (2)	timely (2)
supposed (4)	10;99:10;100:22,23;	task (1)	110:12;111:7	182:9,11
19:21;88:8;103:8;	140:12;142:9,15;	110:23	testified (2)	times (13)
182:12	143:3,4;147:6,7;	tasks (1)	4:16;25:6	6:25;56:20;70:18;
supreme (5)	157:17;164:16	110:21	testifying (1)	92:22;103:17;109:22;
16:6;43:14,25;94:3;	systemic (1)	Tatum (1)	24:10	118:19;119:10;
188:13	127:22	123:10	testimony (6)	169:16;175:3;180:5;
<b>Sure (81)</b>	Systems (1)	taught (3)	17:16;22:10;23:18,	182:6;185:8
5:11;7:16;8:16;	123:9	81:9;105:16;135:4	20,25;94:7	timing (1)
12:11,17,24;14:17,24;		taxation (1)	testing (1)	35:11
15:12,25;20:25;21:6;	T	36:10	151:11	title (4)
22:14,19;26:3;33:6;		teaching (1)	texts (1)	31:7;32:1,2;33:7
37:1,16;39:13;47:9;	tables (1)	143:15	96:3	titled (1)
53:14;58:2;60:9;	114:21	team (1)	Thanks (4)	78:21
71:18;73:22;74:21;	tablet (1)	188:10	58:7,9;107:8;196:6	today (10)
76:11;80:6;86:21;	10:14	technical (2)	therefore (1)	5:1;6:18;7:15;12:7;
91:23,24;93:16,19; 94:16;100:10;101:5;	<b>tabletop (1)</b> 171:1	88:10;98:20 technically (3)	63:7 <b>Thereupon (5)</b>	15:19;31:6,10,11; 113:8,9
107:2;108:11,12;	takeaway (1)	30:21;45:25;135:16	58:10;80:10;90:15;	today's (1)
110:25;119:15;	158:6	technicians (1)	107:9;160:14	6:24
120:15;128:4,21;	talk (25)	76:3	thinking (2)	together (17)
134:25;136:22,23;	6:6;12:24;14:13;	techniques (1)	39:7;174:16	13:14;47:16;69:6,7;
137:15;138:20;140:4,	18:23;22:22;27:17;	165:13	Third (6)	73:14;79:12,22;80:1;
10;141:12,25;142:22;	42:7;65:2;78:19;	technology (2)	107:13;132:10,14;	82:10,11,15;83:2;
144:6,10;148:1,3,13;	88:25;110:4;111:23;	14:22;50:25	160:18;172:1;178:19	90:6;96:6;123:22;
150:8,19;153:3;	123:8;127:19;136:2;	telephone (2)	Thomsen (1)	147:5;192:11
154:23;155:6,15;	142:5;144:17;156:25;	4:6;53:6	20:5	told (2)
156:15;160:2;163:15;	173:24;175:11;	telling (2)	thorough (3)	95:13;99:18
165:16;166:1;173:12;	180:12;182:17;187:1;	96:5;171:21	44:14;101:2;103:24	tone (1)
174:6,25;175:22;	189:12;193:10	tells (5)	thoroughly (2)	86:23
184:6,19;187:4;	talked (27)	68:5;128:2;133:18;	103:18,22	took (3)
190:20;191:24;	12:3,22;13:6;43:3;	148:3;181:24	thoroughness (1)	56:6;79:14;181:1
192:13;195:11	55:18;87:8;88:24;	ten (8)	104:7	tool (4)
surface (1)	90:2,22;100:2;102:4,	41:5;118:19;119:9;	though (8)	143:5;189:21,22;
187:12	5;112:21;117:13;	153:14;191:21,22;	30:21;53:11;65:18;	190:5
surprised (3)	122:7;125:2;130:3;	192:1,5	83:18;114:7;158:12;	tools (4)
99:11;117:12;	131:6;138:3,12;	tend (2)	166:23;186:9	40:4;62:11;63:5;
141:24	141:13;153:7;163:20;	143:25;153:11	thought (7) 7:15;51:14;96:13;	101:11
surrounded (1) 94:1	186:25;190:3,4; 195:24	tended (8) 25:10;40:14;54:20,	153:2;156:15;161:24;	top (10) 10:9;78:1;101:15;
surrounds (1)	talking (16)	23;56:16;134:23;	167:8	110:5;120:21;122:11;
84:8	11:24;84:24;89:7;	186:6,7	thousand (2)	170:3,120:21,122:11, 170:19,19;175:10;
survey (1)	91:5;93:21;94:4;	ten-minute (2)	56:12;94:1	192:21
187:25	105:7;117:23;126:8;	57:21;192:9	three (16)	topics (3)
surveys (2)	161:19;162:14;	tension (2)	7:2;11:23;35:20;	14:16;80:4;98:4
188:5,11	178:22;190:7,15;	47:2,3	36:19;55:1;56:20,21;	totals (1)
suspend (2)	195:6,18	tenure (5)	79:2;105:2;119:25;	45:5
194:21;195:2	talks (4)	39:6;42:8;54:18;	125:12;136:8,13;	touch (1)
suspended (1)	17:23;127:25;	95:21;123:18	181:7;186:14;192:21	30:18
195:12	157:2;183:14	term (8)	threshold (9)	touches (1)
swear (1)	Tammy (2)	61:7,11;70:7,22;	80:22;81:7;84:3,4,	85:24
4:13	12:24;13:1	71:4;78:2;112:6;	5,13,21;85:22;86:16	toward (2)
swimming (1)	<b>TANIS (32)</b>	177:17	thresholds (1)	126:25;164:21
6:5	4:11;5:9;8:1,5,9;	terms (21)	96:10	towards (3)
switched (1)	24:18;25:3;58:5,9;	10:5;45:23;46:24;	throughout (5)	27:13;162:21,25
44:7	107:5;113:1,6,13,16;	61:12;65:19;66:5;	26:21;47:8;82:23;	town (14)
sworn (2)	132:2,11,14,20;	68:7;71:7;76:10;	99:20;189:14	35:19;36:4,8,8,11,
4:8,15	135:18;149:10;	83:17;89:8,18;96:4;	thus (1)	15,19;39:11,16;57:6,
synopsis (2)	152:10;160:9;167:21;	98:20;111:14;119:8;	131:7	8;76:13;77:5;95:4
25:21,24 synthesized (1)	178:6;192:15;194:19;	121:18;156:2;161:16;	tie (1)	towns (8)
EVITIDACIZAN ( I )	195:5,9,17,22;196:4,	166:14;183:1	63:15	34:25;35:22;36:6;
85:1	13	territory (2)	Tim (1)	57:2,7,9;77:1,3

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger	391-SCJ Documo	ent 403-1 Filed 00	6/28/20 Page 227	7 of 230 Kevin Kennedy March 31, 2020
track (6)	163:15;164:4,24;	tumultuous (1)	58:13;62:17,20,25;	10:14;20:16,22;
140:8,13,14;142:5;	165:1,9,12;166:2,14;	188:3	65:6;69:14;80:24;	21:2;28:23,23;41:2;
183:2,18	167:17;168:2;169:6,	turbulent (2)	85:24;93:1;95:20;	44:10;49:20;50:25;
tracking (5)	10,23;170:5,23,25;	43:19;93:22	102:14;136:20;	55:25;59:17;77:25;
140:8;141:14;	172:15;173:23;	turn (12)	148:23;160:17;	78:9;89:12;90:11;
183:25;185:24;	174:15,19;175:17,21;	15:2;25:18;59:4;	164:23;184:18,22	93:3;96:17;98:9;
187:16	176:8,17,18;177:5,15,	67:7;68:14;74:7;	underlined (1)	99:17;100:4;102:5,
traffic (1)	19,21;179:1;186:23;	105:9;108:22;115:9;	164:24	16;103:19;105:9,25;
17:2	187:2,7,18,20;193:11;	116:11;143:11;	underlying (1)	106:8;110:24;124:17;
train (20)	195:23	174:18	43:11	135:12;142:22;149:9;
61:1,6,18;62:12;	transcribed (1)	turnout (1)	underscore (1)	160:9;165:18;166:21;
63:10;65:9;66:1,23;	179:12	45:4	118:13	176:11,14,15;179:9,
69:2;77:19,22;83:11,	transcript (1)	Twitter (2)	underscores (2)	19;180:7;184:17;
15,23;95:6;115:24;	23:22	138:22,22	148:1;150:8	186:11;191:21
124:8;166:24;167:15;	transcripts (1)	two (38)	understood (5)	updated (1)
174:24	178:24	5:20;7:6,24;18:4;	14:18;16:14;21:8;	114:25
trained (8)	transitioned (1)	21:12,15;29:11,24;	155:15;194:16	updating (1)
61:23;63:13;65:12,	15:1	30:3,20,23;45:13;	uniform (11)	142:9
16,20;69:17;103:18;	transmitting (1)	47:4;48:2;55:1;56:11;	53:15;81:1;89:3,4;	upon (2)
121:3	110:19	57:4;60:8;67:4;79:10,	98:8;108:9;121:1;	85:17;153:18
trainers (1)	transparent (4)	16;80:7;82:14,14;	164:24;165:1,19;	urban (2)
131:12	81:1;89:3;160:20;	92:4,10;95:23;96:3;	168:2	89:10;153:12
training (214)	163:16	109:7;119:22,25;	Uniformed (2)	use (18)
7:11,17;9:16;11:8;	treasurer (1)	124:14;180:8;181:7;	34:7;70:17	5:8;10:23;26:5;
13:7,10;26:9,18;	36:9	191:8,19,23;193:8	uniformity (8)	33:21;41:18;43:7;
37:14,23;38:3;46:4,6,	treasurers (1)	two-minute (1) 192:2	34:24;64:9;71:16;	50:8;104:8,11;
9;47:8,10;49:12,23;	57:13 treat (5)		82:1;113:22;167:6,	107:24;108:4,10;
50:5,8,9,11,17,18,21, 24;51:4,17,23;53:4;	4:9;90:12;99:7;	two-thirds (1) 178:21	18;168:7 <b>uniformly (4)</b>	110:11;112:5;147:14; 157:12;172:6;177:16
55:22;56:4;60:7,12,	4.9,90.12,99.7, 147:1;187:6	two-year (2)	62:8;67:3,3;89:17	used (12)
13,20,21;61:21;	treated (3)	48:9;180:1	unique (1)	19:14;42:10;55:9;
62:10;64:12;65:1,1,3,	89:11,24;90:25	tying (1)	148:22	61:7;70:3,23;83:16;
15,21;66:6;67:2,16,	treating (3)	65:14	unit (4)	109:23;128:14;
21;68:1,8,11;71:24;	148:4;149:2,7	type (2)	35:23;36:10;	139:20;161:14,21
75:5;76:11;77:13;	treatment (2)	17:13;89:16	109:17,18	user (1)
80:5,23;81:13;84:20;	89:21;100:2	types (3)	United (2)	107:14
86:25;87:19,24;88:4,	trial (7)	122:3;125:12;	13:8;98:25	using (3)
15,16;91:13;94:13,	5:7;19:23;23:12,22;	127:17	units (3)	103:15;151:15;
15;95:13;96:3,9,22,	24:8;25:11;154:3	typically (3)	35:21;36:14;45:2	157:1
23;97:5,16,18,24;	tried (4)	119:8,25;131:8	universe (1)	usually (8)
98:1,5,9,18,24;99:25;	10:19;56:10;		11:9	14:22;22:7;41:6;
100:21,24;101:1,20;	156:16;190:10	${f U}$	University (2)	50:20;53:7;81:16;
102:9;103:3,4,7,9,13,	true (9)		135:4;188:9	86:9;156:17
23;104:3,7;105:21;	34:23;66:13;73:2;	UCC (1)	unknown (1)	UW (3)
107:22;110:8,13,14,	127:13;129:22;146:8;	174:18	157:14	187:25;188:8,8
15,20,23;111:2,4,5,8,	148:15;155:6;171:20	ultimately (3)	unless (3)	
				$\mathbf{V}$
10,17,19,21,21,25;	truly (4)	33:1;96:24;166:13	121:1;139:25;147:2	•
112:7,14,22;113:5;	<b>truly (4)</b> 139:19;161:13;	unaware (1)	unofficial (1)	·
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17,	<b>truly (4)</b> 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22	unaware (1) 8:6	unofficial (1) 44:24	valid (1)
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23,	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7)	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1)	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1)	valid (1) 92:12
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23, 25;122:1;124:20,21;	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7) 6:20;40:20;91:20;	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1) 52:4	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1) 100:21	valid (1) 92:12 validity (1)
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23, 25;122:1;124:20,21; 125:20,23;126:5,14,	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7) 6:20;40:20;91:20; 93:6;98:22;101:1;	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1) 52:4 unclear (1)	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1) 100:21 unspoken (1)	valid (1) 92:12 validity (1) 190:5
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23, 25;122:1;124:20,21; 125:20,23;126:5,14, 20,24,25;127:8;	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7) 6:20;40:20;91:20; 93:6;98:22;101:1; 103:12	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1) 52:4 unclear (1) 6:19	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1) 100:21 unspoken (1) 148:25	valid (1) 92:12 validity (1) 190:5 value (1)
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23, 25;122:1;124:20,21; 125:20,23;126:5,14, 20,24,25;127:8; 129:11;130:4;133:10;	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7) 6:20;40:20;91:20; 93:6;98:22;101:1; 103:12 trying (24)	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1) 52:4 unclear (1) 6:19 unconstitutional (2)	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1) 100:21 unspoken (1) 148:25 unusual (7)	valid (1) 92:12 validity (1) 190:5 value (1) 148:8
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23, 25;122:1;124:20,21; 125:20,23;126:5,14, 20,24,25;127:8; 129:11;130:4;133:10; 137:4,18;141:3,4;	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7) 6:20;40:20;91:20; 93:6;98:22;101:1; 103:12 trying (24) 6:19;13:2;14:17;	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1) 52:4 unclear (1) 6:19 unconstitutional (2) 94:20;100:1	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1) 100:21 unspoken (1) 148:25 unusual (7) 86:5;125:11;	valid (1) 92:12 validity (1) 190:5 value (1) 148:8 variance (4)
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23, 25;122:1;124:20,21; 125:20,23;126:5,14, 20,24,25;127:8; 129:11;130:4;133:10; 137:4,18;141:3,4; 143:14,24;144:4,15;	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7) 6:20;40:20;91:20; 93:6;98:22;101:1; 103:12 trying (24) 6:19;13:2;14:17; 19:21;50:5;61:8;	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1) 52:4 unclear (1) 6:19 unconstitutional (2) 94:20;100:1 under (43)	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1) 100:21 unspoken (1) 148:25 unusual (7) 86:5;125:11; 136:14;142:19;144:9;	valid (1) 92:12 validity (1) 190:5 value (1) 148:8 variance (4) 89:5,8,20;90:24
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23, 25;122:1;124:20,21; 125:20,23;126:5,14, 20,24,25;127:8; 129:11;130:4;133:10; 137:4,18;141:3,4; 143:14,24;144:4,15; 146:12,15,20;147:14,	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7) 6:20;40:20;91:20; 93:6;98:22;101:1; 103:12 trying (24) 6:19;13:2;14:17; 19:21;50:5;61:8; 86:20;91:4;126:15;	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1) 52:4 unclear (1) 6:19 unconstitutional (2) 94:20;100:1 under (43) 4:4;11:20,23;22:11,	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1) 100:21 unspoken (1) 148:25 unusual (7) 86:5;125:11; 136:14;142:19;144:9; 155:19;189:1	valid (1) 92:12 validity (1) 190:5 value (1) 148:8 variance (4) 89:5,8,20;90:24 variances (2)
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23, 25;122:1;124:20,21; 125:20,23;126:5,14, 20,24,25;127:8; 129:11;130:4;133:10; 137:4,18;141:3,4; 143:14,24;144:4,15; 146:12,15,20;147:14, 16;148:21;149:8,12,	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7) 6:20;40:20;91:20; 93:6;98:22;101:1; 103:12 trying (24) 6:19;13:2;14:17; 19:21;50:5;61:8; 86:20;91:4;126:15; 128:9,18,23;129:21;	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1) 52:4 unclear (1) 6:19 unconstitutional (2) 94:20;100:1 under (43) 4:4;11:20,23;22:11, 24;28:10;29:19,21;	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1) 100:21 unspoken (1) 148:25 unusual (7) 86:5;125:11; 136:14;142:19;144:9; 155:19;189:1 UOCAVA (15)	valid (1) 92:12 validity (1) 190:5 value (1) 148:8 variance (4) 89:5,8,20;90:24 variances (2) 94:18;96:2
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23, 25;122:1;124:20,21; 125:20,23;126:5,14, 20,24,25;127:8; 129:11;130:4;133:10; 137:4,18;141:3,4; 143:14,24;144:4,15; 146:12,15,20;147:14, 16;148:21;149:8,12, 23;150:2;151:22;	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7) 6:20;40:20;91:20; 93:6;98:22;101:1; 103:12 trying (24) 6:19;13:2;14:17; 19:21;50:5;61:8; 86:20;91:4;126:15; 128:9,18,23;129:21; 132:4;139:19;145:6,	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1) 52:4 unclear (1) 6:19 unconstitutional (2) 94:20;100:1 under (43) 4:4;11:20,23;22:11, 24;28:10;29:19,21; 30:11;31:23;33:24;	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1) 100:21 unspoken (1) 148:25 unusual (7) 86:5;125:11; 136:14;142:19;144:9; 155:19;189:1 UOCAVA (15) 34:8,11;35:1;53:5;	valid (1) 92:12 validity (1) 190:5 value (1) 148:8 variance (4) 89:5,8,20;90:24 variances (2) 94:18;96:2 varied (2)
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23, 25;122:1;124:20,21; 125:20,23;126:5,14, 20,24,25;127:8; 129:11;130:4;133:10; 137:4,18;141:3,4; 143:14,24;144:4,15; 146:12,15,20;147:14, 16;148:21;149:8,12, 23;150:2;151:22; 153:6,18,23,25;	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7) 6:20;40:20;91:20; 93:6;98:22;101:1; 103:12 trying (24) 6:19;13:2;14:17; 19:21;50:5;61:8; 86:20;91:4;126:15; 128:9,18,23;129:21; 132:4;139:19;145:6, 9,13;147:12;157:16;	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1) 52:4 unclear (1) 6:19 unconstitutional (2) 94:20;100:1 under (43) 4:4;11:20,23;22:11, 24;28:10;29:19,21; 30:11;31:23;33:24; 34:2;37:21;39:9,20;	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1) 100:21 unspoken (1) 148:25 unusual (7) 86:5;125:11; 136:14;142:19;144:9; 155:19;189:1 UOCAVA (15) 34:8,11;35:1;53:5; 62:21;63:1,24;84:10;	valid (1) 92:12 validity (1) 190:5 value (1) 148:8 variance (4) 89:5,8,20;90:24 variances (2) 94:18;96:2 varied (2) 92:1;119:13
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23, 25;122:1;124:20,21; 125:20,23;126:5,14, 20,24,25;127:8; 129:11;130:4;133:10; 137:4,18;141:3,4; 143:14,24;144:4,15; 146:12,15,20;147:14, 16;148:21;149:8,12, 23;150:2;151:22; 153:6,18,23,25; 156:12;157:8,10,11;	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7) 6:20;40:20;91:20; 93:6;98:22;101:1; 103:12 trying (24) 6:19;13:2;14:17; 19:21;50:5;61:8; 86:20;91:4;126:15; 128:9,18,23;129:21; 132:4;139:19;145:6, 9,13;147:12;157:16; 174:6,13;180:20,22	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1) 52:4 unclear (1) 6:19 unconstitutional (2) 94:20;100:1 under (43) 4:4;11:20,23;22:11, 24;28:10;29:19,21; 30:11;31:23;33:24; 34:2;37:21;39:9,20; 40:11;41:6,12,20;	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1) 100:21 unspoken (1) 148:25 unusual (7) 86:5;125:11; 136:14;142:19;144:9; 155:19;189:1 UOCAVA (15) 34:8,11;35:1;53:5; 62:21;63:1,24;84:10; 90:23;103:1;140:6,	valid (1) 92:12 validity (1) 190:5 value (1) 148:8 variance (4) 89:5,8,20;90:24 variances (2) 94:18;96:2 varied (2) 92:1;119:13 varies (1)
112:7,14,22;113:5; 116:25;117:5,10,17, 21,23;121:19,22,23, 25;122:1;124:20,21; 125:20,23;126:5,14, 20,24,25;127:8; 129:11;130:4;133:10; 137:4,18;141:3,4; 143:14,24;144:4,15; 146:12,15,20;147:14, 16;148:21;149:8,12, 23;150:2;151:22; 153:6,18,23,25;	truly (4) 139:19;161:13; 174:13;181:22 try (7) 6:20;40:20;91:20; 93:6;98:22;101:1; 103:12 trying (24) 6:19;13:2;14:17; 19:21;50:5;61:8; 86:20;91:4;126:15; 128:9,18,23;129:21; 132:4;139:19;145:6, 9,13;147:12;157:16;	unaware (1) 8:6 unchanged (1) 52:4 unclear (1) 6:19 unconstitutional (2) 94:20;100:1 under (43) 4:4;11:20,23;22:11, 24;28:10;29:19,21; 30:11;31:23;33:24; 34:2;37:21;39:9,20;	unofficial (1) 44:24 unquestionably (1) 100:21 unspoken (1) 148:25 unusual (7) 86:5;125:11; 136:14;142:19;144:9; 155:19;189:1 UOCAVA (15) 34:8,11;35:1;53:5; 62:21;63:1,24;84:10;	valid (1) 92:12 validity (1) 190:5 value (1) 148:8 variance (4) 89:5,8,20;90:24 variances (2) 94:18;96:2 varied (2) 92:1;119:13

Case 1:18-cv-05 Fair Fight Action v.	391-SCJ Docum	ent 403-1 Filed 0	6/28/20 Page 228	Kevin Kennedy
Raffensperger		T	T	March 31, 2020
26:8;51:17;53:23;	84:10;94:22;97:9,10;		59:13	137:1;152:1,2,6
57:17;61:13;62:17;	99:23;102:8;103:12;	W	what's (12)	Within (14)
65:6;69:14;71:22;	148:12;165:3,4,4;	VV .	50:7;86:14;87:18;	7:24;35:25;36:4,15;
72:8;75:5;76:1;79:9;	167:1,11,12,12;170:8,	wait (1)	88:21;89:13;90:5;	46:19;47:5;60:22;
84:25;96:6;98:11;	10,15;171:18;176:10,	168:24	96:8;104:2;128:12;	66:2;72:2;81:14;
109:3;124:22;142:21	11,14,15;177:2,10;	waive (1)	162:11;179:5;183:18	85:25;86:24;87:25;
vary (5)	190:22;194:9	117:21	whereas (2)	96:6
54:23;89:18;120:5;	voter (47)	Washington (2)	48:16;187:18	without (6)
161:13,14	22:4;33:9;37:17;	72:14;190:8	Whereupon (15)	40:11;72:15;103:3,
vendor (1)	41:17;62:19;70:16;	watcher (3)	10:11;20:10;29:2;	6,9;152:11
123:10	84:9;90:5;97:6;99:10,	61:9,10,12	37:2;59:20;66:9;67:9;	witness (19)
version (2)	24;102:14,25;104:14;	watchers (8)	76:18;108:19;115:12;	4:8,13;6:1;7:4;
20:18;59:10	108:10;112:2;128:23;	60:16,17,21;61:1,3,	116:14;135:19;	17:16;24:2,3,3,7,14,
versus (5)	140:12;142:8,14;	6,8;65:9	152:16;192:16;196:1	20,21,23;25:7;57:23;
18:25;20:5;91:2;	143:3,4;147:23;	watching (1)	whole (13)	58:3;85:14;107:4;
122:1;129:12	148:5;149:15;151:11,	110:24	44:22;45:7;79:21;	160:11
vetted (2)	15;157:3,5,15;158:3,	waters (1)	81:16;82:9,11;83:24;	Wolfe (1)
79:10,18	10,11,20;159:11;	6:6	93:18;166:10;170:1;	31:11
via (1)	160:17;163:3,4;	watershed (1)	186:1;190:2;193:22	Wolfe's (1)
53:1	164:11;168:23;	81:24	who's (4)	33:18
vice (2)	170:17;171:2,7;	Waukesha (6)	33:1;106:7;111:4;	won (1)
123:4;151:3	175:11,20;177:8; 182:2	44:4,11,25;47:2,6;	157:13 wide (4)	189:6 wonder (1)
video (3)		87:8		
179:15,16,22	voter-centric (2) 162:20;163:15	way (24)	97:23;104:24; 105:7;138:11	128:12
<b>videos (3)</b> 50:10;51:18;143:24	voters (28)	10:16,23;24:13;	widely (1)	wondering (1) 52:5
videotape (1)	19:15;27:13;91:15,	43:11;49:15;55:24;	138:22	word (6)
129:17	20;92:10;96:24;99:2;	98:9,23;107:7;118:5;	wife (1)	23:24,24;60:16;
videotaped (2)	102:14;105:3;110:16;	138:5;143:2;144:4;	12:8	70:10;103:22;139:20
120:9;179:13	128:9;129:6;144:12;	147:5;149:5;150:5;	willing (1)	words (11)
viewed (1)	147:10;148:12;149:2;	158:22;165:2;166:6,	173:14	11:14;19:6;20:13;
43:25	151:16;160:24;164:6,	25;168:3;177:17; 178:1,21	Wisconsin (104)	21:10;67:4;69:5;
vigilance (1)	8,17;165:20;166:12,		5:22;15:9,11,13;	88:16;91:18;121:24;
34:14	20;170:7;175:7,19;	ways (6) 50:25;52:19;87:5;	16:1,6;20:5,8;21:22;	156:22;157:23
village (7)	187:25	104:24;181:20;	24:14;25:11,15,15;	work (21)
35:19;36:3,13,14,	voters' (3)	185:14	27:19;28:6,19;32:10;	5:10;8:23;12:13;
19;76:13;77:6	158:13;166:19;	wealth (1)	33:15;34:11,18;	17:8,22;18:11;31:12;
villages (4)	177:21	158:4	35:19;36:5,24;39:10;	61:13;63:4;72:8;
34:25;35:21;77:1,3	voter's (3)	website (12)	40:23;41:10,14;	94:13;98:11;103:11,
violated (2)	95:7;159:17;170:22	17:11;41:17;51:7;	42:24;43:19;49:11,	17;106:1;107:17;
19:10;44:13	votes (5)	52:22;99:17;120:12;	21;54:8;56:20,23;	136:14,16,17;147:2;
violates (2)	43:16,16;44:6;	133:2,6;138:1,2;	57:2,11;71:12;73:1,	157:17
98:25;171:23	171:21,22	154:16;179:23	11,25;75:1,4,10,15;	worked (9)
violating (1)	voting (61)	websites (1)	76:16;77:16,19,22;	13:13;14:7,21;
42:24	11:17;19:10;21:13;	97:14	78:2;83:4;90:2,21;	17:17;73:4;103:20;
violation (6)	22:16;23:14,14;33:8,	week (1)	91:22;92:3;93:22;	123:8;157:13;185:7
45:17;103:4,7,10;	8;34:8;61:9;63:2,20;	136:22	95:20,24;96:4,19,20;	worker (21)
181:22;184:24	70:17,24,25;76:2;	weeks (1)	97:13,19;101:14;	7:18;18:12;50:15;
violations (4)	79:17;81:15;84:9;	7:24	105:1;111:13;118:18;	90:4,4;104:2;108:15,
42:4,6,9;171:13	86:13;98:8,20;100:3;	week's (1)	120:9,11;128:6;	23;112:23;113:5;
visit (1)	101:21;102:11,19,22,	50:19	129:1;134:21;135:4,	124:12;126:8;128:11,
128:15	24;103:1;107:24,25;	welcome (1)	8;136:2,5,19;137:10;	13;141:2,2;171:5;
visual (2) 130:8;145:16	109:17,18;110:25; 123:9;146:18;148:8,	5:2	138:4,7;140:2,25; 141:3;142:1,7;	172:21;177:23;191:4; 195:21
void (1)	21;160:19,24;161:7,	weren't (3)	141:3;142:1,7; 153:10;158:18,19;	workers (90)
95:9	12,17,18;162:11,21,	155:11;156:22;	161:9,12,20;165:24;	9:18;61:16,18,20,
volume (1)	25;163:8,10,20;	158:17	170:11;173:22;	23;62:2;63:10,19,19,
10:1	169:12,16,17;170:12,	West (1)	170.11,173.22, 179:22;187:10,12,23;	20;64:4;65:10,15;
Vote (44)	18;172:3,21;174:25;	20:24 Western (1)	188:2,9,16;190:9;	66:1;67:17;68:1,12;
18:6;31:24;33:3,12,	175:1,2;190:17	Western (1) 20:8	192:20;193:7;194:2	69:3;71:3;75:14,24;
22,25;34:3;45:5;47:7;	vulnerabilities (1)	20:8 Westlaw (2)	Wisconsin's (10)	76:12;77:20,22,24;
62:20;63:1,22;78:21;	85:3	59:12,14	5:18;34:24;41:20;	78:12;83:11,25;
82:17;83:1,10,22;		West's (1)	55:24;73:21;96:15;	91:18;92:4;93:12;
,				. ,

Case 1:18-cv-05391-SCJ Document 403-1 Filed 06/28/20 Page 229 of 230 Fair Fight Action v. Raffensperger Kevin Kennedy March 31, 2020						
94:13,25;95:4;98:9;	156:17;180:3	8:3	98:5;102:9,13,17;	21-2-70 (1)		
94:13,25;95:4;98:9; 101:21;103:17;105:5;	150:17;180:5 years (22)	8:3 14 (5)	98:5;102:9,13,17; 190:23	66:8		
107:16;110:17;	5:18;13:19;40:25;	37:18;109:1;	2000's (1)	21-2-99 (2)		
117:24;121:2;122:15;	41:3,4;55:23,24;56:2,	135:24;143:11;	81:6	67:8,24		
124:9,20;125:20;	5,7;85:14;96:16;	190:24	2001 (1)	22 (2)		
124:9,20,123:20,	106:20;123:13;	15 (3)	82:15	182:20;189:13		
8,25;131:7;136:4,6,	125:16;137:1;138:14;	37:21;109:16;	2002 (3)	24 (2)		
13,14,16;139:14,15;	153:14;155:13;158:6;	143:12	31:24;33:5;98:7	45:2,2		
148:7,10;149:4,6;	163:11;180:8	159 (1)	2003 (1)	25 (1)		
150:5;159:23;164:6,	Yep (2)	124:8	193:15	191:4		
25;165:2,21;166:2,	33:4;43:23	15th (1)	2005 (1)	25th (1)		
24;167:15,18;169:23;		8:3	82:15	22:3		
170:7,10,13,21;171:1,	${f Z}$	16 (3)	2006 (3)	2750 (1)		
17,21;173:24;175:6,	<del></del>	37:21;157:2;160:4	73:17;123:7,7	136:21		
18;176:2,5;177:1,6,7;	zeros (1)	167 (15)	2007 (4)	28 (3)		
178:8	45:2	10:9;11:1,23;15:3;	30:14;40:21;42:8;	15:3;31:18;32:17		
working (20)		25:19;28:8;31:18;	54:7	2850 (1)		
8:17;16:3;17:20;	1	32:17;68:17;74:7,22;	2011 (6)	136:21		
32:2;50:6;62:23;73:6;		107:12;130:13;	22:3;43:7,20;93:23;	29 (1)		
82:25,25;101:11;	1 (13)	143:12;160:5	193:3,5	32:17		
105:22;106:5;107:22;	10:8;11:1;25:20;	17 (3)	2011-2012 (1)	29th (1)		
155:12;170:16,18;	32:18;54:8;68:15,17;	157:2;160:5;164:2	21:20	28:13		
181:21,25;194:11;	72:20,21;74:8,18;	18 (1)	2012 (4)	2m (2)		
196:11	76:23;107:12	164:3	13:5;93:21;188:6;	42:3,14		
works (3)	1:00 (1)	1850 (2)	193:3			
13:3;14:4;107:5	119:13	35:9;140:17	2013 (1)	3		
Worley (1)	1:15 (1)	1854 (2)	22:6			
124:16	106:22	35:10;140:18	2013-2014 (1)	3 (8)		
Worley's (1)	10 (5)	19 (4)	21:20	11:22;12:5;25:22;		
126:7	115:11;120:22;	42:15;170:20;	2014 (2)	28:9;29:1;74:9,22;		
worry (1)	121:7,13;156:2	172:1;175:10	22:7;93:23	131:23		
185:23	101 (1)	1965 (1)	2015 (6)	3,000 (1)		
wrap (1)	83:14	146:18	16:7;28:19;38:19;	136:20		
191:21	10th (1)	1974 (1)	43:20;123:19,21	3:45 (1)		
writ (1)	8:8	76:8	2016 (8)	191:18		
38:11	11 (7)	198 (1)	19:11;20:9;28:13;	30 (3)		
write (6)	116:12;120:21;	20:7	40:21;42:8;123:21;	5:18;133:7;137:1		
28:9;72:21;78:19;	121:5;122:12;134:14;	1983 (1)	125:17;188:6	30th (1)		
80:4;170:19;175:12	156:2;190:25	11:18	2017 (4)	48:16		
writing (2)	1-1/2 (1)	1993 (2)	7:13;8:22,25;106:1	31 (2)		
16:5;177:11	43:16	70:16;81:23	2018 (8)	10:25;134:9		
written (6)	11:45 (2) 57:24:59:4	1e (1)	7:13;108:14,23;	316 (5)		
10:13;69:9;74:16;	57:24;58:4	77:11	112:23;168:17;178:8,	26:24;27:5,7;58:24;		
143:23;144:11,20	118 (9)	1st (2)	11,15	114:25		
wrong (6)	28:19;29:9;32:10;	48:15,17	2019 (8)	31st (1)		
49:15;52:8;89:12;	38:18;42:13;48:1; 52:4 10:54:6	2	8:25;9:1,3,6;26:23;	48:18		
106:24;131:25; 144:22	52:4,10;54:6	4	33:23;113:14;152:7 <b>2020 (5)</b>	33 (1)		
wrote (3)	119 (1)	2 (10)	7:18;113:13,15;	11:22		
14:11;151:5,9	21:7	20:6;25:20,22;28:9;	195:20,25	<b>34 (1)</b> 134:13		
14.11,131.3,9	<b>12 (9)</b> 37:18;122:11;	20:6;25:20,22;28:9; 32:18;59:5,16;68:15,	195:20,25 20th (1)	35 (1)		
Y	130:13;131:5,19;	17;72:20	8:8	10:25		
1	130:13;131:3,19;	2:00 (1)	21 (5)	37 (1)		
y'all (4)	175:14	119:13	115:8;178:18;	72:3		
57:21;107:2;	1/3:14 1200 (1)	2:05 (1)	182:19,19;189:13	3d (1)		
113:11;191:20	57:9	107:3	21-2-100 (3)	20:8		
year (22)	13 (13)	20 (7)	116:10,11;117:11	20.0		
22:8;40:16,22;	108:23;109:1;	19:11;54:25;56:9;	21-2-31 (3)	4		
48:13,13,15,16,17,18;	130:12;131:4,20;	170:19;175:10;	115:10,16,17	7		
54:22;56:1,21;79:25;	130:12,131:4,20,	178:19;182:19	21-2-311 (1)	4 (5)		
94:5,6;103:17;	136:25;139:8;146:16;	2000 (12)	114:5	37:1;74:7,23;78:15;		
118:19;119:10;	150:25,137.6,140.16,	57:1;71:7;80:21;	21-2-50 (3)	80:14		
123:19;153:14;	13th (1)	81:21,23;83:4;86:15;	59:5,8,19	4:02 (1)		
,	· (-)	,,,				

Raffensperger			March 31, 2020
196:15 40 (6) 13:19;56:9;85:14; 106:20;158:6;160:7 40-plus (1) 191:13 47 (1) 140:15  5 (10)	37:10,14;38:1;67:8; 75:10;101:15;103:16 <b>7,500 (1)</b> 44:6 <b>7.15 (5)</b> 76:16,20;77:9,11, 17 <b>7:00 (1)</b> 161:16 <b>70 (1)</b> 116:11		
36:24;37:4;49:2,4; 59:19;78:15;80:13, 14,16;148:23  5.01 (2) 77:5;78:2  5.05 (7) 36:25;37:7,15,25; 38:19;48:25;75:10  5.051d (1) 38:5  5.052m (2) 41:25;45:18  5.055s (1) 49:3  5.055t (1) 51:25  5.056a (1) 54:2  5.057 (2) 55:18;77:17  5.06 (5) 40:6,11,24;41:12; 43:6  5:00 (2) 119:12;192:12  50 (3) 59:17;114:21; 140:19  5s (3) 48:25;49:3,4  5t (1) 52:9	8 8 (6) 66:18;76:17; 103:15;107:12;110:6; 113:19 8:00 (1) 161:16 896 (1) 20:8  9 9 (4) 107:12;108:18; 110:5;113:19 9:30 (1) 119:11 924 (1) 22:10		
6 6 (9) 35:25,25;36:7,7; 54:10;66:8;80:17; 101:16;152:12 6.7 (1) 152:7 61 (1) 109:21 62 (1) 109:21 6a (2) 54:7,11  7			